M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 44

(2) Date of repair 11-10-39

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Finance DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	526	Press / Mis.
Dated	21-11-	1868.

63-64 8/9

Not to be folded or roughly handled

11-10-39

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 526.

FROM

THE HONORABLE R. S. ELLIS, C. B.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George,

TO

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

In reply to your letter, dated the 18th April last, No. 2205, requesting the opinion of this Government in respect of the award to Oomerdaraz Khan, late Tahsildar of Ramallakotta, of a pension of the maximum of one-third pay, I am directed to state that that pension was granted because it appeared to this Government that the mis-statement made by him, in respect of his service when a boy under the Nawab of Kurnool, was made in ignorance rather than from any fraudulent intention, and that as his services as Tahsildar were highly meritorious, and he was an old man and very infirm, an indulgent view should be taken of his case.

2. For these reasons His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that the pension assigned to Oomerdaraz Khan in the Proceedings of this Government, under date the 4th December last, should not be reduced.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
21*st November* 1868.

Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

1868.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

From The Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George.

To The Secretary to the Govt. of India, Financial Department.

Dated 21st November 1868.

Rcd.

No. 526.
Enclosure.

Communicating the opinion of the Madras Government relative to the reduction of the pension granted to Oomerdaraz Khan, late Tahsildar of Ramallakotta.

No. 2205

3 1/

To,

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Fort St. George.

Financial Deptt Sir,

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of Endorsement from the Under Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George, dated 10th March 1868 No 136, submitting a Report of the grant to Amerdoraz Khan of a pension of one third of pay, Viz. Rs. 66-8-a Month, for a Service of 15 years and six Months, in lieu of the half pay pension sanctioned in the orders of this Department, dated 10th April 1867, No 1935.

2d In reply I am to observe that as it appears that, Amerdoraz Khan had attempted to practice a deception on the Government, with a view to get an increased amount of pension, the award to him of the maximum of one third pay pension was hardly justifiable, the opinion of the local

local Government is requested on the point.—

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Fort William
The 18th April
1868

Ed. H. Lushington
Secretary to the Govt. of India

17.4

No. 377.

From Secretary to the Govt. of India.
Financial Dept.
Date 18th April 1868.
Rect. 28th April 1868.

Requesting the opinion of this Govt as to whether the grant of Commutation of one third pension may be resumed on the death of the pensioner, and if not, whether the Govt. is entitled to withdraw a deduction from the Govt. with a view to get an increased pension.

Ext. Fin. Dep. No. 21 Sept. 18
London 1868 Department

Pol. 4 Dec. 1867 Ex. 338

dep 26/11

Pen 377

Fin. Department.

No. 526

5/11

To

The Secretary to the Government of India.

Home Department.

Financial

Sir,

I have the honor to be, Sir, your most obedient servant

Ed. H. Lushington
Secretary to the Govt. of India

I forward herewith a letter relating to pensions received from the Secretary of State in Council, Financial Dept.

A.J.A.

In reply to your letter in the Financial Department dated the 18th April last No 2205, requesting the opinion of this Govt in respect of the award to Ummeer Khan, late Tahsildar of Ramallakotta, of a pension of the maximum of one-third pay, I am directed to state that the ~~pension was recommended~~ recommended because it appeared to this Government that the mis-statement made by

A.J.A.

Leave Work

granted
A.J.A

No mode no recommendation. No refund for pension & upon this reduction.

13

by him, in respect of his service when a boy under the Nawab of Kurnool, was made in ignorance, rather than from any fraudulent intention, & that as his services as Tahsildar were highly meritorious and he was an old man and very infirm, an indulgent view should be taken of his case.

2. Under these circumstances stated His Excellency the Governor in Council is of opinion that the pension assigned to Ummenderaj Khan is not more than he deserves.

in his favor. of this Govt. under date the 4th Dec. but should not be reduced.

A.J.K. 15th 21st Dec

Pensions to Nuwwaree-
deraj Khan late
Tahsildar of Rand
Lakottah.

(9)

186 . Department

No.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of

Department.

Dated

186 .

Department. 9

No.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,
Home Department.

Sir,

They ack as on opinion. I think that we may give it in favr. I an indulgent view of the case. His arrears to the date of his removal from the service under the Rajah of Rumal Lakotta should be paid to him & his pension fixed at the rate of his pay at the time of his removal from the service.

Originally, my view of the Khan's pension matter was unfavorable to him. I think advantage should be taken of the opinion now comd by the Govt to lower the amount of the Govt recmdd. Should the answer of the Govt be in the affirmative, the Govt will then be estimate of the Khan's culpability & cannot be questioned this on the ground of error it has been palliated.

25 Augt

In reply to your letter No. 2205 in the Financial Departt dated 18th April 1868 with reference to the grant of a pension of one third of his pay to Nuwwaraz Khan late Tahsildar of Rumalakotta, I am directed to inform you that it was only after a very full enquiry and careful consideration that the Governor in Council resolved not to reduce the pension to a lower rate than Rupees 66 per mensem on account of the misstatement made by Nuwwaraz Khan, as to the total period of his service. The pensioner was formerly in the service of the Rumal Nawab's family, and it was in respect of this employment

employment during his boyhood that the misstatement was made.

The service performed by Omer-daraz Khan so far as could be judged from the conflicting evidence produced was at last during portion of the time claimed as entitling to pension merely presenting himself on certain formal occasions at Durbars; but in arriving at their decision not to deprive Omerdaraz Khan of all pensionary allowance, the Government were influenced by the consideration that there may have been more of error on the part of Omerdaraz Khan than intention to deceive.

The pensioner's services as Tahsildar were moreover highly meritorious, and he is at present an old man and very infirm.

Under these circumstances I am directed to solicit the sanction of His Excellency the Governor General in Council to the proceedings of the Govt. in this case.

I have &c

F.B.

186 . Department.

No.

To
The Secretary to the
Government of
Department.

Dated 186 .

1868.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

From

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of India,
Financial Department.

Dated 21 ~~October~~ August 1868.
Rd.

No. 526
Enclosure.

Fy. No. 668.

63-64.
In 1631-164

Forwarding the opinion of the Madras Govt. relative to the reduction of the pension granted to Vemmerdeva Khan late Tahsildar of Ramallo Kotta