M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages _____

(2) Date of repair 28.7.64

(3) Initials of the Referencer _____

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 662 Press / Mis.

Dated 10-10- 18 63

28-29 9/9

Not to be folded or roughly handled

1A

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, 10th October 1863.

Read the following papers:—

No. 28. From J. C. SHAW, Esq., Chicacole; to the Secretary to Government, Madras, dated Chicacole, 30th September 1863.

I have the honor to bring to your notice the serious inconvenience caused to me by the Deputy Collector of Vizagapatam refusing to cash Government Currency Notes.

I came down the coast on business of the Aska Concern, and on starting from Aska purchased a considerable amount of Currency Notes, trusting that Government through its servants would not repudiate its own Notes, and at Chicacole where I cashed several I met with no objection; but on the 19th instant, having arrived at Vizagapatam and being short of cash, I sent by my Duffadar a one hundred Rupee Note requesting that as I was a traveller it might be cashed, and to my astonishment the man returned and informed me that the Deputy Collector refused to cash it, and on his suggesting that it was the custom elsewhere to cash Notes ordered the Duffadar out of Court. Upon this I wrote officially; my letter and Deputy Collector's answer I annex.

He appears to understand the rules as he says "and as an accommodation to private parties or travellers for small sums only when the state of the Treasury permits it they are cashed," and yet refuses me; surely Rupees 100 would not make much difference in a large Treasury like that of Vizagapatam.

As the Deputy Collector of Vizagapatam's ideas seem so limited, perhaps it would be advisable for Government for the good of travellers to define what is meant by *small sums*.

At 7 P. M. on 26th I received a letter from Deputy Collector informing me that he had received orders to cash my Note—as I was to leave on the following day (Sunday) of course the leave was of no use, and to make sure that he did not delay it on purpose I should feel obliged by your inquiring, on what date and at what hour did he receive the telegram from the Accountant General.

If it had not been for the kindness of a gentleman I must have sold the Note in the bazaar at a discount, which I did wish to do, as the Notes are already beginning to get a bad name up in the Northern District.

Even supposing that I had been a resident in Vizagapatam, I should hardly think the Deputy Collector has any right to refuse a Currency Note.

I have the honor to request you will, should you think my complaint just, take serious notice of the refusal of the Deputy Collector, as it will give travellers more confidence that Government does not issue Notes merely to repudiate them upon presentation for payment.

I must request you will order the Deputy Collector to refund me Rupees 2-3-6 the expense I have been put to in this business, and only regret I was not stopping at an Hotel so as to have made him pay more.

Telegram as per receipt enclosed...	2	1	0
Postage on letter to Deputy Collector... ...	0	0	6
Do. this letter...	0	2	0
Rupees...	2	3	6

I have the honor to request that any letters may be addressed to me at Aska.

COPY.

From J. C. SHAW, Esq.; to the Deputy Collector in charge of Treasury, Vizagapatam, dated Waltair, 19th September 1863.

My Duffadar informs me that you refused to cash a hundred Rupee Note sent you, as I think he must be mistaken, I send it back to you ($\frac{B}{5}$07315) and I request cash for the same.

If you persist in refusing I must warn you I hold you liable for any loss I may sustain, as I am determined to hand it up at once should you refuse it.

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department, 10th October 1863.

From A. V. NURSINGA ROW, Deputy Collector in charge of Treasury; to J. C. SHAW, Esq., Waltair, dated 19th September 1863.

In returning the Currency Note* for Rupees 100 received with your letter of this day's date, I have the honor to state that such Notes are only received in the Treasury in payment of revenue or of any other demands of Government, and as an accommodation to private parties or travellers for small sums only when the state of the Treasury permits it they are cashed.

*No. $\frac{B}{5}$ 07315.

I am sorry to see from the language of your letter that you are annoyed at the refusal not knowing the order by which we are obliged to refuse.

(True Copies,)

(Signed) J. C. SHAW.

No. 29. From R. W. LODWICK, Esq, Acting Deputy Auditor and Accountant General, Madras; to the Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras, dated Fort Saint George, 7th October 1863, No. 218.

I have the honor with reference to copy of my telegram, No. 50, dated 25th ultimo, to report that the Deputy Collector of Vizagapatam has stated that Mr. Shaw is a "gentleman stationed at Waltair making contracts with merchants to buy Gingelley seeds," and that the Note presented by his peon being for 100 Rupees was not considered a *small sum* in the terms and meaning of this Department, Circular No. 223, dated 5th May last.

2. The applicant would under this explanation not seem to be a "traveller" as he described himself but a mercantile resident, and I am of opinion that such being the case it was not wrong of the Deputy Collector to refuse payment, for if he granted change to one resident he must do so to all and much inconvenience would result, as the Vizagapatam Treasury is generally low.

No. 30. ORDER THEREON, 10th October 1863, No. 662.

The Acting Deputy Auditor and Accountant General will be furnished with a copy of Mr. Shaws's letter, from which he will observe that that gentleman was really a traveller, having gone to Vizagapatam on the business of the "Aska Concern."

2. The Governor in Council declines to comply with Mr. Shaw's request that the Deputy Collector may be directed to refund to him the sum paid by him for his telegram.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. T. McMootry.

3A

No. 218 FORT SAINT GEORGE.

DEPUTY AUDITOR AND ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE,
7th October 1863.

From As.
THE ACTING DEPUTY AUDITOR AND ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
Madras.

To Chief
THE SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF
Madras

SIR,

I have the honor with reference to ~~Government Order~~ copy of my telegram No. 50 dated 25th ultimo ~~...~~, to report that the Deputy Collector of Vizagapatam has stated that Mr Shaw is a gentleman stationed at Waltair making contracts with merchants to buy Gingelley seeds" & that the note presented by his peon being for 100 Rs. was not considered a small sum in the terms & meaning of this Dept. Circular No 223 dated 5th May last -

2- The applicant would under this explanation not seem to be a "traveller" as he described himself but a mercantile resident & I am of opinion that such being the case it was not wrong of the Deputy Collector to refuse payment for if he granted change to one resident he must do so to all & much inconvenience would result as the Vizagapatam Treasury is generally low -

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant,

R Lodwick

Ag. Dy. Audr. and Acct. General.

Government Telegraph Department. 5A

RECEIPT. Vizagy OFFICE.

NO. 64

FROM (Person) Shaw Esqre

To (Place) Madras

To (Person) Secy: to Govt.

Words (1) 33 Private Paid @ 1/: Rlbs Rs. 2 | 1 | 4

Postage and Registration As. 4 Boat Hire As. 4 } Rs. " | " | 8

Peon Hire As. " ..... TOTAL Rs. 2 | 1 | 4

(1) Here state whether the Message is on Service or Private and whether Paid, Bearing, Repetition or Night.

Received Payment.

R. Rochfort.
Dy Assistant in Charge.

The 19th of Sept 1863

N. B.—Complaints must be addressed to the Government Telegraph Complaint Office Calcutta accompanied by this receipt.

Financial. 1863. Sept.

From the Sy. Govt. Madras
Madras Financial. 218.
Dated 22 Sept. 63.
No. 682.

Dir of Rds Oct 8

Bris - Bragg 63 Nov 1

Dir of Rds Oct 8

Financial. 1863. Sept.

From the Sy. Govt. Madras
Madras Financial. 218.
Dated 22 Sept. 63.
No. 682.

F.R. 705 of 63

Explaining the reasons why the Deputy Collr of Nagapatam refused, in opposition to his orders, to cash a bill for Rs 100 presented by a detachment of Sappers & Miners.

19 Sept. 1863

7A

From A. V. Swesing a Lieut Colonel
Asst Adjt Genl in Charge of Treasury
To Lieut Colonel Delafield
Asst Inspr Genl

Sir

In returning the Currency
note for $100 received with your
letter of the I have the
honor to state that I do not find
record in the Treasury Department
of Government, an accommodation
to private parties or Travellers in
small sums only, when the
of the Treasury Permits at Bayard Co
I am sorry to see from the
language of your letter that you are
annoyed at the refusal to execute
the order by which we are obliged
to refuse

I have
the
Lieut A. V. Swesing

N. B 5 07315

True copies
A. V. Swesing

Copy

Vizagapatam 19th Sept. 1863

To The Deputy Collector
in Charge of Treasury
Vizagapatam

Sir

My Dubash informs me that upon presenting to cash a Rupee note sent by you, he was told that he must be sworn before he could get it cashed. I think he must be mistaken, and I cash upon (Rs 9,73,15) [illegible] upon the understanding that if you persist in refusing I must call upon you to hold yourself liable for any loss I may sustain, as I am determined to have it cashed at once should you refuse it.

I have &c
(Signed) J. C. Sharp

Chicacole 20th Sept. 1863 | No 49

To
The Secretary to Government
Madras

Sir.

I have the honor to bring to your notice the serious inconvenience caused to me by the Deputy Collector of Vizagapatam refusing to cash Government Currency Notes.

I came down the Coast on business of the Aska Concern, & on starting from Aska purchased a considerable amount of Currency Notes, trusting that Government through its Servants would not repudiate Government Notes, & at Chicacole where I cashed several I met with no objection, but on the 19th inst having arrived at Vizagapatam & being short of cash, I sent by my Duffadar a One Hundred Rupee Note requesting that as I was a Traveller it might be cashed & to my astonishment the man returned & informed me that the Deputy Collector refused to cash it, & on his suggesting that it was the Custom elsewhere to cash notes ordered the Duffadar out of Court. Upon this I wrote officially, my letter & D's answer I annex.

He appears to misunderstand the rules as he says "and as an accommodation to private parties or Travellers for small sums only when the state of the Treasury permits it they are cashed" and yet refuses one; surely Rs 100 do not make much difference in a large Treasury like that of Vizagapatam.

As the Deputy Collectorship of Vizagapatam does seem so limited, perhaps it will be advisable for Government for the good of Travellers to define what is meant by "small sums."

At 7 P.M. on the 19th I sent a letter to the Collector myself, one that he had received orders to cash my note, as I was to leave on the following day (Sunday) of course the leave was of no use, & to make sure that he did not delay it on purpose I should feel obliged by your enquiring, on what date & what hour did he receive the Telegram from the Collector the Accountant General

If it had not been for the kind news of a

a gentleman I must have sold the note in the bazaar at a discount, which I did wish to do, as the Notes are already beginning to get a bad name up in the Interior Districts

Even supposing that I had been a resident in Vizagapatam, I submit Kindly think the D. Collector has any right to refuse a Currency Note.

I have the honor to request you will, if you think my complaint just, take serious notice of the refusal of the D. Collector, as it will give Travellers some confidence that Government does not issue Notes merely to repudiate them upon presentation for payment.

I must request you will order the Deputy Collector to refund me Rs 2. 3. 6 the Expence I have been put to in this business & only regret I was not stopping at an Hotel so as to have made him pay me

Telegram as per receipt enclosed - 2. 1. 0
Postage on letter to D. Collector — 6
— this letter — 2
Rs 2. 3. 6

I have to request that any letters may be forwarded at Arka

I have the honor to be
Your obt. Servant
A. C. Shaw.

Financial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following papers:

From Mr. J. B. Shaw — (Dated 30th Sept. '63 No. 70 etc. 208)
From Actg. Dy. Accts. Genl. }

Order — No. 662 of 10th Oct. 1863.

The Actg. Deputy Accountant General will be furnished with a copy of Mr. Shaw's letter, from which he will observe that that Gentleman was really a traveller, having come down the coast on the business of the "Seka Sowcar".

From Mr. J. C. Shaw
Chicacole

dated 30th Sept. 1863.

No. 208

Recd. 5th Octr.

Answered No. 662
Fort 705 — 10th Octr.

Expresses his opinion of the propriety of the proposition to cancel a portion of the stamp duties on the execution of bonds &c. and the issuance of licenses to sell opium &c.

Mr. Smith returns the papers with the observations which he has to make thereon.

50 Actg. Dy. Accts. Genl.

Encloses papers with observations thereon.

Returns the papers with his observations

Ditto do Cells

Final 1863. Department.

No. 662

Fin. Dept. 705 of 63

PROCEEDINGS OF GOVERNMENT,

Dated 10 October 63

a further representation made by Mr J C Shaw in reference to the refusal of the Dy Collr of Vizagapatam to cash a Currency Note for Rs 100.

9678

28 @ 30