M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 12

(2) Date of repair

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Finance DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 308 Press Mis.

29-30

Dated 15 8 18 .

29.30 45

Not to be folded or roughly handled

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

No. 303.

FROM

A. J. ARBUTHNOT, ESQ.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George,

To

THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,
FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

Referring to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed by the Governor in Council to transmit the annexed copy of a letter,* and of its enclosure, from the Accountant General, relative to the claim of a Covenanted Assistant, acting for the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury at Trichinopoly, to the allowance of 100 Rupees sanctioned by the Government of India for the performance of the duties of the Currency Department.

To Government of India, 13th February 1866, No. 72.
From do. 5th March ,, ,, 1142.

* Dated 3rd August 1866, No. 140.

2. The question involved, and on which the orders of the Supreme Government are required, is whether an Officer performing the Currency work during the absence on leave of the permanent incumbent is entitled to any and what portion of the extra allowance of 100 Rupees in question. The Governor in Council is disposed to think it questionable whether any part of the allowance should be paid to a Covenanted Officer.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
15th August 1866.

Chief Secretary.

From the Accountant General, Madras, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Fort Saint George, 3rd August 1866, No. 140.

Post Audit Department.

I have the honor to submit, in original, a reference by the Deputy Commissioner of Currency at Trichinopoly, as to the claim of a Covenanted Assistant Collector to any part of the Currency allowance during the absence of the Deputy Collector in charge of that Department, and as the allowance in question is a new and anomalous one, and as ordinary rules do not seem to meet the case, which is likely of course to recur, I recommend the Supreme Government be asked what were its intentions when (G. I., No. 1142, dated 5th March 1866), it granted this extra allowance.

(1). Was the whole to be drawn by the Officer for the time being in charge? or

(2). What part might be drawn by the Officer in charge, during the absence of the permanent incumbent, in the respective cases of the Acting Officer being (*a*) a Covenanted and (*b*) an Uncovenanted Servant? And would the balance go to the absentee?

(3). Whereas, speaking generally, an Officer has to act a month without drawing extra allowances, how does this affect the case of a Covenanted substitute? For he is in no sense subordinate, or inferior, to the absentee, yet is probably (as in the case under reference) drawing less pay.

(4). If the whole allowance (Rupees 100 a month) be the right of the person for the time being doing the work, how would the receipts herefrom affect the calculation for pension (1) of the temporary incumbent, (2) of the permanent incumbent? For instance, *A*, who has been for ten years a Deputy Collector on Rupees 500, has been permanently in

charge of the Treasury and the Currency Department for five years, during which he has taken leave (privilege leave or sick leave) amounting to twelve months: has his average pay, as reckoned for pension, been Rupees 500, or Rupees 600, or Rupees 580? Again, *B*, also a Deputy Collector long drawing Rupees 500, has been during the last five years of his service in temporary charge of the Currency Department, during A's absences, for twelve months: is his average pay, as reckoned for pension, Rupees 500, or Rupees 520? Of course, if the first question be answered in the negative, this fourth is cancelled.

From the Acting Collector of Trichinopoly, to the Accountant General, Fort Saint George: dated Trichinopoly, 27th July 1866, No. 103.

I have the honor to request that the orders of Government may be obtained, and communicated to me, on the following point.

2. Mr. Gribble, the Assistant of this District, was put in charge of the Treasury and Currency Department, during the absence of the Deputy Collector Ragoonath Row on two months' privilege leave. By an Order of Government, dated 5th March 1866, No. 1142, a sum of Rupees 100 was granted to the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury, on account of the extra work and responsibility which the Paper Currency Department entailed upon him.

3. As the Deputy Collector is on leave, the work of the Currency Department is performed by the Acting Officer Mr. Gribble, and as the allowance of 100 Rupees was granted in consequence of the extra work, I presume that Mr. Gribble is entitled to the same. Perhaps you will kindly have this matter brought to the notice of Government, with a view to their issuing orders on the subject.

Encl. J. H. Burns

2

Post Audit

Book and Adjustment Department.

No. 1160

Fort Saint George,
Accountant General's Office,
3rd August 1866.

From The ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
to the GOVERNMENT of MADRAS.

To The Chief Secretary to Government

Sir,

I have the honor to submit in original a reference by the Dy Commissioner of Currency at Trichinopoly as to the claim of a Covenanted Assistant Collector to any part of the currency allowance during the absence of the Deputy Collector in charge of that department, and as the allowance in question is a new and anomalous one and as ordinary rules do not seem to meet the case which is likely of course to occur, I recommend the Supreme Government be asked what were its intentions when (G.I. Nos. d. 5 March) it granted this extra allowance

(1) Was the whole to be drawn by the Officer for the time being in charge? or

(2) What part might be drawn by the Officer in charge, during the absence of the permanent incumbent, in the respective cases of the acting Officer being (a) a Covenanted (b) an uncovenanted Servant? And would the balance go to the absentee?

(3) Whereas, speaking generally, an Officer has to act a month without drawing extra allowance, how does this affect the case of a Covenanted Substitute? for he is in no sense subordinate,

or

or inferior, to the absentee yet is probably (as in the case under reference) drawing less pay.

(4) If the whole allowance (Rs 100 a month) to the right of the person for the time being doing the work, How would the receipts therefrom affect the calculation for pension (1) of the temporary incumbent, (2) of the permanent incumbent? For instance. A who has been for 10 years a Deputy Collector on Rs 500, has been permanently in charge of the Treasury and the currency department for five years during which he has, taken leave (privilege leave or Sick leave) amounting to twelve months: has his average pay, as reckoned for pension, been Rs 500? or Rs 600? or Rs 580? Again B, also a Deputy Collector long drawing Rs 500, has been during the last five years of his service in temporary charge of the currency department; during A's absence, for twelve months: is his average pay, as reckoned for pension, Rs 500? or Rs 520? of course if the first question be answered in the negative, this fourth is cancelled.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obt Servant.

for Accountant General)

3 2

Trichinopoly Collectors' Office 27th July 1866.

No. 103

From

Lt. R. Doctsin Esquire
Acting Collector of
Trichinopoly.

To,

H. Sushington Esquire
Accountant General,
Fort Saint George.

Sir,

I have the honor to request that the orders of Government may be obtained and communicated to me on the following point.

Mr Gribble the Assistant of this District was put in charge of the Treasury and Currency Department during the absence of the Deputy Collector Ragoonath Row on two months privilege leave. By an order of Government dated 5 March 1866 No 142 a sum of Rs 100 was granted to the Dy Collector in charge of the Treasury on account of the extra work and responsibility which the Paper Currency Department entailed upon

J A & A G. Office
Rec 31 JUL 1866
Appd

him.

As the Dep. Collector is on leave the work of the Currency Department is performed by the acting Officer Mr. Gribble, and as the allowance of 100 Rupees was granted in consequence of the extra work. I presume that Mr Gribble is entitled to the same. Perhaps you will kindly have this matter brought to the notice of Government with a view to their issuing orders on the subject.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obt Servt

C. R. Perkins.

Ag Collector.

Ag Collr
Tinnevelly 27/9/66.

To

The Chief Secretary
to Govt
Fort St George.

Dated 27th July 1866.

Enclosure

No 103

Requesting to be informed as to what the allowance granted to the Dy Collr in charge of Stamps may be, on account of the extra work he has to perform in connection with the Currency Department.

3905

POST AUDIT DEPARTMENT.

No. Dated 186

FROM

THE ACTING DEPUTY ACCOUNTANT GENERAL.

TO

THE

VIDE {your / this} {Proceedings / Office Letter / Memo} No. dated

Rec. 23rd March 66 - 32-33

Financial Department.

ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE, MADRAS

From CW 6 No. 140

~~Acting Deputy~~ Accountant General

To

The Chief Secy to Government

Dated. 22nd August/66
Recd. 6-
Answd. (No. of answer.)

No 272 (Subject.)

Recommend the Supreme Government be asked what were its intensions when sanctioning the 6/8 Ha allowance referred to in No 1142 7/6 Financial/66 as to the claim of a Covenanted Asst Collector to any part of Currency Allowance during the absence of the Dy Collector in charge.

2/

deputy

9

Financial Department.

No. 303.

To

The Secretary to the Government
of India,

~~Financial~~ Department.

Calcutta

Sir,

I

So Govt of India 13 Sep 66 No 72
From — to ——— Collector — No 142.

Referring to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed by the Governor in Council to transmit the annexed copy of a letter & of its enclosure from the Accountant General relative to the claim of a Covenanted Assistant, acting for the Deputy Collector in charge of the Treasury at Srirangapoly, to the allowance of 100 Rs: sanctioned by the Govt. of India for the performance of the duties of

N.
JP
WM

of the Surveyor Depart-
-ment.

2 The questions involved,
and on which the or-
-ders of the Supreme
Govt are required, is
whether an Officer per-
-forming the Surveyor
work during the absence
on leave of the perma-
-nent incumbent is en-
-titled to any & what
portion of the extra
allowance of 100 Rs. in
question - his pri
is desired to furnish
the Govt
with any part of the
allowance should be
he paid to a Govt-
-ernment Officer.

Fort St George
15 Augt 1860.

Financial 1866 Depart.

No. 303.

To
The Secy. to the Govt.
of India

Sir,

Dated 15th August 1866

29-30.