

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 23.6.39

(3) Initials of the Referencer 4

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	822	Press
		Mis.
Dated	19-11-	1862.

64-66

5/6

Not to be folded or roughly handled

12

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
19th November 1862.*

Read the following papers :—

No. 64. Proceedings of Government in the Public Works Department, dated 18th October 1862, No. 2,486.

Referring for consideration letter from the Chief Engineer requesting to be informed whether an Officer who proceeds by sea to join his appointment is to charge Government with the Passage money which he would have to pay for servants and luggage in addition to that for himself. } (Vide Proceedings Public Works Department, dated 18th October 1862, Nos. 281 & 282.)

Referred for the report of the Civil Paymaster with advertence to para. 4 of the Chief Engineer's letter, and to the modified clause suggested in the 2nd para. of Mr. Raynor's letter of the 15th January 1861, No. 20.

FORT SAINT GEORGE,
23rd October 1862.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary to Government.

No. 65. From W. J. RAYNOR, Esq., Officiating Civil Paymaster; to A. J. ARBUTHNOT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 12th November 1862, No. 473,

Public Works Department.

With reference to the Order of Government, dated 23rd October 1862, I have the honor to report that the rule in the revised Military Pay Code mentioned by the Chief Engineer does not apply to Military Officers in the Civil Department.

2nd. With reference to the modification adverted to in the 2nd para. of my letter of 15th January 1861, No. 20, I beg to state that, under a precedent established in the early part of 1860 it had been the practice of this office to allow to an Officer proceeding on duty in a private vessel, the actual amount of passage money for himself, and also for servants to the number allowed by the Military Department to an Officer of corresponding rank. But as it appeared from a reference made to Calcutta, that passage money is not allowed for servants without special orders, the practice has been since discontinued. Neither servants nor extra luggage can now be admitted without the sanction of the Government of India.

No. 66. ORDER THEREON, 19th November 1862, No. 822.

Ordered to be communicated to the Public Works Department in reference to the Order in that Department, dated the 18th ultimo, No. 2,486.

(Signed) A. J. ARBUTHNOT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. T. McMootry.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter from Colonel W. I. BIRDWOOD, R. E., Chief Engineer, to the Secretary to Government, Public Works Department, dated Chepauk, 10th October 1862, No. 3,597 :—

SIR,

1. With reference to the 1st paragraph of the Proceedings of Government dated 12th February 1861, No. 77, I have the honor to observe that it is not clear whether an Officer who proceeds by sea to join his appointment is to charge Government with the passage money which he would have to pay for servants and luggage, in addition to that for himself.

2. The Officer in charge of the Audit and Account Departments has forwarded to the Civil Paymaster a Bill of Captain Hunter's, Acting District Engineer of Vizagapatam, for passage money paid by him which includes charges of the above description; but the Civil Paymaster has remarked that the passage money for the Officer alone is admissible and not that for servants, nor the charge for luggage.

3. I request therefore to be favored with the views of Government on the subject. It appears to me that the allowance for "Passage money" should include that on account of servants and the charge for baggage, both of which by the ordinary mode of travelling, are taken by the Officer transferred from one station to another.

4. It will be seen from the revised Pay Code that Officers attached to the Military branch of the service have a regulated number of servants allowed for in the provision for passage by P. & O. Steamers.

ORDER THEREON, 18th October 1862, No. 2,486.

Referred to the Financial Department for consideration, with reference to the orders in that Department dated 12th February 1861, No. 77.

(True Extract.)

(Signed) W. I. BIRDWOOD, Colonel, R. E.,
Secretary to Government.

To the Financial Department.
" Chief Engineer.

Exd. S. T. Augustin.

Public Works Department

N^o 473

Civil Pay Master's Office
Fort St. George,
12th November 1862

From, W. J. Paynor, Esq^r,
Off^r Civil Pay Master.

A. J. Arbuthnot, Esq^r,
Chief Secretary to Gov^t -

Sir,
With reference to the order of Government dated 23rd October 1862, I have the honor to report that, the rule in the revised Military Pay Code mentioned by the Chief Engineer does not apply to Military Officers in the Civil Department. -
2^d With reference to the Modification adverted to in the 2^d portion of my letter of 15th January 1861, N^o 20, I beg to state that, under a precedent established in the early part of 1860 it had been the practice of this Office to allow to an Officer proceeding on duty in a private Vessel, the actual amount of passage money for himself, & also for servants to the number allowed by the Military Department to an Officer of corresponding rank. But as it appeared from a reference made to Calcutta, that passage money is not allowed for servants without special Orders, the practice has been since discontinued. Neither servants nor extra baggage can now be admitted without

dit 9th Nov.

2nd 1862

Proceedings of Gov^t in the
Public Works Department
2486

2nd 1862
Dec 21st 3rd Oct
11th Nov 1862

1st 834

Regarding for consideration
how better from the Chief
Engineer requesting to be
informed whether an Officer
who proceeds to sea to join
his appointment is to charge
himself with the passage money
which he would have to pay
for servants & baggage in addition
to that for himself.

Fort St. George 3rd Nov 62

Chief Secretary
to Gov^t

Received for the report of the Civil
Paymaster with reference to para 4 of the
Chief Engineer's letter and to the modified
clause suggested in the 2^d portion of Mr
Paynor's letter of the 15th January 1861 N^o 20

Refused

1495

without the sanction of the Government of India -

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant.-



Offg Civil Pay Master.-

XCH

No. 23^d Oct 62.
" 12th Feb 71 - 17

dist of no hour

Tril 13th 62 Dep't.
From
The Civil Engineer

To The Chief Secretary
to Government
Noted 12th Nov 62
Recd. 14th " 1862

No. 473

No. 908.

With reference to G. A.

of 23rd October 1862, report
that the rule with respect
to the Civil Engineer
Secretary, Bay Road, mention
ed by the Chief Engineer,
does not apply to Civil
Officers in Civil employ.
Hofers remarks.
With an Order

64065

Recd No. 908 with P.D. 18th Oct 1862
do - letter from the Civil Engineer
12th Nov 1862, 162.92473

Order dated 19th Nov 1862 No. 822

Ordered to be con-
-nued to the Public Works
Department in reference to the
Order in that Department dated
the 18th letter - No. 2486

To the Public Works Dept 1862

1862