M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	Press / Mis.
Dated	18 .

47-48 5/6

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, 26th January 1866.

No. 47. Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Board of Revenue, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Madras, 10th January 1866, No. 167.

It has come to the Board's knowledge that according to the interpretation placed by the Examiner of Claims on Section 9 of the Rules for leave, if special leave on urgent private affairs be once taken under that Section, for however short a period, be it only a few days, all claim to any further special leave during the whole period of service, which may be five and thirty years, is lost.

2. As the Board cannot believe that this was the *intention* of any ruling on the subject which may have been passed by the Supreme Government, they direct me to bring the point under the consideration of Government, in view to a reference being made on the subject.

———

No. 48. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort Saint George, 26th January 1866, No. 44.

I am directed by His Excellency the Governor in Council to transmit the accompanying copy of a letter from the Board of Revenue, questioning the correctness of the interpretation placed by the Examiner of Claims on Section 9 of the Civil Service Furlough and Absentee Rules, viz., that if special leave on urgent private affairs be once taken under that Section, for however short a period, all further claim to it during the whole period of service is lost. The Madras Government cannot but think that a more liberal construction of the rule was intended, but the point is one which should be determined authoritatively, and His Excellency in Council would be glad to be favored with the instructions of the Government of India on the subject.

Dated 10th January 1866, No. 167.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

No. 167.

From W. HUDLESTON, Esq., Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
To the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government.

SIR,

It has come to the Board's knowledge that according to the interpretation placed by the Examiner of Claims on Section 9 of the Rules for leave, if special leave on urgent private affairs be once taken under that Section, for, however short a period, be it only a few days, all claim to any further special leave during the whole period of service, which may be five and thirty years is lost.

2. As the Board cannot believe that this was the *intention* of any ruling on the subject which may have been passed by the Supreme Government, they direct me to bring the point under the consideration of Government, in view to a reference being made on the subject.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

W. Hudleston
Secretary.

REVENUE BOARD OFFICE,
Madras,
10th January 1866.

Exd. R. H. Lee.

Financial Department.
No. 44.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India.

Financial Department

Sir,

I am directed by H. E. the Governor in Council to transmit the accompanying copy of a letter from the Board of Revenue questioning the correctness of the interpretation placed by the Examiner of Claims on Sec: 9 of the Civil Services Furlough & Absentee Rules, viz that if special leave on urgent private affairs be once taken under that Section, for however short a period, all further claim to it during the whole period

Dated 10th Jany. '66 No 167.

Financial Department.
1866. No. 15

No. 11.

From the Secretary to the Board of Revenue,
To the Chief Secretary to Government.

S.R. No. 11.

Dated 10th January. 1866.

No. 167.

Requesting that a reference to the Supreme Government be made on the interpretation placed by the examiner of Claims on Section 9 of the Covenanted Rules viz that if special leave on urgent private affairs be once taken all further claim to it during the whole period of service is lost.

dated 29/6 with Board's Proc: Jan 1867 annexed.

period of service is
lost, ~~5~~ [illegible] ~~equal but much~~
~~with the Board~~ that
a more liberal con-
struction of the rules
was intended, but
his Excellency in
Council would be
glad to be favored
with the ~~views~~ instructions
of the Govt. of India
on the subject.

x/ the point is one which should be determined authoritatively and

Fort St George
26th Jany 1866

Financial 866 Departt.

No. 44.

To

The Secy. to the Govt.
of India

No. 11/67

Dated 26 January 1885.

The construction placed on Section 9 of these Rules questioned, and a reference made to the Govt of India on the matter.

47-48.