M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair _____

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

financial **DEPARTMENT.**

G.O. No. 303 Press / Mis.

6-8

Dated 6-6- 18 61.

6-8 13/14

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Read the following papers:—

No. 6. From G. A. BALLARD, Esq., Collector of Malabar; to the Honorable A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated on Circuit, Tiruvangadi, 13th May 1864, No. 53.

A sum of Rupees 80-10-1 was remitted to the Ernad* Treasury on the 12th June 1860 by the late Serjeant Major Ryan, formerly attached to the Malabar Police Corps, to be credited for a corresponding amount to be disbursed to Lieutenant Lavie, 3rd Regiment P. L. I., then Assistant Superintendent of Police, South Malabar, from the Palghaut Treasury. The Ernad Tahsildar declined to receive the amount without authority; but Mr. Ryan in the meantime advised Lieutenant Lavie of the remittance.

* Distance six miles from Mallapuram, where Mr. Ryan was located.

2. On the 14th June 1860, Serjeant Major Ryan reported to this office that he had to make the above remittance to Lieutenant Lavie, but that the Ernad Tahsildar had refused to receive the money. The required authority was then sent to the Tahsildar, and Mr. Ryan paid into his Treasury Rupees 80-3-11, and forwarded the Tahsildar's receipt for the amount to this office on the 19th idem. An order on the Palghaut Treasury for a corresponding sum was next forwarded to Lieutenant Lavie.

3. In this interval Lieutenant Lavie, on the strength of the advice from Serjeant Ryan, drew the sum of Rupees 80-10-1 from the Palghaut Treasury on a receipt,† showing it to be pay due to the men of the late Malabar Police Corps of which he was the Adjutant. The officers of that Corps were then in the habit of obtaining advances and subsequently adjusting the same on audited bills.

† Of 16th June 1861, copy enclosed.

4. The amount of the order for Rupees 80-3-11, alluded to in paragraph 2, not having been drawn up to April 1861, Mr. Ryan was requested to cause the amount to be taken up at once in view to its adjustment.

5. On the 5th May 1861, Lieutenant Lavie wrote to the Deputy Collector in charge of Treasury with reference to the said Treasury order, stating that he was not aware on what account the amount was remitted to him; that as there had been great delay in references and correspondence and the order had been mislaid, he requested that the sum might be re-paid to Serjeant Major Ryan which was done by an order on the Ernad Treasury, dated 17th May 1861.

6. From a perusal of the whole correspondence in this matter it may be presumed that the amount drawn by Lieutenant Lavie, viz., Rupees 80-10-1, on the 16th June 1860, adverted to above in paragraph 3, was paid to the proper claimants: but it cannot be ascertained whether the sum of Rupees 80-3-11, re-paid to Serjeant Major Ryan, has been duly credited in the accounts of the late Malabar Police Corps.

7. Captain C. G. Blomfield, Superintendent of Police, North Malabar, was addressed on the subject as he then commanded the Malabar Police Corps, but he states that it does not appear that any further information is forthcoming on the records of the Police Corps.

8. As Lieutenant Lavie refused through his Commanding Officer to re-pay the money, I laid the matter before His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, with a request that Lieutenant Lavie might be directed to furnish this office with a duly audited voucher for the expenditure, or that the money may be refunded.

9. In reply to this His Excellency has forwarded to me a letter‡ from Lieutenant Lavie to the Adjutant of his Regiment stating, for the information of His Excellency the Commander-in-Chief, that owing to the long time that has elapsed since the order on the Taluq Treasury at Palghaut was drawn by him (nearly four years) he regrets his inability to afford any information, though most anxious to do so, that might elucidate the subject in question beyond that, as appears from his receipt, the amount was for pay due to

‡ Dated Tonghoo, 9th February 1864.

the men of the Malabar Police Corps; that he was only a subordinate officer to Captain Blomfield both in the Malabar Police Corps and in the Madras Police; and that his accounts were transmitted monthly to that officer with whom the books and records were.

10. As stated above in paragraph 7, Captain Blomfield writes that no information is forthcoming on his records.

11. Under these circumstances, I have the honor to request the special sanction of Government for writing off the sum of Rupees 80-10-1 which stands debited as an advance in my account.

Referred to the Deputy Auditor and Accountant General for report.

27th May 1864. (Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

No. 7. From F. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Accountant General; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 2nd June 1864, No. 37.

Book and Adjustment Department.

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the Order of Government, dated 27th ultimo, referring for my report a letter from the Collector of Malabar of the 13th idem, in which sanction is requested for writing off to "Profit and Loss" a sum, Rupees 80-10-1, advanced to Lieutenant Lavie, 3rd Regiment Native Infantry, on the 16th June 1860, (erroneously stated in paragraph 3 of Mr. Ballard's letter under reference, as June 1861) for monies alleged to be due to the men of the late Malabar Police Corps.

2. In reply, I beg to observe, that I can see no valid grounds for writing off the sum in question to Profit and Loss, and I am of opinion that the responsibility rests with Lieutenant Lavie, who acted very irregularly in taking up the money prior to the receipt of the Transfer Receipt from the Tahsildar of Ernad, in whose Treasury the amount was deposited. The payment of it to Lieutenant Lavie can be proved, and it therefore rests with that officer to account for its subsequent disposal, failing which he is, in my opinion, clearly bound to refund the amount.

3. The Tahsildar of Palghaut, I may remark, was also to blame for having paid the money before the presentation by Lieutenant Lavie of the Transfer Receipt, from the Ernad Tahsildar.

4. As the sum in question stands unadjusted in the books of this office, my predecessor made a reference on the subject to the Inspector General of Mofussil Police, and I herein enclose his reply,* No. 954, dated 5th ultimo, wherein it is stated that Lieutenant Lavie was not authorized to take up advances on account of the Police, and that he, Colonel Temple, is at a loss to understand how the Tashildar took on himself to make the payment, prior to presentation of the Transfer Receipt.

* Requested to be returned.

5. On the 7th ultimo, the Examiner of the Pay Department was addressed by this office, to take measures for recovering from Lieutenant Lavie the sum in question, and to remit it by Public Service Transfer Receipt to the Collector of Malabar. No reply has yet been received to this reference.

From Lieutenant Colonel J. TEMPLE, Acting Assistant Inspector General of Madras Police; to the Accountant General, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 5th May 1864, No. 954.

Audit Department.

I have the honor, in the absence of the Inspector General, to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 50, dated 3rd instant, relative to the sum of Rupees 80-10-1, reported by the Deputy Collector of Malabar to be an unadjusted balance of an advance made to the Police in 1862.

2. This item is not due by the Police; it dates from June 1860, not 1862. The history of the transaction is as follows.

3. About June 1860 the Malabar Police Corps was incorporated into the Mofussil Police; the men's Regimental accounts were closed and the balances paid them; a detachment of the Corps was on duty at Palghaut where Lieutenant Lavie, 3rd Regiment N. I. was Assistant Superintendent of Police, but the Head Quarters of the Corps were at Mallipuram, at which place Serjeant Ryan was Quarter Master Serjeant of the Corps and in charge of the cash and stores under the Commanding Officer.

4. On the 12th June 1860 Serjeant Ryan wrote a letter to Lieutenant Lavie, informing him that he had paid Rupees 80-10-1 to the Tahsildar at Ernad in Malabar for a Transfer Receipt payable at Palghaut, being monies due to the men of Malabar Police Corps at the latter station.

5. On the 16th June (without waiting for the arrival of the Transfer Receipt) Lieutenant Lavie took up from the Tahsildar at Palghaut the sum of Rupees 80-10-1; the mode of the disposal of this sum cannot be traced.

6. On the 18th June Serjeant Ryan wrote again to Lieutenant Lavie to say that the remittance had been delayed and slightly altered.

7. The Transfer Receipt for the altered amount seems never to have reached Lieutenant Lavie or to have been mislaid by him, and he also appears not to have forwarded the men's acquittances for the sum he took up on the 16th June 1860. After the lapse of a year, on being applied to by Mr. Ryan for a refund, he addressed the Deputy Collector requesting him to re-pay the sum remitted by Mr. Ryan as it had never reached Palghaut; the Deputy Collector on receipt of this application re-paid the amount of the altered Transfer Receipt to Mr. Ryan, About six months afterwards Mr. Ryan died.

8. It thus appears that Lieutenant Lavie received the sum of Rupees 80-10-1 in June 1860, and again directed the re-payment of the same to Mr. Ryan in June 1861.

9. Lieutenant Lavie was at no time authorized to take up advances on account of the Police, and I am at a loss to understand how the Tahsildar took on himself to make the payment without presentation of the Transfer Receipt.

10. This transaction was not brought to notice by the Deputy Collector, to the best of my belief, until October 1862, when it was carefully investigated with the result above detailed, and copies of the whole correspondence furnished to the Deputy Collector in January 1863. Why that officer did not at once apply to you that a recovery might be directed through the Military Pay Department I do not understand.

No. 8. ORDER THEREON, 6th June 1864, No. 303.

Ordered that a copy of the Accountant General's report above recorded be furnished to the Collector of Malabar in reference to his letter of the 13th ultimo, No. 53. It appears from the last paragraph of the Accountant General's report that the Examiner of the Pay Department has been called upon to take measures for recovering from Lieutenant Lavie the sum of Rupees 80-10-1, irregularly drawn by him from the Palghaut Treasury in June 1860, and to remit it by Public Service Transfer Receipt to the Collector of Malabar.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Accountant General.
" Collector of Malabar.

Ext. J. Tulloch.

5

Paulghautchery 16th June 1860

Received from the Talook Treasury at Paulghaut the sum of Companys Rupees Eighty, Annas ten and Pice One, being the amount of Pay due to the Men of the Malabar Police Corps.-

Company's Rupees- 80-10-1.

(Signed) R. Lavie.
Lieutenant
Asst. Superintendent of Police

(True Copy)

H. F. Hewetson
Dy. Collector

End

In a letter from the
Collector of Malabar
dated 13th May 1864
No

Copy of a Receipt of
Lieut R. Lavie, Asst Supt
of Police, dated 16th June 1860.

F. 6/11 D.
No 258

No 53.

Malabar Collectors' Office
on Circuit
Tirurangadi 13th May 1864.
Desp. 18th do do

From.

G. A Ballard Esqre
Collector of Malabar

To.

The Honble A J. Arbuthnot
Chief Secretary to Govt
Fort St George.

Sir

A sum of Rupees 80-10-1 as-ps was
remitted to the Ernad Treasury on the 12th June
1860 by the late Sergt Major Ryan formerly
attached to the Malabar Police Corps, to be
credited for a corresponding amount to be disbursed
to Lieut Lavie, 3d Regiment N.I. then Asst
Superintendent of Police South Malabar, from
the Palghat Treasury. The Ernad Tahsildar
declined to receive the amount without
authority but Mr Ryan in the mean time
advised Lieut Lavie of the remittance.

2. On the 14th June 1860 Sergt Major
Ryan reported to this Office that he had to make
the above remittance to Lieut Lavie but that
the Ernad Tahsildar had refused to receive the
money. The required authority was then sent
to the Tahsildar and Mr Ryan paid into his
Treasury Rupees 80-3-11 as-ps and forwarded the
Tahsildars receipt for the amount to this office
on the 19th idem. An order on

× distance 6 miles from Malapuram where Mr Ryan was located.

on the Palghat Treasury for a corresponding sum was next forwarded to Lieutt Lavie.

3 In this interval Lt. Lavie on the strength of this advice from Serjt Ryan drew the sum of Rs 80-10-1 from the Palghat Treasury on a Receipt[x] shewing it to be pay due to the men of the late Malabar Police Corps of which he was the Adjutant, the Officers of that Corps were then in the habit of obtaining advances & subsequently adjusting the same on audited Bills.

[x] of 16th June 1861 copy enclosed.

4. The amount of the order for Rs 80-3-11 alluded to in para 2 not having been drawn up to April 1861 Mr Ryan was requested to cause the amount to be taken up at once in view to its adjustment.

5 On the 5th May 1861 Lieutt Lavie wrote to the Deputy Collector in charge of Treasury with reference to the said Treasury order, stating that he was not aware on what account the amount was remitted to him that as there had been great delay in references and correspondence and the order had been mislaid, he requested that the sum might be repaid to Serjt Major Ryan which was done by an order on the Ernad Treasury dated 17th May 1861.

6. From a perusal of the whole correspondence in this matter it may be presumed that the amount drawn by Lieutt Lavie viz Rs 80-10-1 on the 16th June 1860 adverted to above in para 3 was paid to the proper claimants but it cannot be ascertained whether the sum of Rs 80-3-11 repaid to Serjt Major Ryan has been duly credited in the account of the late Malabar Police Corps.

7 Captain C. G. Blomfield, Superintendent of Police North Malabar was addressed on the subject as he then commanded the Malabar Police Corps but he states that it does not appear that any further information is forthcoming on the Records of the Police Corps.

8. As Lieutt Lavie refused through his Commanding Officer to repay the money I laid the matter before His Excellency the Commander in Chief with a request that Lieutt Lavie might be directed to furnish this Office with a duly audited voucher for the expenditure or that the money may be refunded.

9. In reply to this His Excellency has forwarded to me a letter[x] from Lieutt Lavie to the Adjutant of his Regiment Stating for the information of His Excellency the Commander in Chief that owing to the long time that has elapsed since the order on the Tals K Treasury at Palghat was drawn by him (nearly 4 years) he regrets his inability to afford any information though most anxious to do so, that might elucidate the subject in question beyond that as appears from his Receipt, the amount was for pay due to the men of the Malabar Police Corps, that he was only a subordinate Officer to Captain Blomfield both in the Malabar Police Corps and in the Madras Police and that his account were transmitted monthly to that Officer with whom the Books and Records were.

[x] of Jonghoo 9th February 1864.

10- As Stated above in para 7 Captain Blomfield writes that no information is forthcoming on his Records.

11- Under these circumstances I have the honor to request the Special Sanction of Government for writing off the Sum of Rupees 80-10-1 which stands debited as an advance in

in my account.

I have the honor to be.
Sir
Your most obt Servant

Cadallard
Collector.

Referred to the Deputy Auditor and Accountant General for report.

[illegible]
Chief Secretary to Govt

29th May 64.

DA & AG. Office
Rec'd 28 5 1864
Ans'd

Encd & ret'd 30 May 64

List of 21st June.

Audit Department
No 954

Chief Office of the
Insp'r Genl of m Police
Madras 5th May 1864.

DA & AG. Office
Rec'd 6 5 1864
Ans'd 14 5 No 280

From
Lieut Colonel J Temple
Ag Asst Inspector General
of M Police

To
The Accountant General
Fort Saint George

Sir, I have the honor, in the absence of the Inspector General, to acknowledge receipt of your letter No 50 dated 3d Instant relative to the sum of Rupees 80—10—1 reported by the Deputy Collector of Malabar to be an unadjusted balance of an advance made to the Police in 1862-

2 This item is not due by the Police; it dates from June 1860, not 1862- the history of the transaction is as follows-

3 About June 1860 the Malabar Police Corps was incorporated into the Mofussil Police- the men's Regimental

Regimental accounts were closed and the balances paid them - a Detachment of the Corps was on duty at Paulghat where Lieutant Lavie 3d Regiment N.I. was assistant Superintendent of Police, but the Head Quarters of the Corps were at Malliapooram at which place Serjant Ryan was Quarter master Serjant of the Corps and in charge of the Cash and Stores under the Commanding Officer -

On 12th June 1860 Serjant Ryan wrote a letter to Lieutenant Lavie informing him that he had paid Rupees 80 - 10 - 1 to the Tahsildar at Ernad in Malabar for a Transfer Receipt payable at Paulghaut being monies due to the men of Malabar Police Corps at the latter Station -

On the 16th June (without waiting for the arrival of the Transfer Receipt) Lieutenant Lavie took up from

from the Tahsildar at Paughaut the sum of Rupees 80 - 10 - 1 - the mode of the disposal of this sum cannot be traced -

On the 18th June Serjant Ryan wrote again to Lieutenant Lavie to say that the remittance had been delayed and slightly altered -

The Transfer Receipt for the altered amount seems never to have reached Lieutenant Lavie or to have been mislaid by him and he also appears not to have forwarded the men's acquittances for the sum he took up on 16th June 1860 - after the lapse of a year on being applied to by Mr Ryan for a refund, he addressed the Deputy Collector requesting him to repay the sum remitted by Mr Ryan as it had never reached at Paulghaut - the Deputy Collector on receipt of this application repaid the

the amount of the altered Transfer Receipt to Mr Ryan about six months afterwards Mr Ryan died.

8 It thus appears that Lieutenant Lavie received the sum of £80—10—1 in June 1860 – and again directed the repayment of the same to Mr Ryan in June 1861 -

9 Lieutenant Lavie was at no time authorised to take up advances on account of the Police, and I am at a loss to understand how the Tahsildar took on himself to make the payment without presentation of the Transfer Receipt -

This Transaction was not brought to notice by the Deputy Collector, to the best of my belief, until October 1862, when it was carefully investigated with the result above detailed, and Copies of the whole Correspondence furnished to the Deputy Collector in

in January 1863 - why that Officer did not at once apply to you that a recovery might be directed through the Military Pay Department I do not understand -

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your Most Obedt Servt,
Prempls Lieut Col
Ag Asst Inspr Genl
of Police -

Book and Adjust. Departt.

Fort Saint George,
Accountt. Genlas Office,
7th June, 1864.

No. 37

From

F. Lushington Esquire,
Accountant General

To The Chief Secretary to Government,
Fort Saint George

Sir,

I have the honor to acknowledge the receipt of the order of Government, dated 27th Ultimo, referring for my report, a letter from the Collector of Malabar, of the 13th Idem, in which, sanction is requested for writing off to "Profit and Loss", a sum, Rupees 80-10-1, advanced to Lieutenant Lawie, 3d Regiment Native Infantry, on the 16th June 1860, (erroneously stated in para: 3 of Mr. Ballard's letter under reference, as June 1861) for monies alleged to be due to the men of the late Malabar Police Corps.

2. In reply, I beg to observe, that I can see no valid grounds for writing off the sum in question to Profit and Loss, and I am of opinion that the responsibility rests with Lieutenant Lawie, who acted very irregularly in taking up the money, prior to the receipt of the

1

From The Inspector Genl of Hospitals Madras

To The Accountt General Madras

Dated 5th May 1864
Rec'd 13th Do.

Grants his opinion regarding the sum of Rs 80-10-1 unjustly drawn by the Collector of Malabar on account of unadjusted balances and advance made to the Police in 1862.

Ent
78
Feby 25th

See further letter from Inspr Genl of Hospitals dated 11th May 1864 No 32

See letter to the Examiner

Pay Deptt 8th May 1864 No 144

Do to the Dy Accountt Genl

Malabar 9th May 1864 No 8

the Transfer Receipt from the Tahsildar of Ernad, in whose Treasury, the amount was deposited. The payment of it to Lieut.t Lavie can be proved, and it therefore rests with that Officer to account for its subsequent disposal, failing which, he is, in my opinion, clearly bound to refund the amount.

3. The Tahsildar of Paulghaut, I may remark, was also to blame, for having paid the money before the presentation, by Lieutenant Lavie, of the Transfer Receipt, from the Ernad Tahsildar.

4. As the sum in question stands unadjusted in the Books of this Office, my predecessor made a reference on the subject to the Inspector General of Mofussil Police, and I herein enclose his reply, No. 954, dated 5th Ultimo, wherein it is stated that Lieut.t Lavie was not authorized to take up advances on account of the Police, and that he, Colonel Temple, is at a loss to understand how the Tahsildar took on himself to make the payment, prior to presentation of the Transfer Receipt.

7 requested to be returned.

5. On the 7th Ultimo, the Examiner of the Pay Department was addressed by this Office, to take measures for reconching from Lieutenant Lavie, the sum in question, and to remit it by Public Service Transfer Receipt

Receipt to the Collector of Malabar. No reply has yet been received to this reference.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,
P.r Lushington
Accountant General.

Comp.d

Cop.d & forwarded

Financial Depart.ts

Proceedings of the Madras Govt.

Read the following

Letters

From the Collector of Malabar

(Dated 13th May 1864 - No 53)

From the Accountant General

(Dated 2d June - a No 371)

Order 6th June 1864 No 303-

Ordered that a copy
of the Accountant Gene-
-ral's report above re-
-corded be furnished
to the Collector of Mala-
-bar in reference to his
letter of the 13th ultimo.
No 53. It appears from
the last para of the Ac-
-countant General's re-
-port that the Examiner
of the Pay Department
has been called upon
to take measures for
recovering from Lieut.
Davis the sum of Rs.
- pees

No 2354

Dated 21st June

Govt 6th 1864 Financial

No 303

From Accountt General

To the Chief Secretary

to Government

Dated 2d June 1864

Rec'd 3d

No 2354

A: 2354

Reporting recalletion
from the Collector of Malabar
of the sum of Rs 16 - 10 - 4
Pay & Allowances drawn by
Lieut Davis of the 3d Regiment
N.I. since June 1860.

Rupees 80-10-1 irregularly
drawn by him from the
Palghat Treasury in
June 1860, and to remit
it by Public Service
Transfer receipt to the
Collector of Malabar.

To the Accountant General
= Collector of Malabar } Disp: 13/6/61

Financial 1864. Departt

No 303.

Proceedings of Govt

Dy 6th June 1864

Letter 21st June

6 @ 8