M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 230	Press / ~~Mis.~~
2-33.	
Dated 20-5- 1869.	

12/13

32-33

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
20th May 1869.

No. 32. Read the following letter from the Controller of Military Accounts, to the Secretary to Government, Military Department, Fort Saint George; dated Fort Saint George, 3rd May 1869, B.

I have the honour to forward a letter from the Inspector General, Indian Medical Department, dated 27th April 1869, No. 164, inquiring whether the order limiting tenure of office to fifty-five years of age is applicable to Apothecaries and Hospital Assistants.

2. I do not understand the order to apply to Warrant and Non-Commissioned Officers of the Medical or any other Department, and, it is observed, the Government of India have declined to compel the retirement of Warrant Officers of the Ordnance Department on their attaining the age of sixty-five, or an earlier age.

Procs. of Govt., No. 1969, of 16th May 1868.

From the Inspector General, Indian Medical Department, to the Secretary to Government, Military Department, Fort Saint George; dated Fort Saint George, 27th April 1869, No. 164.

With regard to the order limiting tenure of office to fifty-five years of age, Government have ruled, in the Military Department, that, as regards Uncovenanted Officers, the order applies only to those "holding gazetted appointments, and receiving such appointments direct from Government."

Proceedings, No. 1250, of 6th April 1869.

2. In their order of the same date, in the Financial Department, Government have been pleased to rule, in reference to the same class of officers, that, in their opinion, the rule applies "with equal force to all Uncovenanted Offices, and His Excellency in Council hereby notifies that, after January 1872, the rule prescribed in the Notification* of the Government of India, above quoted, will apply to *all* offices under the Government held by the Uncovenanted Service."

No. 176 of 6th April 1869.

* No. 794 of 25th January 1865.

3. I have, therefore, the honour to solicit information whether Apothecaries and Hospital Assistants are intended to be brought under the operation of the aforesaid order, which has been made applicable to Military and Naval Officers, and also to those in the Civil Department.

Transferred, with No. 2199 of 1869, to the Financial Department.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
4th May 1869.

(Signed) A. WILDE, Colonel,
Offg. Secy. to Govt., Mily. Dept.

No. 33. ORDER THEREON, 20th May 1869, No. 230.

In the foregoing letter the Inspector General, Indian Medical Department, solicits information whether Apothecaries and Hospital Assistants are to be brought under the operation of the order limiting tenure of office to fifty-five years of age.

2. It is understood that the youths selected for the Subordinate Medical Establishment are employed at the ages of 18, 19, and 20. Assuming 20 to be the minimum age, an Apothecary or Hospital Assistant will have served 35 years when he has attained the age of 55. His Excellency the Governor in Council, considering the duties of an Apothecary, or Hospital Assistant, to be in some respects, in regard to efficiency, of a more serious and important nature than those of a clerk in a public office, and that the slightest oversight or neglect might be attended with most lamentable consequences, is of opinion that the enforcement of the order referred to by the Inspector General, Indian Medical Department, in respect of members of the Subordinate Medical Establishment, who have been employed for a period of thirty-five years, will not only be a measure in the interests of the public service, but also a measure of justice to the other efficient members of the Subordinate Medical Establishment.

(True Extract).

R. S. ELLIS,
Chief Secretary.

To the Inspector General, Indian Medical Department.
,, Military Department.
,, Accountant General.

Exd. J. Wright.

Govt. of {1869 Madras.

FINANCIAL.

To

THE INSPECTOR GENERAL,
INDIAN MEDICAL DEPARTMENT.

Sir, &c., &c., &c.,

Dated 27th April 1869.

Read

G. O. 906 May 1869. No. 230

MAY.
Nos. 32-33.

Applying the order limiting tenure of office to 55 years of age to Apothecaries and Hospital Assistants.

Through the Controller of Military Accounts

No. 164. 3√2

OFFICE OF INSPECTOR GENERAL, INDIAN MEDICAL DEPARTMENT,

Fort Saint George, 27th April — 1869.

From

The Inspector General, Indian Medical Department,

Fort Saint George,

To

The Secretary to Government, Military Dept.

Fort Saint George.

SIR,

With regard to the order limiting tenure of Office to 55 years of age, Government have ruled in the Military Department, that as regards Uncovenanted Officers, the order applies only to those "holding Gazetted appointments and receiving such appointments direct from Government."

Proceedings No. 1260 of 6th April 1869.

2. In their order of the same date in the Financial Department, Government have been pleased to rule in reference to the same class of Officers, that in their opinion the rule applies "with equal force to all Uncovenanted Offices, and His Excellency in Council hereby notifies that, after January 1872, the rule prescribed in the notification of the Government of India, above quoted, will apply to all Offices under the Government, held by the Uncovenanted Service."

No. 176. 6th April 69.

3. I have therefore the honor to solicit information whether Apothecaries and

× No. 794 of 25th January 1865.

and Hospital Assistants are intended to be brought under the operation of the aforesaid order, which has been made applicable to Military and Naval Officers, and also to those in the Civil Department.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most Obt. Servant
W. MacMunn Surg.
Inspector General
Insp. Gen. Medl. Depart.

B

Office of Controller of Military Accounts,
Fort St. George, 3rd May 1869

From
The Controller Military Accounts,
To
The Secretary to Government,
Military Department,
Fort Saint George,

Sir,

I have the honor to forward a letter from the Inspector General, Indian Medical Department, dated 27th April 1869, No. 164, inquiring whether the Order limiting tenure of Office to 55 years of age is applicable to Apothecaries and Hospital Assistants.

No. 1869.
From
The Inspector General,
Indian Medical Department,
Fort Saint George,
To
The Secretary to Government,
Military Department,
Fort Saint George,
Dated 27th April
Received 4th May
No. 164.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to inform the Under Secretary to Government that I am in receipt of the Order of the Government of India, dated 2nd March last, No. 1, and that I have communicated the contents to the Medical Officers of the Service.

I have the honor to request that you will be pleased to inform the Government that I am in receipt of the Order of the Government of India, dated 2nd March last, No. 1, and that I have communicated the contents to the Medical Officers of the Service.

Assistants.

2. I do not understand the Order to apply to Warrant and Non Commissioned Officers of the Medical or any other Department, and, it is observed, the Government of India have declined to compel the retirement of Warrant Officers of the Ordnance Department on their attaining the age of 65 or any earlier age.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Obedient Servant

W Bolton Lieut Col
Controller of Civil Accounts

Recd: 6th April/69 No. 19
" — 20 " Jan'y " — 79
" — 20 " Feby/65 — 47-48
" — 6 April/69 — 82 [illegible]

From
The Controller of Military Accounts,
Fort St. George,
To
The Secretary to Government,
Military Department.

Dated 3rd May
Received 4th } 1869.
Enclosures.
Endorsement.

(Regarding applicability of 5 Bayard Orders to Sub. Medical Department.

Fort St. Georg
4th May 1869.

Transferred with
No. 199 of 1869 to the
Financial Depart.

Sd/ [illegible]
Offg. Secretary to Govt.

Transferred.

Sd/
C 11 May 17 May 32
B 11 "
C 11 "

Financial
11

Memorandum -

Section 29 of the Civil Service Rules of 1855 prescribed that, "After thirty five years service no Civil Servant shall be appointed to any new office, nor be permitted to retain an Office which he has held for a period of five years and upwards, except in special cases which are to be reported for the decision of the Board & the Court of Directors."

2. In 1864 the Government of Bombay suggested to the Government of India the expediency of extending this rule to Military and Naval Officers in Civil employment, and also to Uncovenanted Officers.

3. In submitting the proposal to the Secretary of State for India, the Government

[illegible]ator.
[illegible] Sept/64 No. 59.

Government of India pointed
that it appeared to them to
be just, and likely, if
adopted, to prove in every
way beneficial to the
public interests; and they
recommended that, after
the age of 55, no Military
or Naval Officer in
Civil employment, nor
any Uncovenanted Civil
Servant, shall be appointed
to a new office, or be
permitted to retain an
Office which he has
held for five years, subject,
as in the case of Covenanted
Civil Servants, to special
exemptions to be recommended
by the Local Government,
and sanctioned by the
Secretary of State.

4. The Secretary of
State approved of the Rule.
The Government of India
in publishing the Rule
on

Despatch d/9th Dec/64 No 90

1868.

on the 25th January 65,
directed that it shall be
held applicable to all
Military and Naval Officers,
and to Uncovenanted Officers
holding Gazetted appoint-
ments, and receiving pensions
appointments direct from
Government."

5. Three years after
the publication of the Rule
above adverted to, the
Inspector General of
Ordnance and Magazines,
Fort William, made a
recommendation to the
Government of India in
the Military Department
that, "after the age of 65,
or even an earlier age,
every Warrant Officer
should be required to
retire." In reference to
this the Government of
India remarked that
the question was under
their

Letter d/27th April/68 No 1176

their consideration before and that they had decided it in the negative." on the plain ground that mere age is no guarantee of efficiency or inefficiency, and that the latter alone is a proper reason for compulsory retirement in the case of deserving officers."

6 In January last this Government called upon the Accountant General to submit to them a return showing the names of the Officers and Uncovenanted Servants who will come under the operation of the 55 years' rule on the 1st January 1870. The Military Department, in communicating the Order to Heads of Departments did

Proceedings d/20th January/69 No 47.

G. O. d/6th April/69 No. 1250.

did so, with the remark "that the regulation referred "to applies only, as regards "the Army, to Military "Officers in Civil employ- "ment; and as regards "Uncovenanted Officers, "to those holding Gazetted "appointments, and receiving "such appointment direct- "from Government."

7. On the same date (6th April/69) on which the Military Department made the remark above quoted, the Government in the Public Department issued the following Notification -

× × × ×

"The reasons which rendered the above (55 years) rule desirable in the case of Gazetted Officers, apply, in the opinion of Government with equal force to all Uncovenanted

Uncovenanted Officers; and His Excellency in Council hereby notifies that, after January/72 the rule prescribed in the Notification of the Government of India above quoted, will apply to all officers under the Government held by the Uncovenanted Service"

5. Referring to the foregoing remark and Notification the Inspector General, Indian Medical Department, solicits information "whether "Apothecaries & Hospital "Assistants are intended "to be brought under the "operation of the aforesaid "Order which has been "made applicable to "Military and Naval Officers "and also to those in the "Civil

Letter 2/27th April/69.

"Civil Department."

6. The Controller of Military Accounts to whom the Inspector General's letter was referred, does "not understand the Order to apply to Warrant and Non-Commissioned Officers of the Medical or any other Department - and, it is observed, the Government of India have declined to compel the retirement of Warrant Officers of the Ordnance on their attaining the age of 55 or an earlier age."

See paragraph 5 of this Memo:

10. The question for consideration is whether an Apothecary or an Hospital Assistant, having regard to the nature of his duties, is likely to be an "efficient" or "inefficient" man at the age of 55.

The youths selected for Apothecaries

17

Apothecaries and Hospital
Assistants are generally
about 18 or 19 years of age.
Taking them even at 20,
they will have been in the
public service fully
35 years when they attain
the age of 55. The duties
of an Apothecary or an
Hospital Assistant,
are far more prejudicial
to health than those of
an Unco-venanted Servant,
in some respects in regard of efficiency
they are of a more serious
and important nature,
and the slightest over-
sight or neglect might
imperil the lives of many.
11. making due
allowance for exceptional
cases there can be no
doubt that the enforce-
ment of the 55 years
rule in the case of
Apothecaries & Hospital
Assistants

Assistants, who have been
35 years in the service,
will not only be a just
measure in the interests
of the public service, but
as a measure of justice
to the other efficient
Officers of their own Departments.

Para. 6 of the letter from
the Secy. to Govt. of India.
d/ 27th April 1864, No 1176.

Forwarded N.
1811
8/5.

19

Memorandum regarding the application of the 55 years' Rule to Apothecaries and Hospital Assistants.

C Makay
R 14 "
C 14 "
R 17 "

Financial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from the Controller of Military Accounts

Bee order 3 May 1869 M.

Order thereon No 230- 20 May 69.

In the foregoing letter the Inspector General Indian Medical Depart. solicits information whether Apothecaries and Hospital Apistants are to be brought under the operation of the Order limiting tenure of office to 55 years of age.

2. It is understood that the youths selected for the Subordinate Medical

medical Establishment are employed at the ages of 18. 19 and 20. Assuming 20 to be the minimum age, an Apothecary or Hospital Assistant will have served 35 years when he has attained the age of 55. His Excellency the Governor in Council, considering the duties of an Apothecary, or Hospital Assistant, to be in some respects, in regard to efficiency, of a more serious and important nature than those of a Clerk in a public office, and that the slightest oversight or neglect might be attended

attended with the most lamentable consequences is of opinion that the enforcement of the order referred to by the Insp.r General Indian Medical Department in respect of members of the Subordinate Medical Establishment, who have been employed for a period of 35 years, will not only be a just measure in the interests of the public service, but also a measure of justice to the other efficient members of the Subordinate Medical Establishment.

H.M.

To the Insp.r Genl. Ind.a med.l Dept.
Military Depart.t
Accountant General

No 6 dated 2/5/43 1840

Govt. of 186 } Madras.

Feb

To

The Insp^r Gen^l.

Ind^n Med^l Dep^t.

Dated May 20 } 1869. No. 230

Recd.

Nos. 32-33.

~~Applying~~ ~~for leave~~ the order limiting tenure of office to 55 years of age, to apothecaries & Hospital Assistants.