M.R.O. 1—72,048—6-6-38.

(1) Number of pages_____

(2) Date of repair_____

(3) Initials of the Referencer_____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 321 Press ~~Mis.~~

23-24.

Dated 9-7- 1868.

23-24 415

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
9th July 1868.

No. 23. Read the following letter from G. H. M. BATTEN, Esq., Under Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Simla, 11th June 1868, No. 309.

With reference to Mr. Under Secretary Walker's endorsement, No. 248, dated the 16th May 1868, forwarding a statement of gratuities sanctioned by the Government of Madras, in the Pension Department, during the quarter ended 31st March 1868, I am desired to inquire why C. Ramasawmy Iyer, late a Conicopoly in the Commissariat Department, Quilon, has been allowed a gratuity in 1868, when he was discharged so far back as 15th June 1861.

No. 24. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort Saint George, 9th July 1868, No. 321.

In reply to Mr. Under Secretary Batten's letter, No. 309, of the 11th June 1868, inquiring why a gratuity was granted to C. Ramasawmy Iyer, late a Conicopoly in the Commissariat Department, in 1868, when he was discharged so far back as June 1861, I am to remark that this person was thrown out of employ at the time of a general reduction of establishments; that, in common with others, he forbore applying for gratuity with the knowledge that its acceptance would bar his claim (without refund of the gratuity) to pension for his old service in case of re-employment; and that, finding that he could not obtain re-employment, he applied in 1867, in the Military Department, for employment for his son and a gratuity for himself. This application resulted in the grant of the gratuity to which exception has been taken.

2. I am to point out that, in the opinion of this Government, the rules wisely impose no restriction with reference to the dates of application for gratuities on the part of those who are discharged for no fault, but on necessary revisions of offices, and who naturally desire re-employment. The applicant loses the benefit of his gratuity for the time he fails to apply for it, and the Government are gainers *pro tanto*. He is paid in accordance with the rules in force when he was discharged and without interest. I am to state that the existing rule appears here to work quite well, and that there are no grounds for imposing restrictions on its action.

(Signed) R. S. ELLIS,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

No. 309.

To

The Chief Secretary. to the
Government of Madras.

Sml. Departt. Sir,

With reference to Mr. Under Secretary Walker's Endorsement No. 248 dated the 16th May 1868, forwarding a Statement of Gratuities sanctioned by the Government of Madras, in the Pension Department during the quarter ended 31st March 1868, I am desired to enquire, why C. Ramasawmy Iyer, late a Conicopoly in the Commissariat Department Quilon, has been allowed a gratuity in 1868, when he was discharged so far back as 15th June 1861.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most Obdt Servant,

Simla
the 11th June 1868

J. M. Walker
Under Secy. to the Govt. of India

Blue 10/6

dispd 15/7

297

Finl Department.

No. 321

57

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,
Financial Department

Sir,

I am reply to Mr. Under-
Secretary Ballard's letter No. 309 of
the 11th June/68 enquiring why a
gratuity was granted to C.
Ramadanny Iyer late a Conve-
yancer in the Commissariat Depart.
in 1868 when he was discharged so
far back as June 1861, I am to
remark that the person was thrown
out of employment at the time of a
general reduction of Establish-
ments, that in common with
others he forbore applying for
gratuity in the knowledge that
its acceptance would bar his
claim

Rec'd Nov. 19 February 1869
No 48

Pension

By £5-25-

Ld No 321

Chief Secy to Govt Commissariat
Fort, Cash Conveyancer Ramadanny
Iyer, Cash Conveyancer Ramadanny
Iyer has been allowed a gratuity of
£5-25 & cannot be more allowed
Charge 1868.

23

claim (without refund of the gra-
tuity) to pension for his old service
on case of re-employment, and
that finding that he could not obtain
re-employment he applied in 1867
to the Military Department for
employment for his son, and a
Gratuity for himself. The error
in the grant in question.

2. I am to point out
that, in the opinion of this Govt.
wisely, the rules impose no re-
striction with reference to the dates
of application for gratuities on
the part of those who are dis-
charged for no fault, but on
necessary reductions of offices, and
who naturally desire re-employ-
ment. The applicant loses the
benefit of his gratuity for the
time he fails to apply for it,
and the Government are gainers
pro tanto. He is paid in accordance
with

applications omitted
in the grant of his
gratuity to state
exemptions he has
to claim.

with the rules in force when he
was discharged, and without
interest. I am to state that the
existing rule appears here to work
quite well, and that no grounds for
imposing restrictions on its action
are apparent.

I have &c.

Govt House St George
9th July 1868

H.K. O'M.

Feb 1867. Department.

No. 321.

To

The Secretary to the
Government of
Department.

Dated 9 July 1867.

Explaining to beg a gratuity was granted in 1867 to C. Ramasawmy Iyer, late a Conicopoly in the Commissariat Department, when he was thrown out of employ in 1867.

23. 24.