M.R.O. 1—77,066—8-6-57.

(1) Number of pages 10

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 104	Press Mis.
58-60.	
Dated 18-2- 18 69.	

58-60 6/7

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
18*th February* 1869.

Read the following papers :—

No. 58. From the Mint Master, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George ; dated 21st January 1869, No. 362.

I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to bring to the notice of Government, that, in consequence of my having granted Apprentice W. H. Leigh privilege leave from the 15th to the 22nd of December last, the Examiner of Claims has refused to pass his salary, from the 23rd of December to the 4th January, on the ground that, "by a Resolution of the Government of India, "dated 30th January 1866, No. 378, Financial Department, leave of absence cannot be availed "of in continuation of (either before or after) the holidays."

2. Taking the Order of the Government of India as the Examiner of Claims has quoted it, I fail to perceive any authority for his withholding the apprentice's salary for thirteen days, apart from which I may observe that I was quite unaware of the Resolution, and cannot ascertain that it has ever been communicated to this Department or published, except in a private work called the Uncovenanted Servants' *Vade Mecum*, which I did not possess, and I, therefore, trust that Government will be pleased to overrule the objection in the present instance, as the State was not put to any expense or inconvenience.

Referred to the Accountant General for report, whether the Resolution of the Government of India, dated the 7th January 1869, No. 160, has not superseded the ruling of the 30th January 1866, No. 378, and whether, under the Resolution, the salary of the apprentice cannot be passed by the Audit Department.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
27th January 1869.

(Signed) R. S. ELLIS,
Chief Secretary.

No. 121.

No. 59. *Report of the Accountant General.*

Examiner of Claims' Department.

The undersigned has the honor to report that the ruling of the Government of India, dated the 30th January 1866, No. 378, Financial, has been superseded by the recent Resolution of the 7th January 1869, No. 160, Financial ; but the case of Apprentice Leigh, of the Mint, cannot be governed by that order, as retrospective effect cannot be given to the same.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
3*rd February* 1869.

(Signed) F. LUSHINGTON,
Accountant General.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George.

No. 60. ORDER THEREON, 18th February 1869, No. 104.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased, as an exceptional case, to authorize the Accountant General to pass the salary of Apprentice W. H. Leigh, of the Madras Mint, from the 23rd December 1868 to the 4th January inclusive.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Mint Master.
,, Accountant General.

Exd. J. Wright,

Govt. of Madras

FINANCIAL.

To

THE MINT MASTER.

Madras.

&c., &c., &c.

Dated February, 1869.

Read.

Read.

G. O., 18th *February* 1869, No. 104.

FEBRUARY.

Nos. 58—60.

Authorizing the Accountant General to pass the salary of Apprentice W. H. Leigh, of the Madras Mint, from 23rd December 1868 to the 4th January inclusive.

No. 362 — 21st January 1869.

From

Colonel J. Carpendale R.E.

Mint Master

To

The Chief Secretary

to Government

Fort St. George

Sir,

I have the honor to request you will have the goodness to bring to the notice of Government, that in consequence of my having granted Apprentice W. H. Leigh privilege leave from the 15th to the 22d of December last — the Examiner of Claims has refused to pass his salary from the 23d of December to the 4th January on the ground that "by a Resolution of the Government "of India dated 30th January 1866 No. 378 "Financial Depart. leave of absence cannot "be availed of in continuation of (either "before or after) the Holidays".

2. Taking the order of the Government of India as the Examiner of Claims has quoted it, I fail to perceive any authority for his withholding the Apprentices salary for 13 days, apart from which I may

Observe

observe that I was quite unaware of the Resolution, and cannot ascertain that it has ever been communicated to this Department or published, except in a private work called the Uncovenanted Servants Vade Mecum which I did not profess, and I therefore trust that Government will be pleased to over rule the objection in the present instance as the State was not put to any expense or inconvenience

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servt,
J Grimsdall
Asst Master

1857

DA & AG. Office
Recd 29 1 1869
Ansd

Treasury & Claims Dept.

Accountant General's Off

No. 121.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
3rd February 1869.

Report of the Accountant General
~~OFFICIAL MEMO~~.

The undersigned has the honor to report that the ruling of the Government of India dated the 30th January '66 No. 378 Financial has been superceded by the recent Resolution of the 7th January '69 No. 160 Financial; but the case of apprentice Leigh of the Print cannot be governed by that order as retrospective effect cannot be given to the same.

F. Cuthbertson
Accountant General

To

The Chief Secretary to Government
Financial Department

Examiner of Claims.

Fort Saint George
27th January 1869

To, The Accountant General

Referred to the Accountant General for report whether the Resolution of the Govt. of India of the 7th January 169. No. 160 has not superseded the ruling of the 30th January 166. No. 378, and whether, under the Resolution, the salary of the apprentice cannot be paid by the Audit Department.

Rajah
Chief Secretary

Accountant General's Office 27/1

Fort Saint George
The 18th February 1869

Examiner of Claims

Sir,

I have the honor to return herewith the Memorandum of Claims No. 23 of 1869 in favor of Mr. Ariett Master, Apprentice, Madras, and to state that the Claim is correct.

Date 31st Jan. 1869
No. 23
Rs. 4-3-0

Examiner of Claims

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Claim of Mr. Ariett Master, Apprentice, Madras, for Rs. 4-3-0 for Salary from 23rd Dec. to 31st Jan. 1869 as per statement No. 23 of 1869 has been passed by me.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
Examiner of Claims

To
The Accountant General

Fort Saint George
27th January 1869

To, The Accountant General

Claim of Mr. Ariett Master, Apprentice, Madras, for Rs. 4-3-0 for Salary from 23rd Dec. to 31st Jan. 1869 as per Memorandum No. 23 of 1869 of the Examiner of Claims has been passed by me. As the Rules in the Resolution of the Govt. of India No. 378 which was sent from this Office authorize that Apprentices should be allowed to draw their full salary during the first year of their apprenticeship, I do not see any objection to the present allowance.

Accountant General

23

Fort St. George
Feby 18 1869

Examiner of Claims

Sir,

I have the honor to return herewith the Memorandum of Claims No. 23 of 1869 in favor of Mr. Ariett Master, Apprentice, Madras, and to state that the Claim is correct.

Date 31st Jan. 1869
No. 23
Rs. 4-3-0

Examiner of Claims

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Claim of Mr. Ariett Master, Apprentice, Madras, for Rs. 4-3-0 for Salary from 23rd Dec. to 31st Jan. 1869 as per statement No. 23 of 1869 has been passed by me.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
Examiner of Claims

To
The Accountant General

Fort Saint George
27th January 1869

To, The Accountant General

Claim of Mr. Ariett Master, Apprentice, Madras, for Rs. 4-3-0 for Salary from 23rd Dec. to 31st Jan. 1869 as per Memorandum No. 23 of 1869 of the Examiner of Claims has been passed by me. As the Rules in the Resolution of the Govt. of India No. 378 which was sent from this Office authorize that Apprentices should be allowed to draw their full salary during the first year of their apprenticeship, I do not see any objection to the present allowance.

Accountant General

23

Fort St. George
Feby 18 1869

Examiner of Claims

Sir,

I have the honor to return herewith the Memorandum of Claims No. 23 of 1869 in favor of Mr. Ariett Master, Apprentice, Madras, and to state that the Claim is correct.

Date 31st Jan. 1869
No. 23
Rs. 4-3-0

Examiner of Claims

Sir,

I have the honor to state that the Claim of Mr. Ariett Master, Apprentice, Madras, for Rs. 4-3-0 for Salary from 23rd Dec. to 31st Jan. 1869 as per statement No. 23 of 1869 has been passed by me.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
Examiner of Claims

To
The Accountant General

Fort Saint George
27th January 1869


To, The Accountant General

Claim of Mr. Ariett Master, Apprentice, Madras, for Rs. 4-3-0 for Salary from 23rd Dec. to 31st Jan. 1869 as per Memorandum No. 23 of 1869 of the Examiner of Claims has been passed by me. As the Rules in the Resolution of the Govt. of India No. 378 which was sent from this Office authorize that Apprentices should be allowed to draw their full salary during the first year of their apprenticeship, I do not see any objection to the present allowance.

Accountant General

23

E 9063



Financial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letters

From the Asst Master — (Rec'd 21 Jany 1869 No 362)
From Accountant General — (— " — 3 Feby 1869 No 1211)

Order thereon No 104 18 Feby 69.

His Excellency the Governor in Council is pleased, as an Exceptional Case, to authorize the Accountant General to pass the Salary of Apprentice W. H. Leigh of the Madras Insn't from the 23d December 1868 to the 4th January inclusive.

To the Asst Master
& Accountant General

Govt. of {186 } Madras.

Financial

To

The Mint Master

Fea

Dated Feby. 18 } 1869.
Recd.

G. O., 18 Feby 1869. No. 104

Nos. 58-60

Authorizing the Accountant Genl. to pass the salary of Apprentice W. H. Leigh of the Madras Mint from 23d. Decr. 68 to the 4th Jany. inclusive -

Copied
417