M.R.O. 1—77,006—3-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair 2-12-38

(3) Initials of the Referencer CK

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Finance **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 325	Press / Mis.
38-39	
Dated 22-7- 1869.	

617

38-39

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, 22nd July 1869.

No. 38. Read the following letter from the Under Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Accountant General, Bengal; dated Simla, 2nd July 1869, No. 1682.

Leave and Allowances.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of the letter from the Examiner of Claims, Calcutta, No. 43E, dated the 30th April 1869, inquiring—

First.—Whether "an Uncovenanted Officer performing the duties of another office in addition to his own, would be entitled to a moiety of the salary of the appointment in which he is officiating, *plus* the full salary of his own substantive appointment, under the ruling of the Financial Department, No. 2502, dated 16th March 1860, (provided that his total receipt does not exceed the salary of the more highly-paid office,) or his own salary, and twenty and ten per cent. of the salary of the acting appointment."

Secondly.—What rules should regulate the salaries of Ministerial Officers whose salaries are "graded," by which is apparently meant *progresive.*

Thirdly.—Whether a Ministerial Officer, who has not attained the maximum salary of his own class, should be allowed twenty per cent. of the mean salary of the class in which he is officiating "up to the limit of the minimum salary of the latter class."

Fourthly.—Whether a Ministerial Officer drawing the maximum salary of his own class should be refused deputation allowance on being appointed to officiate in a higher class, but be permitted to draw the periodical increases of salary of that class as if he were permanently appointed to it.

Fifthly.—Whether the officer referred to in the last question should be permitted, in the event of his being confirmed in the class in which he is officiating, to retain the annual increases which he drew during his officiating incumbency.

2. In reply to the first question, I am desired to point out that the ruling of the 16th March 1860 is one of the [illegible]des for the grant of acting allowances to Uncovenanted Servants," which, as you will observe from the order of this Department, No. 308, dated the 1st ultimo, "have been abolished" by the Order, No. 122, of the 6th January 1869; and to observe that, according to the last-mentioned order, and the orders of this Department referred to in the margin, an Uncovenanted Officer performing the duties of another office in addition to his own, is entitled to his substantive salary and an acting allowance of twenty, or twenty and ten per cent. on the salary of the office in which he is officiating, provided his aggregate receipts do not exceed that salary, unless the Government which imposed the double duty upon him certifies that it "would have been detrimental to the public service to have made any other arrangement," in which case the proviso regarding his aggregate receipts may be waived.

No. 735, dated 28th January 1869.
,, 1482 ,, 5th March ,,
,, 998 ,, 14th June ,,

3. In reply to the second and third questions, I am directed to state that the acting allowance of an Uncovenanted Servant officiating in an appointment, the salary of which is progressive, should be calculated at the rates stated in the preceding paragraph on the mean salary of that appointment, provided his total receipts do not exceed its minimum salary.

4. In reply to the fourth question, I am directed to state that when an officer on the maximum salary of his own class is officiating in a higher class, and his acting allowance calculated as prescribed above raises his total receipts to the minimum salary of the higher class, he should draw the periodical increases of salary allowed in the higher class as if he were permanently appointed to that class. I am to add, with reference to the fifth question, that he should be permitted to retain those increases in the event of his being confirmed in the higher class.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be published in the *Gazette of India*, and that copies of it be forwarded to the Foreign, Home, Military, Public Works, and Legislative Departments, and the Governments of Bengal, Madras, Bombay, the North-Western Provinces, and the Punjab; Comptroller General of Accounts; Director General of the Post Office of India; Mint Master,

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
22nd July 1869.

Calcutta ; Head Commissioner of Paper Currency, Calcutta ; Accountants General in Madras, Bombay, the North-Western Provinces, Punjab, and British Burmah ; and the Deputy Accountants General in the Central Provinces, Hyderabad, and Mysore.

No. 39. ORDER THEREON, 22nd July 1869, No. 325.

Ordered that the foregoing letter be communicated to the Revenue, Military, and Public Works Departments, and that it be re-published in the *Fort Saint George Gazette.*

(True Extract).

R. A. DALYELL,
Actg. Secy. to Govt.

To the Revenue Department.
,, Military do.
,, Public Works do.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of {1869} Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

&c., &c., &c.

Dated July, 22nd.

Read.

G. O., 22nd July 1869, No. 32.

JULY.
Nos. 38-39.

Communicating ruling of the Government of India in regard to the allowances of Uncovenanted officers acting in certain appointments.

3

No. 1682.

GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

LEAVE AND ALLOWANCES.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, BENGAL.

SIMLA, THE 2ND JULY 1869.

SIR,

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of the letter from the Examiner of Claims, Calcutta, No. 43E, dated the 30th April 1869, enquiring,—

First.—Whether "an Uncovenanted officer performing the duties of another office in addition to his own, would be entitled to a moiety of the salary of the appointment in which he is officiating, *plus* the full salary of his own substantive appointment, under the ruling of the Financial Department, No. 2502, dated 16th March 1860, (provided that his total receipt does not exceed the salary of the more highly paid office,) or his own salary, and 20 and 10 per cent. of the salary of the acting appointment."

Secondly.—What rules should regulate the salaries of Ministerial officers whose salaries are "graded," by which is apparently meant *progressive.*

Thirdly. Whether a Ministerial officer, who has attained the maximum salary of his own class, should be allowed 20 per [illegible] the mean salary of the class in which he is officiating "up to the lim[illegible] minimum salary of the latter class."

Fourthly.—Whether a Ministerial officer drawing the maximum salary of his own class should be refused deputation allowance on being appointed to officiate in a higher class, but be permitted to draw the periodical increases of salary of that class as if he were permanently appointed to it.

Fifthly.—Whether the officer referred to in the last question should be permitted, in the event of his being confirmed in the class in which he is officiating, to retain the annual increases which he drew during his officiating incumbency.

2. In reply to the first question, I am desired to point out that the ruling of the 16th March 1860 is one of the "old rules for the grant of acting allowances to Uncovenanted Servants," which, as you will observe from the order of this Department, No. 308, dated the 1st ultimo, "have been abolished" by the order No. 122 of the 6th January 1869; and to observe that, according to the last mentioned order, and the orders of this Department referred to in the margin, an Uncovenanted officer performing the duties of another office in addition to his own, is entitled to [illegible]tive salary and an acting allowance of 20, or 20 and 10 per cent. on [illegible] the office in which he is officiating, provided his aggregate receipts [illegible] that salary, unless the Government which imposed the double [illegible] certifies that it "would have been detrimental to the public [illegible]made any other arrangement," in which case the proviso regarding [illegible]eipts may be waived.

No. 735, dated 28th January 1869.
„ 1482 „ 5th March „
„ 998 „ 14th June „

3. In reply to the second and third questions I am directed to state that the acting allowance of an Uncovenanted Servant officiating in an appointment, the salary of which is progressive, should be calculated at the rates stated in the preceding paragraph on the mean salary of that appointment, provided his total receipts do not exceed its minimum salary.

4. In reply to the fourth question, I am directed to state that when an officer on the maximum salary of his own class is officiating in a higher class, and his acting allowance calculated as prescribed above raises his total receipts to the minimum salary of the higher class, he should draw the periodical increases of salary allowed in the higher class as if he were permanently appointed to that class. I am to add, with reference to the fifth question, that he should be permitted to retain those increases in the event of his being confirmed in the higher class.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,

Your most obedient Servant,

G. H. M. BATTEN,

Under Secy. to the Govt. of India.

ORDERED, that the foregoing letter be published in the *Gazette of India*, and that copies of it be forwarded to the Foreign, Home, Military, Public Works and Legislative Departments, and the —

Governments of Bengal, Madras, Bombay, the North-Western Provinces and the Punjab;

Comptroller General of Accounts;

Director General of the Post Office of India;

Mint Master, [illegible];

Head Commissioner of Paper Currency, Calcutta;

Accountants General in Madras, Bombay, the North-Western Provinces, Punjab and British Burmah;

Deputy Accountants General in the Central Provinces, Hyderabad and Mysore.

26th May 69 No 46-47
21st Jany — No 48 (in 80-81)
31st Mar 69 No 161
25th June 69 No 46-47

Sent 2d July 69

Sent 1869 Dept
From GOVERNMENT OF INDIA.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

LEAVE AND ALLOWANCES.

To

THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL, BENGAL.

No. 1682.

Dated Simla, 2nd July 1869.

Received — 15 —

38/ No 324

Ruling respecting the allowances of Mine officers acting on certain posts —

With an order

38 & 39

No 325 — 22 July 69.

Ordered that this proceeding be communicated to the Revenue, Military & Public Works Departments and that it be re-published in the Fort St. George Gazette.

By Rev Department
— " Mil — Do —
— " Pub — Do —
— Gazette — 29/7

dispd 22/7

Govt. of {1869} Madras.

Jud.

To

The Revenue Dept.

Jea.

Dated July. 22.

Rect. Encl.

G. O. 22 July. 1869, No. 325.

Nos. 38-39.

Comms. ruling of the Govt. of India in regard to the allowances of Uncovenanted Officers acting in certain appointments.