

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair 28-6-38

(3) Initials of the Referencer 7

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <u>494</u>	Press Mis.
<u>B No 15-16</u>	
Dated <u>9</u> <u>10</u>	18 <u>61</u> .

15-16

4/5

Not to be folded or roughly handled

111

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
9th October 1861.*

No. 15. Read the following letter from R. TAYLOR, Esq., Civil Paymaster, Punjab; to the Financial Secretary to the Government of India, Fort William, dated Lahore, 17th August 1861, No. 203.

I have the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 9,780, giving cover to Order, No. 9,772, dated 1st current, and would request instructions on the following points:—

(1.) Is the Commissioner unable to grant any leave, which will necessitate an officer's departure from his district? or does this restriction apply only to sick leave?

(2.) At present, applications for leave are sent to this office, in order that I may certify whether or not the leave is admissible; will this still be required?

(3.) Will the grant of leave be notified to this office by letter, or by publication in the *Gazette*?

(True Copy.)

(Signed) C. HUGH LUSHINGTON,

Secy. to the Government of India.

From C. H. LUSHINGTON, Esq., Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department; to the Civil Paymaster, Punjab, dated Fort William, Council Chamber, the 2nd September 1861, No. 11,264.

Financial Department.

I am directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 203, dated 17th ultimo, soliciting instructions on three points connected with the grant by the Commissioners of Revenue and Police, of privilege leave to their subordinates, and in reply to communicate to you the following observations.

2. In respect to the first point mentioned in your letter, it appears to the Governor General in Council that the Order of the 1st ultimo vests in Commissioners the power to grant *privilege leave under the Rules*, and makes no restriction that the grantees should pass that leave in his own district.

3. As regards the second point, His Excellency in Council thinks that the Commissioners should ascertain from the Civil Paymaster whether the leave is admissible before they grant it.

4. Regarding the third point, I am to observe that the grant of privilege leave should be communicated to the Government under which the Officer may be serving, for publication in the *Official Gazette*.

No. 11,272.

Ordered, that a copy of the foregoing letter, and of that to which it is a reply, be sent to the other Civil Paymasters and to all the local Governments for information, in continuation of the communication from this department of the 1st ultimo.

(Signed) C. HUGH LUSHINGTON,

Secy. to the Government of India.

No. 16.

ORDER THEREON, 9th October 1861, No. 494.

To be recorded.

(Signed) J. D. SIM,

Secretary to Government,

Exd. T. O'Connor.

3M
From R. TAYLOR, Esq., Civil Pay Master, Punjab, to the Financial Secretary to Government, India, Fort William, No. 203, d., Lahore, 17th August 1861.

SIR,

I HAVE the honor to acknowledge receipt of your letter, No. 9780, giving cover to order, No. 9772, dated 1st current, and would request instructions on the following points :—

- (1.) Is the Commissioner unable to grant any leave, which will necessitate an officer's departure from his district? or does this restriction apply only to sick leave?
- (2.) At present, applications for leave are sent to this office, in order that I may certify whether or not the leave is admissible; will this still be required?
- (3.) Will the grant of leave be notified to this office by letter, or by publication in the Gazette?

(True Copy)

Wm. W. Lambton

Serv to the Govt. of India.

W. W. Lambton

5M

No. 11264

FROM C. H. LUSHINGTON, ESQUIRE,

SECRETARY TO THE GOVERNMENT OF INDIA,

FINANCIAL DEPARTMENT;

TO THE CIVIL PAY MASTER, PUNJAB.

Fort William, Council Chamber, the 2nd September 1861.

Financial Department.

SIR,

I AM directed to acknowledge the receipt of your letter, No. 203, dated 17th ultimo, soliciting instructions on 3 points connected with the grant by the Commissioners of Revenue and Police, of privilege leave to their subordinates, and in reply to communicate to you the following observations.

2. In respect to the 1st point mentioned in your letter, it appears to the Governor General in Council that the order of the 1st ultimo vests in Commissioners the power to grant *privilege leave under the Rules*, and makes no restriction that the grantees should pass that leave in his own district.


3. As regards the 2nd point, His Excellency in Council thinks that the Commissioners should ascertain from the Civil Pay Master whether the leave is admissible before they grant it.

4. Regarding the 3rd point, I am to observe that the grant of privilege leave should be communicated to the Government under which the officer may be serving, for publication in the *Official Gazette*.

I have the honor to be,

SIR,


Your most obedient servant,



Secretary to the Government of India.

11242

ORDERED, that a copy of the foregoing letter, and of that to which it is a reply, be sent to the other Civil Pay Masters and to all the local Governments for information, in continuation of the communication from this department of the 1st ultimo.



File 11/61.8
No. 5718

No 11 Paper 84 PM 454

7th Dec 1861 Sept 21

From

The Secy to the Govt
of India. Sept 21 11272

Sept 21 1861
Sept 21 1861

No 548

Copy for information
of the Secy to the Govt
of India. Sept 21 11272
containing instructions
on certain points raised
by him connected with
the Grant by the Comd
of the Revenue & Public
Works leave to him
for the Secy to the Govt
of India. Sept 21 11272

21-6

154/8

1185