M.R.O. 1—77,000—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair 2-8-39

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Finance DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 22 Press / Mis.

34-35

Dated 9-1-1935.

34 - 35 3/4

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
9th January 1865.

No. 34. Read the following Proceedings of Government in the Revenue Department, dated 22nd December 1864, No. 2312.

Communicating ruling of Government of India, prohibiting Uncovenanted Servants from taking privilege leave accumulated under the old rules, *plus* whatever they may have earned under the new rules. (Vide Proceedings, Revenue Department, dated 22nd December 1864, Nos. 263 and 264.)

No. 35. ORDER THEREON, 9th January 1865, No. 22.

To be recorded.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. J. Tulloch.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Revenue Department, 22nd December 1864.

No. 263. Read the following letter from E. H. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George, dated Fort William, the 30th November 1864, No. 3,609 :—

SIR,

1. I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 1,970, of the 25th October 1864, from the enclosures of which it appears that a retrenchment made by the Civil Paymaster, Madras, on account of the pay of the Sheristadar of the Dindigul Talook in Madras, who was allowed privilege leave for three months, of which only two months' leave had been earned before the promulgation of the new rules for the grant of leave of absence to Uncovenanted Servants, was remitted by the Government of Madras, firstly, because the Resolution, No. 3,478, passed in this Department on the 31st July 1863, intended to allow Uncovenanted Officers who had already earned accumulated privilege leave under the old rules to "retain that leave, *plus* whatever they "could earn under the new, provided the aggregate does not exceed the maximum period of three "months ;" and secondly, because the Leave Rules do not apply to servants on less than Rupees 100 per mensem.

2. In reply, I am desired to forward a copy of a letter, No. 343, dated the 24th May 1864, to the address of the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, from which it will be seen that the Madras Government has not correctly interpreted the Resolution of the 31st July 1863, and to draw attention to the orders noted in the margin, declaring that the spirit of the new Leave Rules should be observed in the cases of Uncovenanted Servants on less than Rupees 100 per mensem. The remission, however, of the retrenchment against the Sheristadar of the Dindigul Talook is confirmed, as a special case.

Letter to the Civil Paymaster, Madras, No. 3,654, of the 10th August 1863, communicated by Endorsement to the Government of Madras.

Ordered that a copy of the foregoing letter be forwarded to the Civil Paymaster, Madras.

From E. H. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, to the Secretary to the Government of Bombay, dated Simla, 24th May 1864, No. 343.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 495, of the 4th May 1864, and in reply to state that the Civil Paymaster was right in considering that Mr. C. J. Kyte, the Assistant to the Revenue Commissioner of the Southern Division, was entitled only to the privilege leave for two months which he had already accumulated when the new rules for the grant of leave of absence to Uncovenanted Servants were published, and that he cannot, without an infraction of those rules, be allowed to take three months' leave by a further accumulation of the third month's leave after the new rules, which prohibit such accumulation, came into force.

Ordered, that a copy of the above letter be forwarded, for information and guidance, to the Civil Paymaster, Bombay.

(True Copy)

(Signed) R. H. HOLLINGBERY,
Asst. Secy. to the Govt. of India.

No. 264. ORDER THEREON, 22nd December 1864, No. 2,312.

Miscellaneous.

Ordered to be communicated to the Collector of Madura, with reference to the Proceedings of Government, dated 25th October 1864, No. 1,969.

(True Extract.)

Secretary to Government.

To the Collector of Madura.
„ Financial Department.
„ Board of Revenue.
Exd. T. O'Connor.

dist. of Laws?

Financial 1865 Dept.

Proceedings of Govt in rel.
Revenue Dept.
No. 2312

Dated 22d Dec/64.
Rec'd 4 Jan'y/65—

No. 2

Coming ruling of Govt of Pa
prohibiting Rev Servants
from taking privilege
leave accumulated under
the old rules plus what
ever they may have
earned under the new
rules
No 22 Dated 9th Jan'y/65.
Recorded

34 & 35