M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-31.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair 11-5-41

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 2227	Press Mis.
Dated 13-5-42	18 68.

8/9

19-20

Not to be folded or roughly handled

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 235.

FROM

THE HONORABLE R. S. ELLIS, C. B.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

I am directed to forward, for the orders of the Government of India, the accompanying Proceedings of this Government in the Pension Department, dated 29th April 1866, No. 122, relative to the grant of gratuity to Subbaiyar, a Revenue Inspector. It will be observed that no medical certificate was obtained on his behalf until a year and nine months after his retirement.

2. I am to state that the Proceedings contain a full account of the reasons which induced this Government to propose the grant of gratuity of 80 Rupees to this individual, and to request the sanction of the Government of India.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
13*th May* 1868.

Chief Secretary.

Proceedings of Government, Pension Department.

Read the following :—

REGISTER of an application for Gratuity under Pension Rules, published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of the Collector of South Arcot.

1	Full name of the person by whom the Gratuity is applied for...			Subbaiyar.
2	Present post...			3rd Class Revenue Inspector, Trinamalai Taluq.
3	Date of commencement of service...			2nd January 1852.
4	Date of retirement of service...			31st January 1866.
5	Abstract of the grounds of application....			Inability to serve longer.
			YS.	MS. DS.
6	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	Suspended from 21st April 1853 to 30th July 1853...	0	3 10
		Do. 18th October 1855 to 18th April 1856.	0	6 1
			7	11 24
7	Total of service not in Pension grades			
		Grand Total.....	8	9 5
			5	3 7
8	Total period counted as service...		66	0 0
9*	Age of the applicant at the time of this application...			
			RS.	A. P.
			20	0 0
10	Salary per mensem at the time of application.		100	0 0
11	Proposed amount of Gratuity...			

* I do hereby certify that, to the best of my belief, the applicant's age above stated is correct, and that the services of the applicant have been verified by the records.

(Signed) C. W. READE,
Collector.

STATEMENT of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	Pay.	Period. From	Period. To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts' remarks.
		RS. A. P.						
Chedumbarum Taluq.	Acting Karkoon.	10 0 0	22nd Jan. 1852.	20th April 1853.	1	3	0	Verified.
Tindivanum Taluq.	Karkoon..	10 0 0	1st Aug. 1853.	17th Oct. 1855.	2	2	17	
Trinamalai Taluq.	Do. ...	10 0 0	19th April 1856.	15th Sep. 1857.	1	4	28	
Chetput Taluq..	Do. ...	10 0 0	16th Sep. 1857.	3rd Oct. 1858.	1	0	18	
Trinamalai Taluq.	Do. ...	10 0 0	4th October 1858.	24th Oct. 1860.	2	0	21	Verified from the Records of this Office, and those of the Collector of South Arcot.
Do. ...	3rd Class Revenue Inspector.	14 0 0	25th Oct. 1860.	31st Oct. 1865.	5	0	7	
Do. ...	Do. ...	20 0 0	1st Nov. 1865.	31st Jan. 1866.	0	3	0	Applicant does not appear from the Leave Registers, from 1860 to 1866 on record in this Office, to have obtained any leave which does not count for pension.
				Total...	13	3	1	
Deduct service not in Pension grades...				YS. MS. DS. 7 11 24	7	11	24	(Signed) W. DONALD, Examiner of Claims.
				Net period of service.....	5	3	7	

Explanation of Column 6, with quotations of Orders of reinstatement.	Explanation of any degradation.
The suspension in the first instance, viz., from the 21st April 1853 to 30th July 1853, was for disobedience of the order of the Tahsildar and contempt of the authority of that Officer. Reinstated by order of the Collector, dated 29th July 1853, No. 14. The second suspension was for having made over certain lands to the second applicant in preference to the first. Reinstated by order of the Head Assistant Collector, dated 19th April 1856, No. 161.	None.

Detail of leave on half-pay and full stoppage of pay.

From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.
	Total of leave...			

Remarks by the Head of the Office on past character and conduct of the applicant throughout the service.

Subbaiyar conducted his duties on the whole satisfactorily. He is now disable and unfit for further service, and I beg to recommend that a gratuity of Rupees 100, equal to five months' pay, may be granted to him to which he is entitled under the new rules. The applicant finally quitted the service on 31st January 1866. He appeared before me on the 13th June 1866, and the delay in submitting this application since that date was partly in consequence of the time taken for verifying his services in the records consequent on the sickness of the Record-keeper, and partly in consequence of the non-appearance of the applicant for some months subsequently. He was sent to the Medical Officer on the 2nd ultimo for obtaining the Certificate. In explanation for the delay on the part of the applicant in producing the Medical Certificate in time, he states that he was not aware that such a Certificate was required under the rules. He states that he waited at the Head Assistant Collector's Office for four months after quitting his office, and that he was not informed by that Officer as to the steps to be taken by him for obtaining his gratuity.

(Signed) C. W. READE,
Collector.

CUDDALORE, *2nd November* 1867.

I, John Bilderbeck, Civil Surgeon of Cuddalore, do hereby certify on honor that I have personally examined Subbaiyar, late Revenue Inspector of Trinamalai Taluq, and find that he suffers from general debility consequent on old age, (66 years,) and long service (45 years). I consider him unfit for further service. His present state of health has not been caused by intemperance or other irregular habits. He has not been under medical treatment, not being likely to derive any benefit therefrom.

CUDDALORE,
18*th October* 1867.

(Signed) J. BILDERBECK, Asst. Surgeon,
Civil Surgeon.

No. 378.

REPORT of the Examiner of Claims.

	YS.	MS.	DS.
Service qualifying for pension is proved for a total period of.....	5	3	7

Applicant is eligible to a gratuity of Rupees 100, equal to five months' salary, under Clause I. of Section 6 of the Revised Pension Rules, should Government accept the explanation given by the Collector, with reference to the cause of delay in the submission of application until 2nd November 1867, and of production of Medical Certificate after a lapse of 1 year and 8 months from the date of the applicant's retirement from service.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
24th February 1868.

(Signed) W. DONALD,
Examiner of Claims.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Civil Pension Department.

No. 6.

MEMORANDUM.

The Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, is directed to forward to the Collector of South Arcot the Pension application on behalf of Subbaiyar, and to call his attention to the discrepancy between the length of service given in the application, 13 years, and in the Medical Certificate 45 years, and to request early explanation.

(Signed) C. G. WALKER,

2*nd March* 1868. *Under Secy. to Govt.*

To the Collector of South Arcot.

From the Collector of South Arcot, to the Under Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George ; dated Carengooly, 7th March 1868, No. 27.

In re-submitting the application for gratuity, returned with your Memorandum of 2nd instant, No. 6, I have the honor to state that the length of service, 13 years, entitling to pension, entered in the application, is correct, the same having been verified by the records ; 45 years given in the Medical Certificate is evidently a mistake, and the Civil Surgeon had no means whatever of knowing the exact period of service beyond the applicant's own statement, which, I presume, is the data on which the Civil Surgeon gave it as 45 years ; the fact of the applicant not having been able to show longer service when his service list was prepared in this office, sufficiently proves that his statement to the Civil Surgeon is incorrect, and has nothing in its confirmation.

Order, 29*th April* 1868, *No.* 122.

The above is an application from the Collector of South Arcot for a gratuity on behalf of Subbaiyar, late a Revenue Inspector. This man was first employed in 1852 at the age of 51, and retired in January 1866 at the age of 65, being certified unfit for further service from old age.

2. No Medical Certificate was obtained on his behalf until October 1867, a year and nine months after his retirement. He was once suspended for three months in 1853 for disobedience to the Tahsildar, and for six months in 1855-56 for having made over lands to a second applicant in disregard of the claims of the first applicant. Otherwise he is stated to have behaved well.

3. The Collector's remarks in explanation of the great delay that has occurred in this case are, in the opinion of the Governor in Council, most unsatisfactory.

4. In this instance it is stated that the applicant having been thrown out of employ on the 31st January, appeared before the Collector on the 13th June 1866. That the delay thereafter arose from the time taken in verifying his services consequent on the sickness of the Record-keeper, and partly "from the non-appearance of the applicant." It would have been perfectly easy to have secured the applicant's attendance, and the illness of the Record-keeper cannot be admitted by Government as a reason for delay in the verification of service. The Collector of South Arcot further states that the applicant was sent to the Medical Officer on the 2nd October 1867 (one year and a quarter after appearing before the Collector) ; that he accounted for his delay in producing a Certificate by the plea that he did not know it was required ; and that he stated "that he waited at the Head Assistant Collector's Office for four months after quitting his office," and could get no information as to the steps to be taken by him for obtaining his gratuity.

5. It is the duty of the Heads of Offices to explain to their subordinates who may be about to retire all the necessary steps for obtaining pensions or gratuities, and upon a statement being made regarding the Head Assistant, which reflected on that Officer as neglecting an obvious duty, the Collector should have informed himself if the petitioner's allegation was well founded.

6. The Government consider the applicant entitled to a gratuity of Rupees 80, or four months' salary (diminished from his entering the service at so late an age), and an application on his behalf will be made to the Government of India.

7. These Proceedings will be communicated to the Heads of Offices at the Presidency, and to the Collectors of districts, with the intimation that the Government attach great importance to the punctual observance of the Pension Rules, and to their being thoroughly explained to all applicants for pension or gratuity. It should be remembered that the inattention to the Pension Rules, which has so often required the notice of Government, not only entails much additional labor on the Offices of Audit and on the Government Office, but renders necessary special applications to the Government of India, and endangers the claims of applicants.

8. The Governor in Council will, therefore, feel it his duty to take most serious notice of negligence, in the performance of what should for obvious reasons be considered by public Officers as a very important part of their duties.

(True Extract).

(Signed) C. G. WALKER,

Under Secy. to Go

Exd. F. R. Bantleman.

1868.

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

From *The Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George.*

To *The Secretary to the Govt. of India, Financial Department.*

Dated 18th May 1868.
Recd.

No. 235.
Enclosure.

Recommending for sanction the grant of a gratuity of Rupees 80 to Subbaiyar, late a Revenue Inspector in the South Arcot District.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department, 29th April 1868.

Read the following :—

REGISTER of an application for Gratuity under Pension Rules, published under date 3rd May 1864, from the Establishment of the Collector of South Arcot.

1	Full name of the person by whom the Gratuity is applied for...				Subbaiyar.
2	Present post...				3rd Class Revenue Inspector, Trinamalai Taluq.
3	Date of commencement of service...				2nd January 1852.
4	Date of retirement of service...				31st January 1866.
5	Abstract of the grounds of application....				Inability to serve longer.
				Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.
6	How long unemployed, and under what circumstances.	Suspended from 21st April 1853 to 30th July 1853 ..		0	3	10
		Do. 18th October 1855 to 18th April 1856.		0	6	1
7	Total of service not in Pension grades			7	11	24
			Grand Total.....	8	9	5
				5	3	7
8	Total period counted as service...			66	0	0
9*	Age of the applicant at the time of this application...					
				Rs.	A.	P.
				20	0	0
10	Salary per mensem at the time of application.			100	0	0
11	Proposed amount of Gratuity...					

* I do hereby certify that, to the best of my belief, the applicant's age above stated is correct, and that the services of the applicant have been verified by the records.

(Signed) C. W. [illegible]DE,
Collector.

STATEMENT of total period of Service.

Establishment.	Post.	Pay.			Period. From	Period. To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	Accountant General or Controller of Military Accounts' remarks.
		Rs.	A.	P.						
Chedumbarum Taluq.	Acting Karkoon.	10	0	0	22nd Jan. 1852.	20th April 1853.	1	3	0	Verified.
Tindivanum Taluq.	Karkoon..	10	0	0	1st Aug. 1853.	17th Oct. 1855.	2	2	17	
Trinamalai Taluq.	Do. ...	10	0	0	19th April 1856.	15th Sep. 1857.	1	4	28	
Chetput Taluq..	Do. ...	10	0	0	16th Sep. 1857.	3rd Oct. 1858.	1	0	18	
Trinamalai Taluq.	Do. ...	10	0	0	4th October 1858.	24th Oct. 1860.	2	0	21	Verified from the Records of this Office, and those of the Collector of South Arcot.
Do. ...	3rd Class Revenue Inspector.	14	0	0	25th Oct. 1860.	31st Oct. 1865.	5	0	7	
Do. ...	Do. ...	20	0	0	1st Nov. 1865.	31st Jan. 1866.	0	3	0	Applicant does not appear from the Leave Registers, from 1860 to 1866 on record in this Office, to have obtained any leave which does not count for pension.
						Total...	13	3	1	
					Yrs. Ms. Ds.					
	Deduct service not in Pension grades...				7 11 24		7	11	24	(Signed) W. DONALD, Examiner of Claims.
						Net period of service.....	5	3	7	

Explanation of Column 6, with quotations of Orders of reinstatement.	Explanation of any degradation.
The suspension in the first instance, viz., from the 21st April 1853 to 30th July 1853, was for disobedience of the order of the Tahsildar and contempt of the authority of that Officer. Reinstated by order of the Collector, dated 29th July 1853, No. 14. The second suspension was for having made over certain lands to the second applicant in preference to the first. Reinstated by order of the Head Assistant Collector, dated 19th April 1856, No. 161.	None.

Detail of leave on half-pay and full stoppage of pay.					Remarks by the Head of the Office on past character and conduct of the applicant throughout the service.
From	To	Yrs.	Ms.	Ds.	
					Subbaiyar conducted his duties on the whole satisfactorily. He is now disable and unfit for further service, and I beg to recommend that a gratuity of Rupees 100, equal to five months' pay, may be granted to him to which he is entitled under the new rules. The applicant finally quitted the service on 31st January 1866. He appeared before me on the 13th June 1866, and the delay in submitting this application since that date was partly in consequence of the time taken for verifying his services in the records consequent on the sickness of the Record-keeper, and partly in consequence of the non-appearance of the applicant for some months subsequently. He was sent to the Medical Officer on the 2nd ultimo for obtaining the Certificate. In explanation for the delay on the part of the applicant in producing the Medical Certificate in time, he states that he was not aware that such a Certificate was required under the rules. He states that he waited at the Head Assistant Collector's Office for four months after quitting his office, and that he was not informed by that Officer as to the steps to be taken by him for obtaining his gratuity.
	Total of leave...				

CUDDALORE, *2nd November* 1867.

(Signed) C. W. READE,
Collector.

I, John Bilderbeck, Civil Surgeon of Cuddalore, do hereby certify on honor that I have personally examined Subbaiyar, late Revenue Inspector of Trinamalai Taluq, and find that he suffers from general debility consequent on old age, (66 years,) and long service (45 years). I consider him unfit for further service. His present state of health has not been caused by intemperance or other irregular habits. He has not been under medical treatment, not being likely to derive any benefit therefrom.

CUDDALORE,
18th October 1867.

(Signed) J. BILDERBECK, Asst. Surgeon,
Civil Surgeon.

No. 378.

REPORT of the Examiner of Claims.

	YS.	MS.	DS.
Service qualifying for pension is proved for a total period of.....	5	3	7

Applicant is eligible to a gratuity of Rupees 100, equal to five months' salary, under Clause I. of Section 6 of the Revised Pension Rules, should Government accept the explanation given by the Collector, with reference to the cause of delay in the submission of application until 2nd November 1867, and of production of Medical Certificate after a lapse of 1 year and 8 months from the date of the applicant's retirement from service.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
24th February 1868.

(Signed) W. DONALD,
Examiner of Claims.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Civil Pension Department.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
29th April 1868.

No. 6.

MEMORANDUM.

The Under Secretary to Government, Pension Department, is directed to forward to the Collector of South Arcot the Pension application on behalf of Subbaiyar, and to call his attention to the discrepancy between the length of service given in the application, 13 years, and in the Medical Certificate 45 years, and to request early explanation.

(Signed) C. G. WALKER,
Under Secy. to Govt.

2nd March 1868.

To the Collector of South Arcot.

From the Collector of South Arcot, to the Under Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Carengooly, 7th March 1868, No. 27.

In re-submitting the application for gratuity, returned with your Memorandum of 2nd instant, No. 6, I have the honor to state that the length of service, 13 years, entitling to pension, entered in the application, is correct, the same having been verified by the records; 45 years given in the Medical Certificate is evidently a mistake, and the Civil Surgeon had no means whatever of knowing the exact period of service beyond the applicant's own statement, which, I presume, is the data on which the Civil Surgeon gave it as 45 years; the fact of the applicant not having been able to show longer service when his service list was prepared in this office, sufficiently proves that his statement to the Civil Surgeon is incorrect, and has nothing in its confirmation.

ORDER THEREON, 29th April 1868, No. 122.

The above is an application from the Collector of South Arcot for a gratuity on behalf of Subbaiyar, late a Revenue Inspector. This man was first employed in 1852 at the age of 51, and retired in January 1866 at the age of 65, being certified unfit for further service from old age.

2. No Medical Certificate was obtained on his behalf until October 1867, a year and nine months after his retirement. He was once suspended for three months in 1853 for disobedience to the Tahsildar, and for six months in 1855-56 for having made over lands to a second applicant in disregard of the claims of the first applicant. Otherwise he is stated to have behaved well.

3. The Collector's remarks in explanation of the great delay that has occurred in this case are, in the opinion of the Governor in Council, most unsatisfactory.

4. In this instance it is stated that the applicant having been thrown out of employ on the 31st January, appeared before the Collector on the 13th June 1866. That the delay thereafter arose from the time taken in verifying his services consequent on the sickness of the Record-keeper, and partly "from the non-appearance of the applicant." It would have been perfectly easy to have secured the applicant's attendance, and the illness of the Record-keeper cannot be admitted by Government as a reason for delay in the verification of service. The Collector of South Arcot further states that the applicant was sent to the Medical Officer on the 2nd October 1867 (one year and a quarter after appearing before the Collector); that he accounted for his delay in producing a Certificate by the plea that he did not know it was required; and that he stated "that he waited at the Head Assistant Collector's Office for four months after quitting his office," and could get no information as to the steps to be taken by him for obtaining his gratuity.

5. It is the duty of the Heads of Offices to explain to their subordinates who may be about to retire all the necessary steps for obtaining pensions or gratuities, and upon a statement being made regarding the Head Assistant, which reflected on that Officer as neglecting an obvious duty, the Collector should have informed himself if the petitioner's allegation was well founded.

6. The Government consider the applicant entitled to a gratuity of Rupees 80, or four months' salary (diminished from his entering the service at so late an age), and an application on his behalf will be made to the Government of India.

7. These Proceedings will be communicated to the Heads of Offices at the Presidency, and to the Collectors of districts, with the intimation that the Government attach great importance to the

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Pension Department,
29th April 1868.

punctual observance of the Pension Rules, and to their being thoroughly explained to all applicants for pension or gratuity. It should be remembered that the inattention to the Pension Rules, which has so often required the notice of Government, not only entails much additional labor on the Offices of Audit and on the Government Office, but renders necessary special applications to the Government of India, and endangers the claims of applicants.

8. The Governor in Council will, therefore, feel it his duty to take most serious notice of negligence, in the performance of what should for obvious reasons be considered by public Officers as a very important part of their duties.

(True Extract).

Under Secy to Govt.

To the Collector of South Arcot.
" Accountant General.
" Controller of Military Accounts.
" Financial Department.

Cxd. F. R. Pantleman.

Financial Dept
Proceedings
Pension Govt Pension Dept
Dated 29 April
No 235-

No 2305

No 235-

Forwarding under the rules in force a copy of the Proceedings noted in the margin, granting a gratuity to a Native Subordinate in the Revenue Department.

Dept P&S

List

Financial Department.

No. 235-

To The Secretary to the Government
of India
Financial Dept
Calcutta

Sir,

I am directed to forward for the orders of the Govt of India the accompanying Proceedings of this Govt in the Pension Department dated 29th April 1868 No 122 relative to the grant of a Gratuity to Subbayer a Native subordinate. It will be observed that no Medical Certificate was obtained on his behalf until a year and nine months after his retirement.-

I am to state
that

that the proceedings contain
a full account of the reasons
which induced this Govt
to propose the grant of
of 80 Rs a Mo individual and
Gratuity and ~~Pension~~ to request
~~that the grant of a Gratuity~~
~~of Rs 80 to Colonel Jervis may~~
~~be sanctioned~~ &c.—

The sanction of the
Govt of India

Fort St George
13 May 1861

Letter of 19th May

Financial 1868 Departt.

№ 235.

To
The Secy: to the Govt
of India

№ 305

Dated 13th May 1868.

Recommending the
for sanction
grant of a gratuity
of Rs 80, to Subbaiyan
late a Revenue Inspr:
for in the South Arcot
District.

19. 20.