M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 14

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 4354	Press / Mis.
Dated	18 .

66-67 8/9

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No. 439.

FROM

A. J. ARBUTHNOT, ESQ.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Referring to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed by the Governor in Council to forward the annexed copy of a letter,* and of its enclosures, from the Collector of Trichinopoly, relative to the allowance of 100 Rupees sanctioned by the Government of India for the performance of the duties of the Currency Department in that District.

To Government of India, 15th August 1866, No. 303.
From do. 20th Sept. ,, ,, 2400.

*Dated 10th November 1866, No. 57.

2. In your letter of the 20th September last, No. 2400, it is stated that the allowance must be considered as a local allowance, to be reserved to the Uncovenanted Deputy Collector in charge of the duties. Under this ruling, the Deputy Collector in charge of the Currency Department in Trichinopoly, who has now returned from privilege leave, claims to draw the allowance in question, but the Deputy Accountant General considers that the Deputy Collector, not having been in actual charge of the Department during his absence, is not entitled to the remuneration.

3. I am, therefore, to request the decision of the Supreme Government as to whether the 100 Rupees is to be disbursed to the Deputy Collector in charge of the Department. It will be seen that that Officer was, for the period for which the allowance is now claimed, on privilege leave, which, under the rules, involves no forfeiture of the salary of an office.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
23rd November 1866.

Chief Secretary.

From the Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Trichinopoly, 10th November 1866, No. 57.

The allowance for extra charge of the Currency Department claimed by Mr. Gribble, but which was refused to him, under the Order of Government, No. 363, dated 8th ultimo, Financial Department, was paid to Ragoonath Rao, under the impression that paragraph 3 of the above Order reserved to him that allowance as Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner of Currency.

2. The Deputy Accountant General, Madras, objects to this charge, on the grounds that the aforesaid Order restricted payment of this allowance to the Deputy Collector in *bona fide* charge of the Department, and that Ragoonath Rao's claim to the amount in question is unsustainable, as he necessarily ceased to hold charge during his absence.

Vide enclosure No. 1.

3. Against this ruling Ragoonath Rao appeals. It certainly seems that, according to the ordinary meaning of words, the Deputy Collector to whom the allowance is "reserved" should be the recipient. Again, it cannot be intended that an Officer should lose a portion of his salary during his absence on *privilege* leave, after duly earning this relaxation according to the rules of the Service.

Vide enclosure No. 2.

From the Acting Deputy Accountant General, Madras, to the Treasury Officer, Trichinopoly; dated Fort Saint George, 5th November 1866, No. 3830.

Post Audit Department.

Vide your Memorandum, No. 153, dated 29th ultimo.

The allowance for charge of the Currency Department was refused, on the ground that the Government of India's Order, communicated in the Madras Government Order, dated 8th October No. 363, restricted payment thereof to the Deputy Collector in *bona fide* charge of the Department, and as, during your absence on leave, you necessarily ceased to hold charge, you are considered to have no claim.

From R. RAGOONATH RAO, Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury, Trichinopoly, to the Collector of Trichinopoly; dated Trichinopoly, 7th November 1866, No. 258.

I beg to request that you will be pleased to submit this appeal against the interpretation of the Deputy Accountant General of the Order of Government noted in the margin, as contained in the accompanying copy of his letter.

No. 363, dated 8th October 1866.

2. I am under an impression that paragraph 3 of the same Order distinctly "reserves" to me my allowance as an Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner of Currency during my privilege leave, and would beg of you to support my view of the case should you agree with me.

329 4

Post Audit Department. - Dated 5th November 1866.

No. 3830.

From,

The Acting Deputy Accountant General
Madras

To,

The Treasury Officer
Trichinopoly.

Vide - {Your / Proceedings / Office Letter / Mems.} No 153 dated 29th Ultimo.

The Allowance for Charge of the Currency Department was refused on the ground that the Government of India Order Communicated in the Madras Government Order dated 8th October No 363, restricted payment thereof to the Deputy Collector in bonâ fide Charge of the Department, and as during your Absence on leave you necessarily ceased to hold Charge. You are considered to have no claim -

/Signed/
Acting Dy. Accountt. General C.

/True copy/
/Signed/ R. Ragoonatha Rão
Deputy Collector.

/True copy/

Geo Rambury.

Collector.

Trichinopoly Dy Collectors Office
7th November 1866

No 258.

From

The Deputy Collector
in charge of the Treasury
Trichinopoly

To

L. Ranbury Esquire
Collector of
Trichinopoly

Sir,

I beg to request that you will be pleased to submit this appeal against the interpretation of the Deputy Accountant General of the Order of Government noted in the Margin as contained in the accompanying copy of his letter -

In 363 Judl
8th October 1866

I am under an impression that para 3 of the said order distinctly "reserves" to me my allowance as an Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner of Currency during my privilege leave - and would beg of you to support my view of the case should you agree with me -

I have &c
(Signed) P. Pagoonadah Pillay
Deputy Collector

(A true Copy)
Geo Ranbury
Collector

1866

From Geo. Ranbury Esq.
Collector of Trichy
To J. Sullivan Esq.
Secretary Board
Revenue & Collector
Fort St. George.

Sir I have the honor to forward herewith a letter from the Collector of Trichy dated 7th November 1866 No 258 (with enclosure) addressed to me by the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury Trichinopoly, requesting that I will submit his appeal against the interpretation of the Deputy Accountant General of the Order of Government noted in the Margin as contained in the accompanying copy of his letter -

Copy of a letter from the Collector of Trichy dated 7th November 1866 No 258 addressed to me by the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury Trichinopoly.

I have &c
(Signed) G. Ranbury
Collector

Tuticorin Collector's Office
10th November 1866.

No 57

From,
Geo. Banbury Esquire
Collector of Tuticorin

To,
J. D. Sim Esquire.
Secretary to Government
Financial Department
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

Paras. 1. The allowance for Extra Charge of the Currency Department claimed by Mr Gribble, but which was refused to him under the Order of Government No 363 dated 8th Ultimo, Financial Department, was paid to Ragoonath Rão under the impression that para 3 of the above Order reserved to him that allowance as Assistant to the Deputy Commissioner of Currency.

2. The Deputy Accountant General Madras objects to this Charge on the grounds that the aforesaid order restricted payment of this allowance to the Deputy Collector in bonâ fide Charge of the Department,

And

Vide enclosure No 1.

1865

No a Letter from the Collector of Tuticorin dated 10th Novr 1866 (57)

From
The Officiating Dep. Collector of Tuticorin
To
The Assistant Collector
Dep. Collector's Office
Fort St George.

Copy of a Letter from the Currency Department to the Collector of Tuticorin dated 5th Novr 1866 No 258.

To Vide enclosure

And that Ragoonath Rão's claim to the Amount in question is unsustainable as he necessarily ceased to hold charge during his absence—

3. Against this ruling Ragoonath Rão appeals. It certainly seems that according to the Ordinary meaning of words, the Deputy Collector to whom the allowance is "reserved" should be the recipient. Again it cannot be intended that an Officer should lose a portion of his Salary during his absence on privilege leave, after duly earning this relaxation according to the rules of the Service— Vide enclosure No 2.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Tuticorin Collrs Office
10th Novr 1866.

Geo Bankes
Collector.

To
J. D. Sim Esquire.
Secretary to Government
Financial Department
Fort Saint George.

Compd C. Mitchell
2C

Desp 29/11

1

1129

Financial Department.

No. 439-

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,
Financial Department.

Sir,

Referring to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed by the Governor in Council to forward the annexed copy of a letter & of its enclosures from the Collector of Trichinopoly relative to the allowance of 100 Rs sanctioned by the Govt. of India for the performance of the duties of the Currency Department in that District.

2. In your letter of the 20th Septr. last No 2,400, it is stated that the allowance must be considered as a local allowance to be

reserved

From Govt of India 15th Augt. '66 No 303.
From — " — 20th Sept — 2,400.

Dated 12th Nov. '66 No 574

Rec'd 8 Oct '66 15-10

Financial 1866 Despatch

No 439

To Govt of India
Financial Dept

Re Currency Establishment

Letter from Collector of Trichinopoly dated 12th Nov. 1866 No 574 with enclosures.

Rec'd 13 —

Financial Dept. Nov. 1866 No 439.

Collector's letter

Re Currency Establishment

Transmitting and stating that from the 1st October, Rs. 100 per month will be drawn on the Treasury Establishment Bill in addition to the Salaries of the Establishment, in lieu of Allowance under the Clause of the Currency Establishment.

65

Cy of 439.

reserved to the Uncovenanted Deputy Collector in charge of the duties. Under this ruling, the Deputy Collector in charge of the Currency Deptt: in Trichinopoly, who has now returned from privilege leave, claims to draw the allowance in question, but the Deputy Accountant General considers that the Deputy Collector not having been in actual charge of the Department during his absence is not entitled to the ~~allowance~~ remuneration.

3 I am therefore to request the decision of the Supreme Govt: as to whether the 100 Rs: is to be disbursed to the Deputy Collector in charge of the Department. It will be seen that that Officer was, for the period for which the allowance is now claimed, on privilege leave, which under the rules involves no forfeiture of the salary of the Office.

Fort St. George
23d Nov. 1865

I have &c
Sd/ [illegible]
for Secy to Govt

Financial 1866 Departt.

No. 439.

To
The Secy. to the Govt.
of India

11 1/67 - 63.

Dated 23d November 1866.

66-67.