

(1) Number of pages 10
(2) Date of repair 22.6.5
(3) Initials of the Referencer 7

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <u>747</u>	Press Mis.
<u>1302-63-64</u>	
Dated <u>21</u> <u>60</u>	18 <u>62</u> .

63-64

6/7

Not to be folded or roughly handled

SR

19

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
21st October 1862.

No. 63. Read the following Endorsement in the Financial Department, on a letter from the Officiating Accountant General to the Government of India, dated 19th September 1862, No. 482G.

Read letter from J. I. HARVEY, Esq., Officiating Accountant General to the Government of India; to the Honorable E. DRUMMOND, Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort William, the 5th September 1862, No. 1,784, J. 57.
Loan Office.

With reference to your Office Docket No. 281G of the 30th ultimo, forwarding copy of a communication from the Government of Fort Saint George, I have the honor to state that the entries on the cancelled Notes satisfactorily bear out the present conclusions of the authorities

No. 6,200 of 1825-26, Rupees 5,600.

at Madras that Russool Bebee (whose application for a Duplicate of the Government Security marginally described was forwarded for report with your Office Docket No. 559

of the 27th January last) has no claim to a Duplicate of the Note in question which was represented to have been lost or stolen, but which has subsequently been discovered to have been paid off by transfer to Mr. D. Brakenridge with interest on the 7th September 1853. The last interest realized on the Note by Russool Bebee was in March 1829, after which she specially endorsed the Note to one Subadar Abdoolawbake, a

"Transfer No. 6."
"Register " 23."

Memorandum quoted in the margin entered immediately below her endorsement seems to establish the due registra-

tion of the transfer at Madras and the Note does not appear to have subsequently come into her possession.

The application of Russool Bebee therefore for a Duplicate after such a lapse of time (about 33 years) bears a very suspicious appearance on the part of herself or perhaps of some one personating her, and on this view of the case I would beg to point the attention of Government to the fact that an employé of Government, N. Aroona Chellum Chitty, Accountant, Civil Pay Master's Office, Madras, is one of the sureties to the Bond of Indemnity tendered to Government for the issue of the Note.

No. 482G.

FORT WILLIAM, FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT, the 19th September 1862.

Copy forwarded to the Madras Government for information and further orders, and to the Civil Pay Master, Madras, for report regarding the Accountant of his Office being a surety to the Bond of Indemnity.

By order of His Excellency the Governor General in Council.

(Signed) C. BERNARD,

Under Secretary to the Government of India.

No. 64. ORDER THEREON, 21st October 1862, No. 747.

Resolved that a copy of the foregoing letter and endorsement thereon, together with the

• Dated 21st December 1859.
" 16th " 1861.
" 16th June 1862.
Proceedings, 21st January 1860, No. 28.
" 4th " 1862, " 1.
" 15th February " " 145.
" 20th June " " 459.
" 6th August " " 580A.

*original applications** of Rassool Bebee and the correspondence on the subject, be forwarded to the Commissioner of Police, with a request that he will summon the applicant and the sureties to the Bond of Indemnity tendered to Government for the issue of the Note referred to, and investigate the case, which seems to be a fraudulent attempt to obtain a duplicate of a Note falsely represented

to have been lost or stolen, but which has since been discovered to have been paid off by transfer to one Mr. D. Brakenridge. The Commissioner will report the result of his enquiry for the information of Government and for any further proceedings against the parties that may seem to be called for.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exl. F. Cartledge.

From

J. J. Harvey Esquire

Offg. Accountant General to the Govt of India

To

The Honble E. Drummond

Secretary to the Government of India

Financial Department

Loan Office Sir

With reference to your Office Docket N^o 281 G of the 30th Ultimo, forwarding copy of a communication from the Government of Fort Saint George I have the honor to state that the entries on the cancelled Notes satisfactorily bear out the present conclusions of the authorities at Madras that Ruseool Behee (whose application for a

N^o 6200 of 1825/26 Duplicate of the Government Security marginally described
R^u 5,600 was forwarded for report with your Office Docket N^o 559 of the 27th January last) has no claim to a Duplicate of the Note in question which was represented to have been lost or stolen but which has subsequently been discovered to have been paid off by transfer to Mr. D. BraKenridge with Interest on the 7th September 1853 The last interest realized on the note by Ruseool Behee was in March 1829 after which she specially

58
specially endorsed the Note to one Subadar Abdoolwahabke
a memorandum quoted in the margin entered immediately. "Transfer No. 6"
below her endorsement seems to establish the due registra-
-tion of the transfer at Madras and the Note does not
appear to have subsequently come into her possession. —

The application of Ruseel Beebe therefore for a
Duplicate after such a lapse of time (about 33 years) bears
a very suspicious appearance on the part of herself or
perhaps of some one personating her and on this view
of the case I would beg to point the attention of Govern-
-ment to the fact that an employee of Government, N.
Aroona Chellum Chetty Accountant Civil Pay Master's
Office Madras is one of the sureties to the Bond of
Indemnity tendered to Government for the issue of the
Note. —

Fort William }
The 5th Sept: 1862 }
I have the honor to be &c.
(Signed) J. J. Harveey
Offgr Accountt Genl to the Govt of India
N^o 482 f
Fort William

Financial Department

The 19th September 1862

Copy forwarded to the Madras Government
for information and further orders, and to the Civil Pay
Master

Master Madras for report regarding the Accountant of
his Office being a surety to the Bond of Indemnity.

By order of His Excellency the
Governor General in Council

Harveey

Under Secy. to the Government of India

Financial Dept

Proceedings of the Madras Govt

Read the following
Endorsement in the Finan-
cial Department on a
letter from the Offg Secy
General to the Govt of India

(Dated 19th Sep. 1862 No 4824)

Order thereon. 21 October 1862 No 747.

Resolved that a copy
of the foregoing letter and
Endorsement thereon, to-
gether with the original
applications of Rasool
of the correspondence on the subject,
Beber, be forwarded to
the Commissioner of Po-
lice, with a request that
he will summon the ap-
plicant and the Sureties
to the Board of Indemnity
tendered to Government
for the issue of the Note
referred to, and investigate
the case, which seems
to be a fraudulent at-
tempt to obtain a dupli-
cate of a Note falsely
represented to have been
lost

Dated 27th December 1859.
" 15th " 1861.
" 15th June 1862.

Pro: 21st January 1860 No 28.
" 4th " 1862 - a 1.
" 15th February " a 145.
" 20th March " a 157.
" 6 August " a 580A.

Wm

Wm

Pro: 6 Sept 62 / 20th 20th 42
" 20th June 62 - 70-71
" 15th Feb. 62 - 49-88
" 4 Jan. 62 1-2
21st Jan - 60 - Order No 28

21 Oct 62
Fm 1862 2 Sept

From
The Under Secy to
the Govt of India. 28th
4824

27th 19th 1862
28th 10th 1862

No 801

Forwarded for information to
the Under Secy of a
letter from the Offg Secy
General to the Govt of India
No 4824
Dated 19th Sep. 1862
No 4824
Having no claim for return
given, the duplicate of
the Govt - Security No 6200
of 1825-26 No 5500.

CHD 41
216

63-64

Jan 1862 Sept.

20/4/2

Proceedings of foot.

21/2/1862
N. 1. 984
N. 1. 985

Application of
Current Books for the
duplicate of a book.
Previously, the stated
to have been lost by her

22-6

63 4/4