

(1) Number of pages 14.
(2) Date of repair 10. 6. 39.
(3) Initials of the Referencer A

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <u>33</u>	Press Mis.
<u>43-44</u>	
Dated <u>23-1-</u>	18 <u>61</u> .

13/10/43-44

8/7

Not to be folded or roughly handled

7

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
23rd January 1861.*

No. 43. Read the following letter from THOMAS PEACHEY, Esq., to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated Madras, 19th December 1860.

I have the honor, very respectfully, to urge upon Government, the reconsideration of my request to be permitted to reckon towards pension, the time I was employed in the service of Government as Secretary to the Commission for investigating Public Establishments, that is from June 1857 to October 1859.

2. It appears from a letter of the Officiating Civil Auditor, Calcutta, that there is no rule prohibiting the time "an Uncovenanted Civil Servant may be employed on an extra or temporary establishment to reckon in his favor towards pension," and that Officer adds, that the practice has always been to count such service as qualifying for pension, provided the establishment in which the individual may have served, is eventually made permanent. He does not say that the fact of the establishment not being made eventually permanent *disqualifies*, nor does it appear to have been the practice of the Madras Government so to read it. For instance, the case of T. Embaroomanar Moodeliar, late Manager of the Madras Exhibition—see Extract Minutes of Consultation, Public Department, No. 106, dated 21st January 1859, where the Government decree that he is entitled to count his service, (in that undoubtedly temporary employment) because he had been continuously employed in that laborious capacity. This reasoning equally applies to my case, the Commission was closed because I the Secretary was appointed Principal Assistant to the Accountant General, but although the salary of the Office ceased with the Commission, it will be seen from paragraph 5 of his letter that the services of the Secretary have been frequently called for and cheerfully rendered long after the salary of the Office had ceased. These services have been and will I hope be for some time continuous, but I do not think that because I get nothing for them, that circumstance should operate to my prejudice.

3. There are in the Minutes of Government several other cases which if not quite in point are very nearly so, to wit:

- I. Proceedings of Government, Public Department, No. 920, dated 13th June 1859, being the case of the employés of the Government Central Museum.
- II. Extract Minutes of Consultation, Separate Public Department, No. 198, dated 24th July 1857, being a case where service in a temporary establishment was permitted because it had not been expressly declared temporary, neither was mine declared temporary.
- III. Extract Minutes of Consultation, Revenue Department, No. 488, dated 16th April 1858, where it is stated by the Director of Revenue Settlement, and concurred in by Government, that serious embarrassment would be entailed on the organization of establishments (as no respectable or efficient servant would attach himself to a department not holding out the prospect of a future provision) by a limitation of the Pension Rules of the nature against which I petition.
- IV. Extract Minutes of Consultation, dated 23rd December 1858, where the Government direct that the servants employed in the Survey of Madras be considered eligible to pension under the same rules that apply to all other departments, this establishment being of so temporary a nature that it is continually going up to Government for sanction for a further period.
- V. Proceedings of Government No. 784, dated 14th June 1859, confirm the principle in the case of the employés of the Inam Commission, and Government will probably be called upon shortly to admit the same privilege to the Officials in the Income Tax Department as they have already done in the above quoted cases and in that of the Mofussil Police,—see Proceedings of Government, Judicial Department, No. 637, dated 23rd May 1860.

Besides these, as I am informed, the same privilege was conceded to the employés in the Offices of the Tanjur and Carnatic Commissions, but I have not been able to see the papers. One instance I have heard of was Sinderoya Mudali formerly of the Tanjur Commission (Mr. Russel's.)

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
23rd January 1861.

4. There are now in the several Commissions in Calcutta, Officers belonging to other Madras Establishments whose time while so temporarily employed is actually counting for pension (Messrs. Simkins, Sharlieb and others) and not only so but those who are temporarily acting in their absence for them are also counting their time towards pension, and the holder of the lowest acting appointment (in most instances a fresh hand entirely) stands precisely in this category.

5. I have alleged that the services of the Secretary to the Commission did not terminate

Extract from a letter from the Military Finance Commission, Calcutta, to the Military Auditor General, dated 8th September 1860.
"The Commission have acted on the suggestion of T. Peachey, Esq., and adopted the statistical form of book keeping for the Military accounts, Calcutta, and Colonel Hannyngton has fully approved of this Statistical form, and applied it to the Pay Office Accounts."

Extract from a letter from W. Grey, Esq., Secretary to the Government of India, to T. Pycroft, Esq., Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort Saint George, dated Fort William, 15th June 1860, No. 1,272.
"2. I am to request that Mr. Peachey also, of the Accountant General's Office, may be directed to assist the Committee with any information they may require from him, and which the share he is understood to have taken in a former enquiry at Madras may enable him to afford."

Extract from Proceedings of the Military Finance Department, No 3,051, dated 5th December 1860.
Paragraph 18. As an aid to the attainment of this end, the Military Finance Department, will shortly circulate the reports of a Commission on Public Offices, held at Madras in 1859, which contain suggestions well calculated to promote the efficiency of Public Establishments.

contemporaneously with the salary and during the past twelve months much of the information gathered during its brief existence has been usefully applied. In support of this I beg to quote in the margin one very important instance and also an application of the Government of India for my services in the matter of Official printing. To this last I sent in a very full report which has I believe been adopted in omnibus. It is not at all unlikely from the tenor of the third extract in the margin that I may again be called on to apply the

past experiences of the Commission. I am of course, whether this request be granted or no, equally bound to give any assistance in my power, and have always done so, but I shall think it hard measure if this should be denied me when it has been granted to others and when in equity there does not seem to be any reason why it should be withheld.

The Honorable W. A. Morehead,
Colonel T. T. Pears, C. B.
Colonel G. Balfour, C. B.
H. D. Phillips, Esq.
Lieutenant Colonel G. W. Y. Simpson.
Lieutenant Colonel R. Hamilton.

6. If the Government entertain any doubt upon the subject, I have the honor to request that the views of the Members of the Commission may be taken on the subject; they will I am certain support my application.

No. 44. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated Fort Saint George, 23rd January 1861, No. 33.

With reference to the correspondence noted in the margin, I am directed by the Honorable the Governor in Council to transmit for submission to the Government of India, copy of a letter from Mr. Thomas Peachey, urging upon this Government the re-consideration of his request to be permitted to reckon towards pension the time he was employed as Secretary to the Commission for investigating Public Establishments, viz., from June 1857 to October 1859.

2. The Government, I am desired to state, have not felt themselves at liberty to allow the term of Mr. Peachey's employment in the Commission to count as time qualifying for pension, in consequence of the restriction contained in the Officiating Civil Auditor Mr. Sandeman's letter to your address, dated the 20th July 1860. Mr. Peachey has however instanced several cases, prior to the receipt of the above letter, in which the Government of Madras have allowed service on temporary establishments to count towards pension.

3. As Mr. Peachey has been transferred to the service of the Government of India, I am instructed to forward his application for their decision, with the remark of this Government that the nature of Mr. Peachey's labors as Secretary to the Commission was such as to entitle him to any consideration that the Government of India may be able to show him.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

To
The Chief Secretary
to Government

Sir,

I have the honor, very respectfully, to urge upon Government, the re-consideration of my request to be permitted to reckon towards pension the time I was employed in the service of Government as Secretary to the Commission for investigating Public Establishments, that is from June 1857 to October 1859.

2. It appears from a letter of the Officiating Civil Auditor Calcutta, that there is no rule prohibiting the time "an uncorroborated Civil Servant may be employed on an Extra or temporary establishment to reckon in his favor towards pension," and that Officer adds, that the practice has always been to count such service as qualifying for pension, provided the establishment in which the individual may have served, is eventually made permanent. He does not say that the fact of the establishment not being made eventually permanent disqualifies, nor does it appear to have been the practice of the Madras Government so to read it. For instance, the case

of T. Embarcamanar Moodchar, late Manager of the Madras Exhibition, see Extract Minutes of Consultation, Public Department, No. 106 dated 21st January 1859, where the Government decree that he is entitled to count his service (in that undoubtedly temporary employment) because he had been continuously employed in that laborious capacity. This reasoning equally applies to my case - the Commission was closed because I the Secretary was appointed Principal Assistant to the Accountant General - but although the salary of the Office ceased with the Commission it will be seen from the last Para⁵ of this letter that the services of the Secretary have been frequently called for and cheerfully rendered long after the salary of the Office had ceased. These services have been and will I hope be for some time continuous - but I do not think that because I get nothing for them, that circumstance should operate to my prejudice -

3 There are in the Minutes of Government several other cases which if not quite in point are very nearly so, to wit -

I. Proceedings of Government Public Department No. 920 dated 13th June 1859 being the case of the employees of the Government Central Museum -

- II. Extract Minutes of Consultation Separate Public Department No. 198 dated 24th July 1857 being a case where service in a temporary establishment was permitted because it had not been expressly declared temporary - neither was mine declared temporary -
- III. Extract Minutes of Consultation Revenue Department No. 488 dated 16th April 1858, where it is stated by the Director of Revenue Settlement, and concurred in by Government, that serious embarrassment would be entailed on the organization of Establishments (as no respectable or efficient servant would attach himself to a department not holding out the prospect of a future provision) by a limitation of the pension rules of the nature against which I petition -
- IV. Extract Minutes of Consultation dated 23rd December 1858 where the Government direct that the servants employed in the Survey of Madras be considered eligible to pension under the same rules that apply to all other departments - this establishment being of so temporary a nature that it is continually going up to Government for sanction for a further period -

Proceedings

V. Proceedings of Government No. 784 dated 14 June 1859 confirm the principle in the case of the employees of the Income Commission and Government will probably be called upon shortly to admit the same privilege to the officials in the Income Tax Department as they have already done in the above quoted cases and in that of the Mofussil Police, see Proceedings of Government Judicial Department No. 637 dated 23rd May 1860. -

Besides these I am informed the same privilege was conceded to the employees in the Offices of the Tanjore and Carnatic Commissions but I have not been able to see the papers. - One instance I have heard of was Sunderoyya Mudali formerly of the Tanjore Commission (Mr. Russell) -

4. There are now in the several Commissions in Calcutta, Officers belonging to other Madras Establishments whose time while so temporarily employed is actually counting for pension (Messrs. Simkins, Sherlick and others) and not only so, but those who are temporarily acting in their absence for them are also counting their time towards pension, and the holder of the lowest acting appointment (in most instances a fresh hand entirely) stands precisely in this category.

I

5. I have alleged that the services of the Secretary to the Commission did not terminate contemporaneously with the

Extract from a letter from the Military Finance Commission Calcutta to the Military Auditor General dated 8 September 1860. -

"The Commission have acted on the suggestion of J. Peachy Esq. and adopted the Statistical Form of Book keeping for the Military Accounts Calcutta, and Colonel Hannington has fully approved of this Statistical Form and affixed it to the Pay Office Accounts."

Extract from a letter from W. Grey Esq. Secretary to the Government of India to J. Bycroft Esq. Chief Secretary to the Government of Fort St. George dated Fort William 15 June 1860 No. 1272.

"I am to request that Mr. Peachy also, of the Accountant General's Office, may be directed to assist the Committee with any information they may require from him, and which the share he is understood to have taken in a former enquiry at Madras may enable him to afford."

Extract from Proceedings of the Military Finance Department No. 3061 dated 5th December 1860.

Para 18. As an aid to the attainment of this end, the Military Finance Department will shortly circulate the Reports of a Commission on Public Offices, held at Madras in 1859, which contain suggestions well calculated to promote the efficiency of Public Establishments.

the Commission. I am of course, whether this request

Salary and during the past twelve months much of the information gathered during its brief existence has been usefully applied. - In support of this I beg to quote in the margin one very important instance and also an application of the Government of India for my services in the matter of official printing. To this last I sent in a very full report which has I believe been adopted in committee. It is not at all unlikely from the tenor of the third extract in the margin that I may again be called on to apply the past experiences of

request be granted or no, equally bound to give
any assistance in my power, and have always done
so - but I shall think it hard measure if this
should be denied me when it has been granted
to others and when in equity there does not seem
to be any reason why it should be withheld -

6. If the Government entertain any
doubt upon the subject I have the honor to

The Hon W. A. Morehead
Colonel J. F. Pears CB.
Colonel G. Balfour CB.
W. D. Phillips Esq.
Lieut. G. W. Simpson
Lieut. R. Hamilton.

request that the views of
the Members of the
Commission may be
taken on the subject -
they will I am certain
support my application.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,

Your most obedient servant

Thomas Pracey.

Madras

17 December

1860

Financial Department.

No. 33-

The Secretary to the Govt of India
Financial Department.

Sir,

With reference to the
correspondence noted in
the margin, I am directed
by the Honble the Gover-
nor in Council to trans-
mit for submission to
the Govt of India a copy
of a letter from Mr Thomas
Peachey, urging upon this
Government the recon-
sideration of his request to
be permitted to reckon to-
wards pension the time
he was employed as Secre-
tary to the Commission
for investigating Public
Establishments, viz. from
June 1854 to October 1857.

2. The Government,
I am desired to state, have
not felt themselves at
liberty to allow the term
of

Dec/23-61

To Govt of India 28 June 1854.
From do do 25 July 1854.

W
L

Final No 9th Dec 1854 to Nov 25/56
" 30th Aug 56 " " 9 31/94
" 28 June " " 1791
Final No 26th " " " 216/17
" 23rd May " " 11 9/12
" 14th June 1859 No 24/5
" 13th " " " 92/11
" 21st May " " " 39/40
" 23rd Dec 1858 No 83/4
" 16th April " " " 184/9
" 24th July 1857 " " 198/2

Financial

Thomas Peachey

1854

Tom

Mr Thomas Peachey.

27th 19th 8th
of 20 23 Dec 2/1854

656

1854

Permitting Mr Peachey
consideration of his request to
be permitted to reckon to-
wards pension the time he
was employed as Secy to the
Commission for investigating
Public Establishments

458
219

of Mr Peasey's employ-
ment in the Commission
to count as time qualify-
ing for pension, in conse-
quence of the restriction
contained in the Official
Civil Auditor Mr. Landon's
letter to your address da-
ted the 20 July 1860. Mr
Peasey has however in-
stanced several cases
prior to the receipt of the
above letter - in which the
Govt of Madras have allow-
ed service on temporary
establishments to count
towards pension.

3. As Mr Peasey
has been transferred to the
service of the Govt of India,
I am instructed to forward
his application for their
decision, ~~in accordance~~ with the
remark of this Government
that the nature of Mr Peasey's
labors

labors as Secretary to the
Commission may such
as to entitle him to any
consideration that the Govt
of India may be able to
show him.

For Mr George,
23 January 1861.

J. J.

Letter 1166 to Mr Peasey

31 26 9
31 January 01
Finance 1861 Report

24 2-33

To
The Secretary to the
of Justice

Dated 23 January 1861

Relates to 27/15th & 20 June
1861 27th 129-

Transmitting all the Board
application to the Secretary
to be known towards the
when the time he was
employed as Secretary
to the Commission for
Investigating Public
Establishments-