M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 12.

(2) Date of repair 28-8-39

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Revenue DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 520 Press / Mis.

57-58

Dated 25-11- 1867.

7/7

57-58

Not to be folded or roughly handled

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 520.

FROM

R. S. ELLIS, ESQ., C. B.

Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Adverting to paragraph 4 of the Financial letter, dated 17th December 1861, No. 14819, I am directed by His Excellency the Governor in Council to submit the enclosed Proceedings of this Government on an application for gratuity on behalf of Kistna Iyer, late Revenue Inspector in the South Arcot District, and to recommend that, under the circumstances stated in the Proceedings, a gratuity of Rupees (150) one hundred and fifty, equal to six months' salary, may be granted to Kistna Iyer.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
25th November 1867.

Proceedings of Government, Pension Department.

Read the following papers :—

REGISTER of an application for Gratuity under the old Rules from the Establishment of the Collectorate of South Arcot.

| Name of the person for whom the gratuity is applied. | Statement of total period of Service. | | |

2. In his examination before the Acting Head Assistant, Kistna Iyer does not plead his illness, though he did so in his petition to me of 19th January 1867, in which he stated that he was prevented by that cause from submitting his application for gratuity earlier.

From the Acting Head Assistant Collector of South Arcot, to the Collector of South Arcot; dated Tindevanum, 21st October 1867, No. 217.

In reply to your Official Memorandum, No. 845, dated 26th September 1867, I have the honor to state that Streenevasiengar, who was Acting Tahsildar of Tindevanum in May of last year, states that it is within his memory that he told Kistna Iyer that he ought to obtain a Medical Certificate to state that he was unfit for further service.

2. This is the only step for the purpose of obtaining his gratuity that appears to have been duly made known to Kistna Iyer at the time of his resignation. I have personally examined Kistna Iyer, and he says that nobody told him what to do; that for six months he was dancing attendance at the Head Assistant's office, and that as no order was given he went to the Collector's office in December.

3. He does not plead his illness at all, and therefore I am of opinion that he was not actually prevented by sickness from submitting his application earlier.

Order, 16*th November* 1867, *No.* 330.

Kistna Iyer, late Revenue Inspector in the South Arcot District, retired from Government employ after 29 years' qualifying service, as certified by Medical authority, and would, under ordinary circumstances, be eligible under the old rules for a gratuity of Rupees 150, equal to six months' salary; but under a strict interpretation of the rules the applicant may be held to have forfeited his claim for the following reasons:—That he made no application for gratuity until more than a year had elapsed after his retirement, and that the Medical Certificate, which it was his duty to have produced at the time of retirement, was not submitted before sixteen months had elapsed.

2. As, however, it appears that no directions were given by his superior officer to enable him to apply for gratuity in regular form, although the applicant was in attendance at the Head Assistant Collector's office for six months after his retirement, the Government are unwilling that the applicant should be left totally unprovided for after his long service, for an omission to comply with the rules, which was due in part, if not entirely, to the officers whose duty it was to have informed the applicant of the steps to be taken by him for obtaining his gratuity.

3. They resolve, accordingly, to submit the case for the orders and favorable consideration of the Government of India.

Read the following papers:—

Register of an application for Gratuity under the old Rules from the Establishment of the Collectorate of South Arcot.

| Name of the person for whom the gratuity is applied. | Statement of total period of Service. | | |

No. 19.

Report of the Examiner of Claims.

The applicant's service from 1st January 1827 to 31st October 1835 cannot be verified, as only the appointments of Peons and their salaries, without specifying their names, are shown in the Annual Returns on records in this office. His service, from 1st November 1835 to 31st January 1866, having been verified from the records of this office, the gratuity of Rupees 150, equal to six months' salary, can be granted to him under the old rules, should Government accept the Collector's explanation of the cause of delay in the submission of application, and of production of Medical Certificate by the applicant till sixteen months after his retirement from service.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE,
5th August 1867.

(Signed) W. DONALD,
Examiner of Claims.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Civil Pension Department.

I, John Bilderbeck, Civil Surgeon of Cuddalore, do hereby certify on honor that I have personally examined Kistna Iyer, late Revenue Inspector of the Tindevanum Taluq, and find him suffering from general debility, consequent on old age (65 years) and long service (38 years). I am of opinion that he is quite unfit for further active service, and that his present state of health has not been caused by intemperance or other irregular habits. Has not been under medical treatment, not being likely to derive any benefit from the same.

CUDDALORE,
4th June 1867.

(Signed) J. BILDERBECK, Asst. Surgeon,
Civil Surgeon.

No. 22.

MEMORANDUM.

The Acting Under Secretary is directed to request that the Collector of South Arcot will state, with reference to the remarks entered in column 6 of Register of application for gratuity on behalf of Kistna Iyer, dated 22nd June last, whether the instructions contained in the Notification published in the *Fort Saint George Gazette*, dated 17th April 1866, Pension Department, were duly attended to in the applicant's case, and whether any reason can be adduced for relieving the applicant from the consequences of delay in applying for gratuity and producing Medical Certificate. It should be particularly stated whether the several steps to be taken by the applicant, for the purpose of obtaining his gratuity, were duly made known to Kistna Iyer at the time of his resignation.

10*th August* 1867.

(Signed) F. BRANDT,
Ag. Under Secy to Govt.

From the Collector of South Arcot, to the Acting Under Secretary to Government ; dated Punrooty, 25th October 1867, No. 53.

In reference to your Official Memorandum of 10th August last, No. 22, I have the honor to submit herewith copy of a letter* from the Acting Head Assistant Collector, to whom your Memorandum was referred for report on the points therein noticed, as the applicant for gratuity served in the Head Assistant's division. It will be observed that the only step made known to Kistna Iyer to be taken by him for obtaining his gratuity was that he should obtain a Medical Certificate. Kistna Iyer finally quitted the service on 15th July 1866, and it was not till 19th January 1867 that he petitioned me on the subject of his gratuity, so that I consider that the applicant is himself responsible for the delay during some portion, if not the *whole*, of the intervening period, as he states that he waited for six months at the Head Assistant's office, and that nobody told him what to do.

* Dated 21st instant, No. 217.

2. In his examination before the Acting Head Assistant, Kistna Iyer does not plead his illness, though he did so in his petition to me of 19th January 1867, in which he stated that he was prevented by that cause from submitting his application for gratuity earlier.

From the Acting Head Assistant Collector of South Arcot, to the Collector of South Arcot ; dated Tindevanum, 21st October 1867, No. 217.

In reply to your Official Memorandum, No. 845, dated 26th September 1867, I have the honor to state that Streenevasiengar, who was Acting Tahsildar of Tindevanum in May of last year, states that it is within his memory that he told Kistna Iyer that he ought to obtain a Medical Certificate to state that he was unfit for further service.

2. This is the only step for the purpose of obtaining his gratuity that appears to have been duly made known to Kistna Iyer at the time of his resignation. I have personally examined Kistna Iyer, and he says that nobody told him what to do ; that for six months he was dancing attendance at the Head Assistant's office, and that as no order was given he went to the Collector's office in December.

3. He does not plead his illness at all, and therefore I am of opinion that he was not actually prevented by sickness from submitting his application earlier.

ORDER [illegible], 16th November 1867, No. 330.

Kistna Iyer, late Revenue Inspector in the South Arcot District, retired from Government employ after 29 years' qualifying service, as certified by Medical authority, and would, under ordinary circumstances, be eligible under the old rules for a gratuity of Rupees 150, equal to six months' salary ; but under a strict interpretation of the rules the applicant may be held to have forfeited his claim for the following reasons :—That he made no application for gratuity until more than a year had elapsed after his retirement, and that the Medical Certificate, which it was his duty to have produced at the time of retirement, was not submitted before sixteen months had elapsed.

2. As, however, it appears that no directions were given by his superior officer to enable him to apply for gratuity in regular form, although the applicant was in attendance at the Head Assistant Collector's office for six months after his retirement, the Government are unwilling that the applicant should be left totally unprovided for after his long service, for an omission to comply with the rules, which was due in part, if not entirely, to the officers whose duty it was to have informed the applicant of the steps to be taken by him for obtaining his gratuity.

3. They resolve, accordingly, to submit the case for the orders and favorable consideration of the Government of India.

(True Extract).

F. Brandt.

Under Secretary.

To the Collector of South Arcot.
" Accountant General.
" Financial Department.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Two spare copies.

recd 27/11

9

Financial Department.

No. 520-

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,
Financial Department.

Sir,

Adverting to paragraph 4 of the Financial letter, dated 17th December 1861. No. 4819 I am directed by His Excellency the Governor in Council to submit the enclosed Proceedings of this Government on an application for Gratuity on behalf of Kistna Iyer late Revenue Inspector in the South Arcot District, and to recommend that under the circumstances stated in the Proceedings a gratuity of (Rs 150). one hundred and fifty equal to 6 months salary may be granted to Kistna Iyer.

I have &c.

Fort St. George.
25th November 1862

Rs 150 Salary

FB.

Financial 1859 Aug 14
M 28

Pub Gen Consn Aug 30
No 550

SO. No 520.

Rs 225 dr

Rs 539.

Applying to the Govt of India Financial Department for a Gratuity for Kistna Iyer late Revenue Inspector in the South Arcot District.

Kistna 27 Dec

Financial 1867 Depart.

No 520

To

The Secy. Govt. of India

Fin. D. Depart.

Dated 26th November 1867

No 630

Letter 3 Secr.