M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 4.10.39

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 606 Press
~~Mis.~~
39-40.
Dated 11-12- 1869.

4/5

39-40

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11-10-39

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, 11*th December* 1869.

No. 39. Read the following letter from the Acting Registrar of the High Court of Judicature at Madras, Original Side, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 4th December 1869.

Adverting to the Order of Government, Financial Department, No. 502, dated the 27th October 1869, I have the honour to submit, for the consideration of His Excellency the Governor in Council, the following observations of the Sheriff of Madras in referenee to the reduction made in the allowance for his office for contingent charges for the official year 1870-71 :—

"The reduced sum of Rupees 150, proposed to be assigned to this Department for contingent "charges for the year 1870-71, will be just sufficient to cover ordinary contingencies, such as candles, "oil, thread, and other small supplies.

"That sum, however, will not be sufficient to meet extraordinary charges, such as the allowance "of Rupees 60 per day for each set of Jurors detained in the Court-house during the criminal "sessions, as sanctioned by the Order of Government, Public Department, dated 19th January 1844.

"This charge, however, is incurred very rarely. On reference to the records of my office, I "have ascertained that, within the last eleven years, there have been only three occasions upon "which Jurors have been detained, the cost of such detentions amounting in all to Rupees 300. "The last occasion was during the last sessions held at the beginning of last month, when the Jurors "were detained for a night and a day, at a cost of Rupees 120.

"Some special provision must be made for this charge."

Awaiting further orders of His Excellency the Governor in Council on this matter, I have &c.

No. 40. ORDER THEREON, 11th December 1869, No. 606.

As the assignment of Rupees 150 for contingencies for the Sheriff's Office is stated to be sufficient to meet ordinary expenditure, it does not appear to Government to be necessary to provide any allowance expressly to meet extraordinary charges in connexion with the detention of Jurors, especially as, during the past eleven years, there were only three occasions on which Jurors were detained.

2. Should any extraordinary charges for the purpose stated be incurred in 1870-71, they must be met from savings under "Law and Justice."

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Honourable the Judges of the High Court.
,, Accountant-General.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of {1869} Madras

FINANCIAL.

To

THE HON. THE JUDGES OF THE HIGH COURT.

&c., &c., &c.

Dated December, 11 *th.*

Read. *Encl.*

G. O., 11th December 1869, *No.* 606.

DECEMBER.

Nos. 39-40.

Declining to allot any allowance in the Budget Estimate of the Sheriff of Madras for 1870-71, expressly to meet extraordinary charges in connexion with the detention of Jurors.

3110

From.

James Stewy Spring Brauson Esqre
Acting Registrar High Court Madras
Original Side

To.

The Honorable R. S. Ellis C.B.
Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George.

Honorable Sir

Adverting to the Order of Government Financial Department No. 502 dated the 27th October 1869 I have the honor to submit for the consideration of His Excellency the Governor in Council the following observations of the Sheriff of Madras in reference to the reduction made in the allowance for his Office for contingent charges for the official year 1870/71.

"The reduced sum of Rs. 150 — " — "
"proposed to be assigned to this Department
"for contingent charges for the year 1870/71
"will be just sufficient to cover ordinary
"contingencies, such as Candles, Oil, thread
"and other small supplies.

"That sum however will not be
"sufficient to meet extraordinary charges
"such as the allowance of Rs. 60 — " — "per day
"for each set of Jurors detained in the Court
"House during the Criminal Sessions as

sanctioned

"Sanctioned by the order of Government Public
"Department dated 19th January 1844-

"This charge however is incurred very
"rarely- On reference to the records of my
"office, I have ascertained that within the last
"eleven years there have been only three
"occasions upon which Jurors have been
"detained, the Cost of such detentions amount-
"-ing in all to Rs. 300-0-0. The last occasion
"was during the last Sessions held at the
"beginning of last month when the Jurors were
"detained for a night and a day at a Cost of
"Rs. 120-0-0.

"Some special provision must be made
"for this charge"

Awaiting further orders of His Excellency
the Governor in Council on this matter-

I have the honor to be
Honorable Sir
Your Obedient Servant.

High Court Madras.
Registrar's Office
14th December 1869.

Jas. Spring Branson.

Acting Registrar.

Ans. in concurrence in 1870-71. Thy
must be made from Savings
under "Law & Justice" -

Prot: 27 October/69 to 2 Nov 66-67

Jud. Dep Dept

From

Regr Regh Court

(O.S.)

(1)

8/4
8/7 Decr.

No 6461.

Represents that the sum allowed in the Sheriff's Budget for 70/71 on account of Contingencies, will not be sufficient

With an order.

No 606 – 11 Decr/69

As the Appropriation of Rs 150 for Contingencies for the Sheriff's Office is stated to be sufficient to meet ordinary expenditure, it does not appear to Government to be necessary to provide any allowance especially to defray extraordinary charges in connection with the detention of Jurors, especially, as during the past Eleven years, there were only Four occasions on which Jurors were detained.

2. Should any extraordinary charges for this purpose stated to be

C 9 Decr/69.
12 11
39 + 40.

Govt. of 1869, Madras.

Examined

To,

The Honble the Puisne Judges of the Madras High Court.

&c.

Dated Decr 11

Recd. Ditto

G. O. 11 Decr 1869, No. 665.

Nos. 39 to 40.

Forwarding Statements of Cases decided in the Mofussil Courts of Madras from 1870-71 respectively, showing the Sessions in which they were tried, the Crimes charged, the Sentences passed, and the Names of the Judges by whom they were tried.

With an order.

2. Should any extraordinary charges for this purpose [illegible]

C 9 Decr/69.
12/11
39 to 40.