

(1) Number of pages 16.(2) Date of repair 12-7-38(3) Initials of the Referencer G

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	437	Press
2-3.		Mis.
Dated	4-7-	1863.

2.3

9/a

Not to be folded or roughly handled

3

1

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
4th July 1863.*

Read the following Petition:—

No. 2. To HIS EXCELLENCY THE HONORABLE THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,
Fort Saint George.

The humble Petition of ATTAVANUM JAGUN-
NATH ROW, the late Tahsildar of Atmakoor
Talug in Nellore District.

MY LORD,

Dissatisfied with the Orders of Government passed on his petition, No. 1,842 of 1862, dated 23rd July 1862, in granting pension as one-third of his salary instead of half as recommended by the Collector, your petitioner submits herewith a Memorial to the address of the Right Honorable the Secretary of State for India (in triplicate), which it is humbly requested to be despatched to that noble Authority in the event of your Lordship not being disposed to reconsider its order quoted above.

Your petitioner as in duty bound shall ever pray.

(Signed) A. JAGUNNATH ROW,
Late Tahsildar of Atmakoor Talug.

NELLORE, 26th May 1863.

TO THE RIGHT HONORABLE THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

The humble Memorial of ATTAVANUM JA-
GUNNATH ROW, late Tahsildar of Atma-
koor Talug, in the District of Nellore, under
the Madras Presidency.

SHEWETH,

1. This is an appeal against that portion of the orders* of the local Government of Madras passed on his Petition No. 1,842 of 1862, dated 23rd July 1862, as relates to his pension, stating that "they granted the pension to which he is entitled under the Civil Pension Rules."

*Vide A.

2. Before dwelling upon the object of this appeal, your Memorialist thinks it necessary to put the Home authorities in possession of the period of his services as hereunder subjoined; the benefit which he should receive under the orders of the late Honorable Court of Directors, hereinafter quoted, in being pensioned, being denied by the local Government.

Memorandum of Service.

	YRS. M. D.
1. As English Writer in the Hoozoor from 6th October 1827 to 25th November 1835 on 20 Rupees per mensem.....	8 1 21
2. The period in which your Memorialist was without employ from 26th November 1835 to 8th August 1836 is.....	0 8 13
3. Gomastah in Gungapatam Salt Cotaure from 9th August 1836 to 12th April 1837 on 20 Rupees per mensem.....	0 8 5
4. Ditto in Kistnapatam do. from 13th April to 30th November 1837 on 20 Rupees per mensem.....	0 7 18
5. Do. in Yisakapully do. from 1st to 10th December 1837 on 20 Rupees per mensem.....	0 0 10
6. Hoozoor English Writer from 11th to 14th December 1837 at 15 Rupees per mensem.....	0 0 4
7. Gomastah in Yisakapully Salt Cotaure from 15th December 1837 to 11th March 1839.....	1 2 28
8. Deputy Tahsildar of Nellore Talug from 13th March to 12th August 1839 at 25 Rupees per mensem.....	0 5 0
9. Hoozoor Sayer Sheristah Gomastah from 13th August 1839 to 22nd March 1840 at 21 Rupees per mensem.....	0 7 11

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
4th July 1863.*

10. Deputy Tahsildar of Cottah Taluq from 23rd March 1840 to 28th February 1842 at 21 Rupees per mensem.....	1	11	9
11. Town Cutwal of Nellore from 1st March to 7th April 1842 at 28 Rupees per mensem.....	0	1	7
12. Deputy Tahsildar of Cottah Taluq from 8th April to 19th July 1842 at 21 Rupees.....	0	3	12
13. Do. of Nellore Taluq from 20th July 1842 to 5th November 1843 at 25 Rupees.....	1	3	8
Total period of different inferior service.....	16	1	6
14. As Tahsildar of Siedapore Taluq from 7th November 1843 to 25th October 1844 at 70 Rupees per mensem.....	0	11	18
15. As do. of Talamunchee Taluq from 26th October 1844 to 26th November 1845 at 120 Rupees per mensem.....	1	1	0
16. As do. of Oodiagherry Taluq from 27th November 1845 to 4th January 1847 at 120 Rupees per mensem.....	1	1	8
17. As do. of Talamunchee from 5th January to 18th July 1847 at 120 Rupees per mensem.....	0	6	14
18. As Tahsildar of Sungum Taluq from 19th July 1847 to 24th October 1850 at Rupees 100.....	3	3	18
19. As do. of Survapully Taluq from 25th October 1850 to 16th August 1852 at Rupees 120 per mensem.....	1	9	22
20. As do. of Nellore Taluq from 17th August 1852 to 24th June 1860 at Rupees 140 per mensem.....	7	10	8
21. As do. of Autmaoor Taluq from 25th June 1860 to 5th February 1862 at 175 Rupees per mensem.....	1	7	11
Total period of Tahsildarship....	18	3	9
Grand total including inferior employment.....	34	4	15
Deduct period (No. 2) being without employment, of which the cause is hereinafter explained.....	0	8	13
Net actual period of service...	33	8	2

3. It would appear by the foregoing Memorandum that your Memorialist served the Honorable Company for 33 years, 8 months and 2 days with diligence and confidence, to the proof of which he can add no more than he was retained on high emolument in the last mentioned Taluq in the amalgamation of the Taluqs in this Presidency.

4. Your Memorialist respectfully proceeds to bring to the favorable consideration and decision of the Home authorities the grievance he met with as below.

5. That although he is still able to serve, yet he applied to the Collector of Nellore, Mr. Dykes, to permit him to retire on pension, *i.e.*, half of his salary, as the period of his service is more than 30 years by 3 years, 8 months and 2 days, as would appear by the Memorandum entered above.

6. That the Collector, Mr. Dykes, comparing the period of his service with his own records favorably recommended to the local Government that your Memorialist is entitled to be pensioned on half of his salary on 5 years average, Rupees 74½, the rate allowed to the public servants under Civil Pension Rules, dated 15th August 1851, published by this Government.

7. There being a rule in this Presidency that the submission of recommendation for pension should be made to the Civil Auditor with a view to testify the correctness of the period of service of the applicants, it was therefore submitted to that authority. It is not known what was the result of the Civil Auditor in submitting the Collector's recommendation to Government;

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
4th July 1863.*

but they at last counting the period of your Memorialist's service as only twenty-five years, six months and two days, sanctioned the rate of his pension as one-third of his salary instead of half, the rate recommended by the Collector.

8. The Collector informed your Memorialist that he should receive the one-third pension, Rupees 49½, but unwilling to receive it with a view that his appeal to Government will perhaps prove favorable to him in correcting the ratio as half for one-third, presented his Appeal
* Vide A. Petition, No. 1,842 of 1862; but the Government passed an endorsement* thereon that they granted the pension to which he is entitled; hence this appeal.

9. It appears by all probabilities that the only ground, on which the local Government superseded the Collector's recommendation, which is to the effect that your Memorialist should be indulged with a pension at half of his present salary, Rupees 175, is owing to the Civil Auditor not having counted 8 years, 1 month and 21 days down to 25th November 1835, on the plea that your Memorialist had been dismissed on that date. The matter is correct if that authority had thought so; but his striking off so long a period as abovementioned being opposed to the resolution of the late Court of Directors in the Financial Department, No. 75, dated 15th August 1855, published in the Fort Saint George Gazette of 30th November 1855, your Memorialist would respectfully explain the shape or weight of his dismissal and re-admission to employment as follows:—

10. It is true that your Memorialist had in his long service of 33 years and odd, been once dismissed from service on some nominal suspicion by the then Collector, Mr.
* Vide B. Stonhouse, on the 25th November 1835, as would be seen by his order* and was re-employed by the same gentleman on the 9th August 1836, being 8 months and 13 days afterwards as would appear by his order.†

11. If, out of the period of your Memorialist's actual service 34 years, 4 months and 15 days, the period of non-employment 8 months and 13 days is deducted, the residue is 33 years, 8 months and 2 days.

12. The Government ought, under the orders of the late Court of Directors, No. 75, dated 15th August 1855 quoted above, to have granted to him one-half pension of his salary, as that noble Court clearly directs in the above orders that the broken period within twelve months should not be considered as forfeiting the applicants of their privilege of reckoning their service down to dismissal, and as your Memorialist's broken period of non-employment is only within that period, *i.e.*, 8 months and 13 days.

13. With reference to the spirit of the orders of the late Honorable Court of Directors, your Memorialist confidently hopes that the period of his service down to 25th November 1835, being 8 years, 1 month and 21 days, cannot be justly excluded from the period of his actual service of 33 years and odd, and that the Home authorities will be leniently led to decide that your Memorialist is entitled to half of his salary with reference to the above quoted orders as the Collector recommended.

14. Lastly, your Memorialist respectfully solicits that he having served confidently for upwards of 30 years, without any impeachment whatever, (except a break only of 8 months and 13 days, even this upon mere suspicion, but since cleared by the same Collector
† Vide D. who dismissed him, and he was promoted† to the office of Tahsildar by the same Collector) the Home authorities will, with reference to the orders of the late Court of Directors quoted above, be leniently pleased to issue instructions to the local Government of Madras, in order that half of his monthly salary might be fixed as pension for him, as recommended by the Collector of Nellore, Mr. Dykes, declaring that the period of 8 years, 1 month and 21 days which were not reckoned might be counted, and the reasonable rate of pension granted to him.

15. Your Memorialist begs to embrace this opportunity of apprizing that on the receipt of the Pension Certificate granted by the local Government for one-third pay to your Memorialist, the Collector of Nellore has again taken up the subject upon himself and recommended to Government, Pension Department, strongly urging that your Memorialist should be bestowed on half pay as pension cancelling the certificate issued, and that the Civil Auditor has erred in striking off his former

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
4th July 1863.*

service before his dismissal on the 26th November 1835. Since he was restored to an appointment within 9 months of the date of his dismissal your Memorialist has now been precluded from enclosing a copy of the said recommendation, as under recent rules copies of correspondence with the Board and Government cannot be allowed, unless on the express orders of those authorities; should your Lordship be pleased to move leniently in his case and send for that letter his conduct will be evinced.

And your Memorialist as in duty bound shall ever pray,

NELLORE,
19th May 1863.

(Signed) A. JAGANAD ROW,

*Late Tahsildar of Atmacoor Taluq,
Nellore District.*

ENCLOSURE A.

No. 1,842 of 1862.

States his long service of 34 years, 6 months, 11 days, out of which he served as Tahsildar for 18 years and odd.

Represents that as the Collector urged him to retire from service on pension in consequence of his long service, he sent in his application for a pension of one-half, although he is strong and healthy enough to serve for some years more, and that the Collector promised to recommend him to Government for a pension to which he is entitled.

Encloses the endorsement of Mr. Dykes and other two orders of old date giving him appointment. Prays that as another man has already been employed in the place, and as the Doctor declines to furnish him with a certificate, Government may be pleased to grant him a pension of one-half or to re-appoint him in his former post.

No. 1,842 of 1862.

The Government cannot accede to the Petitioner's request. He has retired after furnishing a Medical Certificate which declares him unfit for further active service, and has obtained the pension to which he is entitled under the Civil Pension Rules.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
23rd July 1862.

By order,

(Signed) R. S. ELLIS,

Acting Deputy Secretary to Government.

ENCLOSURE B.

To ATTRAVANUM JAGANATHA ROW,

English Writer in the Hoozoor.

It is mentioned in a letter dated 9th March 1835, written to your address to the effect that you must pay 50 Rupees because there is less 166 Rupees in the Post Office money, by John Fillix, late Post Office Writer of Nellore, and which letter was confessed to have been received and seen when it was shown by you. Although you know well that the Circar money was taken by him yet you did not report that circumstance at that time—and you are likewise unable to explain the cause for your doing so. You are therefore dismissed from 26th instant, thinking that you are unfit for the Circar employment as you concealed the above circumstances, and you will deliver over charge to the Head Accountant.

(Signed) T. V. STONHOUSE,

Principal Collector.

(True Copy.)

ENCLOSURE C.

To ATTRAVANUM JAGANATHA ROW.

SALT DEPARTMENT.

You are appointed from this day, the 9th instant, as Goomastah of Gungapatam Cotauro on a monthly salary of 20 Rupees in Kristnapatam Division. As soon as you receive this order pro-

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
4th July 1863.*

ceed to the Superintendent and take charge from him and give him a receipt, and perform the duties attached to your employment with much care and diligence.

(Signed) T. V. STONHOUSE,
Principal Collector

NELLORE, 9th August 1836.

ENCLOSURE D.

To ATTRAVANUM JAGANATHA ROW.

Sub-Tahsildar of Nellore Taluq.

You are hereby appointed to be Tahsildar and Head of Police of Sydapoor Taluq from 6th instant, on 70 Rupees per mensem. On delivering charge of your office to the Principal Tahsildar of Nellore, you will proceed to Sydapoor and take charge from the Mazoondar there, and continue to do the Circar duty with diligence.

(Signed) T. V. STONHOUSE,
Principal Collector and Magistrate.

7th November 1843.

(True Copy.)

No. 3.

ORDER THEREON, 4th July 1863, No. 437.

Ordered that the Memorial received with the foregoing petition be despatched to the address of the Right Honorable the Secretary of State, and that intimation of the same be given to petitioner.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,
Secretary to Government.

TO

THE RIGHT HONORABLE THE SECRETARY OF STATE FOR INDIA.

*The humble Memorial of ATTAVANUM
JAGUNNATH ROW, late Tassildar
of Autmacoor Taluq, in the District of
Nellore, under the Madras Presidency.*

SHEWETH,

* Vide A.

1. This is an Appeal against that portion of the orders* of the local Government of Madras passed on his Petition No. 1842 of 1862, dated 23rd July 1862 as relates to his Pension, stating that "they granted the pension to which he is entitled under the Civil Pension Rules."

2. Before dwelling upon the object of this appeal, your Memorialist thinks it necessary to put the Home authorities in possession of the period of his services as hereunder subjoined; the benefit which he should receive under the orders of the late Honorable Court of Directors, hereinafter quoted, in being pensioned, being denied by the local Government.

Memorandum of Service. YEARS. M. D.

1. As English writer in the Hoozoor from 6th October 1827 to 25th November 1835 on 20 Rupees per mensem.....	8	1	21
2. The period in which your Memorialist was without employ from 26th November 1835 to 8th August 1836 is.....	0	8	13
3. Gomastah in Gungapatam Salt Cottar from 9th August 1836 to 12th April 1837 on 20 Rupees per mensem.....	0	8	5
4. Ditto in Kistnapatam do. from 13th April to 30th November 1837 on 20 Rupees per mensem.....	0	7	18
5. Do. in Visakapully do. from 1st to 10th December 1837 on 20 Rupees per mensem.....	0	0	10
6. Hoozoor English writer from 11th to 14th December 1837 at 15 Rupees per mensem.....	0	0	4
7. Gomastah in Visakapully Salt Cottar from 15th December 1837 to 11th March 1839.....	1	2	28
8. Deputy Tassildar of Nellore taluq from 13th March to 12th August 1839 at 25 Rupees per mensem.....	0	5	0
9. Hoozoor Sayer Sheristah Gomastah from 13th August 1839 to 22nd March 1840 at 21 Rupees per mensem.....	0	7	11
10. Deputy Tassildar of Cottah taluq from 23rd March 1840 to 28th February 1842 at 21 Rupees per mensem.....	1	11	9
11. Town Cutwal of Nellore from 1st March to 7th April 1842 at 28 Rupees per mensem.....	0	1	7
12. Deputy Tassildar of Cottah taluq from 8th April to 19th July 1842 at 21 Rupees.....	0	3	12
13. Do. of Nellore taluq from 20th July 1842 to 5th November 1843 at 25 Rupees.....	1	3	8
Total period of different inferior service.....	16	1	6

14. As Tassildar of Siedapore taluq from 7th November 1843 to 25th October 1844 at 70 Rupees per mensem.....	0	11	18
15. As do. of Talamunchee taluq from 26th October 1844 to 26th November 1845 at 120 Rupees per mensem.....	1	1	0
16. As do. of Oodiagherry taluq from 27th November 1845 to 4th January 1847 at 120 Rupees per mensem.....	1	1	8
17. As do. of Talamunchee from 5th January to 18th July 1847 at 120 Rupees per mensem.....	0	6	14
18. As Tassildar of Sungum taluq from 19th July 1847 to 24th October 1850 at Rupees 100.....	3	3	18
19. As do. of Survapully taluq from 25th October 1850 to 16th August 1852 at Rupees 120 per mensem.....	1	9	22
20. As do. of Nellore taluq from 17th August 1852 to 24th June 1860 at Rupees 140 per mensem.....	7	10	8
21. As do. of Autmacoor taluq from 25th June 1860 to 5th February 1862 at 175 Rupees per mensem.....	1	7	11
Total period of Tassildarship.....	18	3	9
Grand total including inferior employment.....	34	4	15
Deduct period (No. 2) being without employment of which the cause is hereinafter explained.....	0	8	13
Net actual period of service.....	33	8	2

3. It would appear by the foregoing Memorandum that your Memorialist served the Honorable Company for 33 years, 8 months and 2 days with diligence and confidence, to the proof of which he can add no more than he was retained on high emolument in the last mentioned taluq in the amalgamation of the Taluqs in this Presidency.

4. Your Memorialist respectfully proceeds to bring to the favorable consideration and decision of the Home authorities the grievance he met with as below.

5. That although he is still able to serve, yet he applied to the Collector of Nellore, Mr. Dykes, to permit him to retire on pension, *i. e.*, half of his salary, as the period of his service is more than 30 years by 3 years, 8 months and 2 days, as would appear by the Memorandum entered above.

6. That the Collector, Mr. Dykes, comparing the period of his service with his own records favorably recommended to the local Government that your Memorialist is entitled to be pensioned on half of his salary on 5 years average, Rupees 74½, the rate allowed to the public servants under Civil Pension Rules, dated 15th August 1851 published by this Government.

7. There being a rule in this Presidency that the submission of recommendation for pension should be made to the Civil Auditor with a view to testify the correctness of the period of service of the applicants, it was therefore submitted to that authority. It is not known what was the result of the Civil Auditor in submitting the Collector's recommendation to Government; but they at last counting the period of your Memorialist's service as only 25 years 6 months and 2 days, sanctioned the rate of his pension as ½ of his salary instead of ½, the rate recommended by the Collector.

8. The Collector informed your Memorialist that he should receive the ½ pension Rupees 49½, but unwilling to receive it with a view that his appeal to Government will perhaps prove favorable to him in correcting the ratio as half for ½, presented his Appeal Petition No. 1842 of 1862; but the Government passed an Endorsement* thereon that they granted the pension to which he is entitled; hence this Appeal.

* Vide A.

9. It appears by all probabilities that the only ground, on which the local Government superseded the Collector's recommendation, which is to the effect that your Memorialist should be indulged with a pension at half of his present salary Rupees 175, is owing to the Civil Auditor not having counted 8 years, 1 month and 21 days down to 25th November 1835, on the plea that your Memorialist had been dismissed on that date. The matter is correct if that authority had thought so; but his striking off so long a period as abovementioned being opposed to the resolution of the late Court of Directors in the Financial Department No. 75 dated 15th August 1855, published in the Fort St. George Gazette of 30th November 1855, your Memorialist would respectfully explain the shape or weight of his dismissal and re-admission to employment as follows:—

10. It is true that your Memorialist had in his long service of 33 years and odd, been once dismissed from service on some nominal suspicion by the then Collector, Mr. Stonhouse, on the 25th November 1835, as would be seen by his order* and was re-employed by the same gentleman on the 9th August 1836, being 8 months and 13 days afterwards as would appear by his order.*

* Vide B.

† Vide C.

11. If, out of the period of your Memorialist's actual service 34 years, 4 months and 15 days, the period of non-employment 8 months and 13 days is deducted, the residue is 33 years, 8 months and 2 days.

12. The Government ought, under the orders of the late Court of Directors No. 75 dated 15th August 1855 quoted above, to have granted to him one-half pension of his salary, as that noble Court clearly directs in the above orders that the broken period within twelve months should not be considered as forfeiting the applicants of their privilege of reckoning their service down to dismissal, and as your Memorialist's broken period of non-employment is only within that period, *i. e.* 8 months and 13 days.

13. With reference to the spirit of the orders of the late Honorable Court of Directors, your Memorialist confidently hopes that the period of his service down to 25th November 1835, being 8 years, 1 month and 21 days, cannot be justly excluded from the period of his actual service of 33 years and odd, and that the Home authorities will be leniently led to decide that your Memorialist is entitled to half of his salary with reference to the above quoted orders as the Collector recommended.

14. Lastly, your Memorialist respectfully solicits that he having served confidently for upwards of 30 years, without any impeachment whatever, (except a break only of 8 months and 13 days, even this upon mere suspicion, but since cleared by the same

* Vide D.

Collector who dismissed him, and he was promoted* to the office of Tassildar by the same Collector) the Home authorities will, with reference to the orders of the late Court of Directors quoted above, be leniently pleased to issue instructions to the local Government of Madras, in order that half of his monthly salary might be fixed as pension for him, as recommended by the Collector of Nellore, Mr. Dykes, declaring that the period of 8 years, 1 month and 21 days which were not reckoned might be counted, and the reasonable rate of pension granted to him.

15. Your Memorialist begs to embrace this opportunity of apprizing that on the receipt of the Pension Certificate granted by the local Government for ½rd pay to your Memorialist, the Collector of Nellore has again taken up the subject upon himself and recommended to Government, Pension Department, strongly urging that your Memorialist should be bestowed on ½ pay as pension cancelling the certificate issued, and that the Civil Auditor has erred in striking off his former service before his dismissal on the 26th November 1835. Since he was restored to an appointment within 9 months of the date of his dismissal your Memorialist has now been precluded from enclosing a copy of the said

2
O. P. A. to C. J. 10 July
No. 13 of 1863.

2
S. M. 1352 of 1863.

2
O. P. A. to C. J. 10 July
No. 13 of 1863.

2
O. P. A. to C. J. 10 July
No. 13 of 1863.

1
His Excellency the Honorable Governor in Council
Fort Saint George

The humble petition of Attavenu
Juggunnatha Row the late Tahasildar
of Atmakoor Taluk in Nellore District

My Lord

Dissatisfied with the orders of
Government passed on his petition No 1862
of 1862 dated 23 July 1862 in granting
pension as $\frac{1}{3}$ of his salary instead of $\frac{1}{2}$ as
recommended by the Collector, your
petitioner submits herewith a memorial
to the address of the Right Honorable the
Secretary of State for India (in triplicate)
which it is humbly requested to be
despatched to that noble authority in
the event of your Lordship not being
disposed to reconsider its order
quoted above..

Your petitioner as in duty bound
shall ever pray

A. S. J. an adrover

Nellore
26th May 1863

Late Tahasildar of Atmakoor
Taluk

St. L. B. to Cong. 17th Jan
Dec 13 of 1863

230 done
1251-4

For
Hearings before the
the late Judiciary of Alaska
taken in 1862
dated 26th May 1863
Received of me
J. W. 1863
J. W. 1863

Having been directed to
with the order of Government
to 1842 of 1842 dated 23
July 1842. Concerning a
20/3 of his salary in 1842
1/2, for a 1842 memorial
to the Secretary of State for
the Secretary of State for
respective forward the same
in the event of his case and
any steps to be taken.

Dr. B. to the Capt. 7th July
1863.

7th Jan 1863 Depart

No 434.

Proceedings of Court

274 " July 1863.

Read the following notice
from the American Spectator Nov.
Proceeding & Howard College
to the A. S. the Secretary of that
speaking from a Review of their
port. Peter Harvey & Co. 1835.

Order Money to 1137 1/4 Jan 2 1863

observed that the human mind
 seemed with the foregoing feelings
 to be pre-occupied in the address
 of the N. A. Representative of the
 & that in the course of the address
 he gave a Northern

7
Mansel



12/24/24

25-10

2A3