M.R.O. 1—77,005—8-8-37.

(1) Number of pages 4.

(2) Date of repair 18-8-39.

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Finance DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 184 Press ~~Mis.~~

15-16.

Dated 14-5- 1866.

15-16 3/4

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
14th May 1866.

No. 15. Read the following letter from the Secretary to the Government of India, to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George; dated Simla, 23rd April 1866, No. 136.

With reference to entries, Nos. 27 to 30, in the Financial Proceedings of the Government of Madras, for February 1866, I am desired to state that the local Accountant General was wrong in advising an expenditure of Rupees 9500, on account of the Inam Commission, in excess of the Budget allotment, when he had no hope that a saving would accrue under any Budget head, and while the rules prohibit the transfer of Budget provision from one major head to another, without the sanction of the Government of India. The proper course for the local Government in this case would have been to ask this Government for an additional grant, explaining why it was not practicable to retrench expenditure under some other head, even by the postponement of any other item of expense, if no other means of keeping within the sanctioned Budget was available.

A copy to the Accountant General, Madras.

No. 16. ORDER THEREON, 14th May 1866, No. 184.

Communicated to the Accountant General, and Revenue Department, with reference to the Proceedings of Government, dated 21st February last, No. 80.

(True Extract).

Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant General.
,, Revenue Department.

Exd. J. H. Burns.

No. 136

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Fort St. George

Financial Departt. Sir,

With reference to entries Nos. 27 & 30 in the Financial Proceedings of the Government of Madras for February 1866, I am desired to state that the Local Accountant General was wrong in advising an expenditure of Rs. 1,500 on account of the Inam Commission in excess of the Budget Allotment, when he had no hope that a saving would accrue under any Budget head and while the rules prohibit the transfer of budget provision from one major head to another, without the sanction of the Government of India. The proper course for the Local Government in this case would have been to ask this Government for an additional grant - explaining why it was not practicable to retrench expenditure under some other head, even by

by the postponement of any other item of expense, if no other means of keeping within the Sanctioned Budget was available.

I have the honor to be

Sir

Your most Obedient Servant

Simla
The 23rd April 1866

Secy: to the Govt of India

A copy to the Accountant General Madras.

No 184 14 May 1866.

Communicated to the Accountant General & Revenue Department with reference to the Proceedings of Government dated 21st February last - No 80

To Accts. General - Revenue Deptt

Rec'd 22 May 66 - 24-30

Transmitted with Copy of Letter from Secy to Govt of India Public Works Dept No 156.

Dated 21st February 1866

No 156

Public Works Department

Sir,

I am directed to state that the Governor General in Council has been pleased to sanction the following additional expenditure on account of Public Works during the current year, to be met from the Savings of the Department.

Written and order