M.R.O. 1—77,005—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 10

(2) Date of repair 2-9-39

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 125 Press / Mis.

Dated 15 18 .

44-45

6/7

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No 792

Tanjore Collector's Office Point Calimere
Trichinopoly Taluq 24th July 1866.

From G. Bambury Esq
Offg Collector of Tanjore

To J. D. Sim Esq

Chief Secretary to Government
Fort Saint George

Sir,

With reference to the Proceedings of Government dated 10th instant regarding my Deputation allowance, I have the honn to request that Government will kindly take into consideration the following representation, as the Accountant General has not put the matter before Government as fully as he might have done.

2nd I base my claim upon the Standard Regulations of the Service thus. Section 25, Chapter 6, clearly states that "an officer of-
"ficiating in more than
"one appointment will
"be entitled to Deputation
"allowance regulated by
the

Note. Calculated according to Clause 3 Section 25 this would fix my deputation allowance at Rs 467-1-4 and thus make the entire emoluments more than those of Fd Morris as the proviso in Section 26 comes into play and reduces the former to 250 Rs.

"the aggregate amount of the salary of
"the offices" with the proviso in Sec:
tion 26 applicable in my case that,
"no Civil Servant temporarily of:
"ficiating for another shall draw
"an amount larger than the entire
"emoluments of the office in which
"he is officiating". I am therefore
clearly entitled to the difference
between my own salary and that
of Mr. Morris. viz. Rs 250. Such are
the fundamental Rules always
acknowledged, and always acted
upon, and which are surely not
due in any way affected by the
Retrancons and, as it appears to
me, inapplicable case of Mr.
Gubbins!

3 The Accountant General, I
take it, would lead Government to
infer that there will be no funds
available for the payment of the
moiety of the Agency allowances
to Mr. Morris on his return, if
I receive as heretofore. But the
Deputation allowance is an the
aggregate; and should therefore
be deducted proportionately to the
savings

savings under "Revenue" and "Govern=
ment Agency" respectively. Ample
then remains for all purposes and
this course was naturally adopted
until the Accountant General ordered
all to be debited to "Government Agency".
This mode of debit is certainly opposed
to the spirit of the rules and creates
the apparent difficulty urged by the
Accountant General. There is no
reason that the Government Agency
should bear the whole charge.

4 Again, Clause 3 Section 25 dis=
tinctly states "no lower rate of
"Deputation allowance shall be
"given than Rs 200." The audit office
cannot therefore diminish my
Deputation allowance to Rs 125---
as now contemplated.

5 Such then is the law; now as
to the equity. The Government
know full well the nature of the
work and responsibilities of the
Tanjore District, so I need not
dilate thereon, merely adding that
to the trouble and worry of the
newly annexed Pudukottah Special
Agency, Messrs Morris and Lavinge
will bear ample testimony. When

therefore

therefore it is borne in mind that available Funds, recognized rules, Extra work, and the Extra Expences ever attendant on a move, all support my case, I cannot think that the Madras Government would wish one of their public Servants to officiate in their most important Province for far less remuneration in the shape of deputation allowance than the very minimum which the Bengal Government have fixed for these emoluments in the lowest grades.

6 Should, however, Government decree that they see no reason to alter their present decision over-ruling the former procedure, I trust that during my present incumbency the usual course may be allowed to hold good, and that I may be permitted to receive the Rs 250 which I was universally told would be my remuneration for leaving the Trichinopoly District, and which sum the Accountant General has hitherto duly passed in conformity with the former practice and Existing

Existing Regulations.-

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

Lea Bambury.
Offg Collr

No.

Fort Saint George,

The 12 March 1867.

My dear Mr. Arbuthnot

If Mr. Danbury's Case has not been referred to the Govt. of India, do not lay his letter of 5 inst.* before Council today as Mr. Raynor has taken a different view of the case from that Mr. Taylor did & there

* Any reasons for Envoy's reference

Govt.

papers

F. 114/67

Fint. Pro. 13th March /67 No. 123.

Pro: 10th July 1866 - 13-14

Transferred to the Financial Dept. -

[illegible]

Under Sec: to Govt.

1-8-66 -

Pro:
13 March/67 No. 123.

Vide No. 114 of 1867

C.M. Augt.
R. 27"

1866

Financial Department

From No. 2262

The Ag. Collector of Tanjore

To

The Chief Secretary to Government

Dated 24th July 1866
Recd 28th
Disc 3d Augt.

No. 792

No. 270

Respectfully requests that Government will for Regulations & reasons herein set forth reconsider their orders passed regarding Mr. Bunbury's Deputation Allowance. —

W. Docket,

To Lieutt a.f. Arbuthnot
Chief Secretary to Govt.
Fort St George

Sir.

The Accountant General having upon further consideration deemed that I am entitled to the same acting allowance as Govt Agent as Paymaster has been accorded by my Predecessors I have the honor to request Govt will permit me to withdraw my letters dated 26th ultimo last & 2nd Instant -

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your obedt Servant
Lea Rambery
Collector of Trichy
Provisionally in charge

Madras
March 8th 1867.

authorised me to pass Mr Bambury's allowance without suspending the retrenchment issued -

Mr Bambury had thereupon perhaps better read his letter of 26th ult. to the D.A.G. with Rand the retrenchment

If the point has been referred to the Supreme Govt, the retrenchment must remain in force pending the reference -

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
J. Donald

Financial 1867 Dept
Recd 13 March 1867
To Chief Secretary
Fort St George
From Collector
of Trichinopoly
on leave
Dd 12.3.67.
Reg 114
Requests to be
permitted to
withdraw his letter
regarding claims
to full officiating
allowance while
acting Head
Asst of Tanjore

W.

13th March 1867. No. 123.

Bound accordingly

L.H.

List

recd 26 March

G. Banbury Esq.
Acct Genl. with 2 Enclos.
dispd 19/3

44.405