

(1) Number of pages 20(2) Date of repair 5-7-39(3) Initials of the Referencer g

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial. DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	316.	Press
		Mis.
59-60.		
Dated	21-6-	1861.

59-60.

11/12

Not to be folded or roughly handled

g

X
+ / +

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
21st June 1861.

No. 59. Read the following letter from Mr. EDWARD LUXA, late Examiner in the Military Department of the Accountant General's Office; to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 5th June 1861.

With the greatest respect, I beg leave to submit the enclosed Memorial to the address of the Right Honorable the Secretary of State, humbly soliciting to be permitted to retire on a Pension equal to a moiety of my pay, under the circumstances therein explained.

The period of my past faithful services under Government is thirty-three years, having served so long and attentively, I was led to hope that I would be entitled to retire, in my old age, on a moiety of my salary; but to be now deprived of my thirteen years faithful services in counting for Pension, I feel quite distressed to support myself and family with so small an income, viz., one third of my salary, being Rupees 33, passed last month.

I have given no cause worthy of the displeasure of Government, towards my past faithful services, it is a very lamentable case, that I should forfeit my well earned services of thirteen years by an unjust removal (on account of a party feeling of two Clerks) which was founded on mere suspicion caused by the ill advice of the then Book-keeper to the then Accountant General, as will be seen in my Appeal, and that the break in service was occurred solely by unjust removal, and not on account of public service or offence.

The Gentlemen under whom I was employed, viz. Sir T. V. Stonhouse, G. L. Prendergast, Esq., J. L. Lushington, Esq., and C. A. Roberts, Esq., being now in England, I have no other protector to support and recommend my hard case, I therefore humbly look to you for *protection* and *justice*, and in kindly forwarding the present Memorial to the Home Government, you will be so good as to extend your kind support, in consideration of my long and faithful services of thirty-three years, which I have discharged with all energy to the State, in view to the sanction of the trifling difference of Rupees 16 to my small Pension, to enable me to support myself and family in my infirm age of 63 years, for which act of kindness I shall ever feel grateful.

No. 60. ORDER THEREON, 21st June 1861, No. 316.

In his present Memorial Mr. E. Luxa prays for a re-consideration of his case, viz., that his service of 13 years, previous to his dismissal in 1833, may be allowed to count for pension to entitle him to a moiety—Rupees 50—of his official salary, or Rupees 16-12-0 in excess of the one-third Pension recently conferred on him for his subsequent service of 20 years.

2. The circumstances under which Mr. Luxa's re-employment in the public service was sanctioned have already been adverted to in the Despatch from this Government of the 31st May 1860. In now forwarding Mr. Luxa's second Memorial to the Secretary of State for India, the Government will draw his attention to the statement of the Military Accountant in his letter of the 23rd April last, recommending Mr. Luxa for a Pension, viz., that he can find nothing on the records of his Office impeaching his character.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

"True Copy"

Public Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read the following Proceedings of the Financial Department.

Read Extract from a Financial Dispatch from the Right Honble the Secretary of State for India, to His Excellency the Honble the Governor in Council Fort St. George, dated London, 8th Nov. 1860 N. 71.

Proceedings of the Madras Government dated 21st Dec. 1860 N. 624.

Public letter dated 31st May 1860 N. 52
7. I must decline to comply with the request of Mr. C. Lusa to be allowed to count his services previous to his dismissal from the East India Department in 1833, as qualifying for Pension.

Communicated to the Public Department in reference to the letters in that Department to which they are replies.

(True & true)

Signed J. Pycroft

Order thereon 3rd June 1861 N. 2 Chief Secy

A copy of paragraph 7 of the Home Dep. 8th Nov. last N. 71 will be furnished to Mr. C. Lusa, in reference to the Memorial received with his letter of the 20th April 1860

Copy.

3+

TO

THE RIGHT HON'BLE SIR CHARLES WOOD, BART.,

Secretary of State for India.

The Humble Memorial of EDWARD LUXA,

EXAMINER IN THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Fort St. George.

HUMBLY SHEWETH,

1. That it is with feelings of the greatest respect, and submission, your Memorialist begs leave to submit his humble case, for the kind and favorable consideration of your Excellency in Council.

2. That your Memorialist entered the Office of the Accountant General in the year 1820, as an Examiner, on a Salary of Rupees 100, and that by perseverance and unremitting attention to his duties, he was promoted in the year 1825, as 1st Class Examiner, on a Salary of 122½ Rupees per mensem, which situation he held until the year 1833, when he was removed therefrom under the following circumstances; affecting his best interests, and by which he became a great pecuniary sufferer, from the loss of his Salary,* during a period of 8 years, viz., from the

* For 96 months at 122½ Rupees per month, is Rupees 11,760.
year 1833 to 1841, when your Memorialist was re-admitted into the office, by order of Government.

3. That in the year 1833, one Mr. R. REID, an Indexer in the Accountant General's Office, prepared an anonymous letter, to the address of the Principal Commissary of Ordnance, containing a representation to the effect, that 4 Government Lascars, sent from the Ordnance Department, for employment in the Record Department of the Accountant General's Office, were privately employed as domestic servants, in the House of Armoogum Moodelly the then Head Book-keeper of the latter office, with a view to the said Lascars being recalled to their public duties.—This anonymous letter was intercepted, and taken to the then Accountant General, and as it was found in the possession of one Sawmy Pillay, who was then your Memorialist's Reader, the circumstance gave rise to the supposition, that your Memorialist was implicated with Mr. Reid, in the preparation of the said letter, in consequence of which, the then Accountant General dismissed the said Mr. Reid from the Office, and reduced your Memorialist's Salary, upon suspicion, from Rupees 122½ to Rupees 100.

4. That your Memorialist being innocent of the above charge, immediately submitted an Appeal to the then Accountant General, copy of which is noted in the margin, challenging proof of his participation, either directly or indirectly, in the matter of the said anonymous letter, but, while in hopes that that Gentleman would reconsider, in his favor, the subject of reduction of your Memorialist's Salary;—the Government, about a month after, referred to the then Accountant General for his report,—an Appeal made by the said Mr. Reid, regarding his dismissal, in replying to which, the Accountant General in the impulse of the moment, displeased at the conduct of the said Mr. Reid on account of the said Appeal, recommended, at the same time, to Government, the dismissal of your Memorialist, after reducing his salary at the first instance.

TO THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL,
Fort St. George.
Sir, &c. &c. &c.

With greatest deference I beg leave to state, that I did not at all imagine, that after a service of 13 years in the office, 8 of which were passed under the late Accountant General, and 10 under you, which I conducted very attentively and without giving any cause of complaint, that I would be arraigned innocently in the charge of being concerned in a letter which Mr. Reid has written, which induced you to reduce my Salary, and as this circumstance has made me since very unhappy, while I feel ashamed to shew myself to any of the Clerks in the office, I most respectfully entreat, you will be pleased to investigate the above case properly, if Mr. Reid, Sawmy Pillay, or any other person in the office could evince a proof, that I was in any way concerned in the said letter, you are then at liberty to do what you think proper, as I consider them to be incapable of doing so, and therefore humbly beg you will, as my Benefactor, remove from me this charge which I am utterly ignorant of, and as it is a great misfortune that my pay should be reduced merely upon suspicious motive I solicit, that you will do me the justice to retrieve my character and also remove me from the difficulties which I at present suffer in consequence of this.

I beg to remain,
Sir,

Your most obedient and humble servant,
(Signed) E. LUXA, Examiner.

Madras, 15th May 1833.

General, after having already punished him, by reducing 22½ Rupees from his Salary,—a punishment which was then deemed by the Accountant General himself sufficient, for the

supposed offence, again recommends his dismissal to Government, simply, because the said Mr. Reid appealed respecting his case to that authority, further than to remark, (with all due respect) that it was opposed to every principle of British Justice, to inflict punishment twice, for one offence.

6. That your Memorialist, after his dismissal, represented to Government his lamentable case, and received a favorable Endorsement, as noted in the margin, which was immediately delivered to the then Accountant General.

No. 683 of 1834.
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.
Address from Mr E. LUXA, late an Examiner in the
Accountant General's Office.

Dated { 15th April 1834.
Received {

Endorsement on the above address.

If the Accountant General shall be satisfied from any thing which the Petitioner has to offer, that his conduct on this occasion referred to in this Petition was not reprehensible, he will be at liberty to address again to Government on the subject.

By order,
(Sd.) HENRY CHAMIER,
Chief Secy. to Govt.
Fort St. George, 18th April 1834.

A True Copy.

I do hereby solemnly make oath and swear, that I did not mention to the Accountant General of Fort St. George, that Mr. E. Luxa was the writer of the anonymous letter, respecting which a private investigation had taken place on the 16th March last in the office, and I did not then, nor any time afterwards state, that I either received the said letter for correction directly or indirectly from Mr. E. Luxa, or that he was in any way concerned in the transaction, the fact being, that I received the said letter from one Sawmy Pillay, that I corrected and delivered back to him.

So help me God.
Sworn before me. (Signed) ROBERT REID.
(Signed) F. KELLY, Justice of Peace,
Madras, 15th May 1833.

The original was delivered to the Accountant General.

8. That the circumstances which prevented your Memorialist's re-admission into the office immediately or earlier, may seem to require explanation. The then Accountant General having already recommended to Government your Memorialist's dismissal on account of the said anonymous letter, felt reluctant to address that authority, recommending your Memorialist's re-admission into the office, without some expression of regret from your Memorialist for the alleged fault, which your Memorialist declined to make, for the obvious reasons already explained, viz. that he was innocent. Year after year passed, but your Memorialist was unable to prevail upon the Accountant General to make the required application to Government on his behalf, until the year 1840, when that Gentleman was on the eve of his departure to England, and when further delay in recommending his case to Government, must have inevitably ruined your Memorialist for life, inasmuch as the succeeding Accountant General could not remove the disability under which he laboured, caused by the acts of his Predecessor. Placed in this predicament, and at this late period, your Memorialist was forced to submit the required expression of regret, for a

No. 209.
PUBLIC DEPARTMENT.
Extract from the Minutes of Consultation, under date
12th February 1840.
Read the following letter from { Here enter 3d February 1840.
the Accountant General. {
The Right Hon'ble the Governor in Council is pleased under
circumstance mentioned in the above letter, and at the recommendation of the Accountant General, to declare Mr E. LUXA
who was dismissed from the Accountant General's Office in
May 1833, eligible for employment in the public service.

True Extract,
(Signed) ROBERT CLERK,
Secretary to Government.
To the Accountant General,
Fort St. George.

9. That your Memorialist with every wish to avoid prolixity, is obliged to enter into the foregoing particulars, for the purpose of representing the further hardship to which he would be subjected, if the provisions of the Despatch of the late Hon'ble

7. That your Memorialist being unfairly suspected, was constrained, with a view to justify himself, in the opinion of the Accountant General, to cite the said Mr. Reid before a Justice of the Peace, to declare in his presence, by an affidavit, your Memorialist's innocence in the matter of the said anonymous letter—which affidavit, was submitted to the Accountant General, copy of which is noted in the margin. Subsequently, that officer had very kindly admitted your Memorialist's son into the office on a Salary of Rs. 35, and recommended to Government, your Memorialist's re-admission into the office. This consideration, He humbly submits, would not have been extended to your Memorialist, unless that Gentleman had been conscious, that his suspicions against your Memorialist were groundless.

fault of which he was wholly innocent, —since on no other condition the then Accountant General was disposed to move in the matter. The Accountant General accordingly recommended to Government your Memorialist's re-admission into the office in the year 1840, and he was re-instated in his former situation of Examiner. Copy of the Resolution of the Government passed thereon, is given in the margin.

9. That your Memorialist with every wish to avoid prolixity, is obliged to enter into the foregoing particulars, for the purpose of representing the further hardship to which he would be subjected, if the provisions of the Despatch of the late Hon'ble

dated 15th August 1855 and published 22 years after this occurrence, as the Court of Directors, marginally indicated, were applied to his hard case,—as above explained—your Memorialist was dismissed not for proved misconduct, but merely upon the supposed charge in the matter of the said anonymous letter, of which charge, the attested Affidavit of the said Mr. Reid affirmed your Memorialist was wholly innocent. If, then, his removal from the office had not been the result of proved, but suspected misconduct, under mis-conception of the actual facts of the case, as sufficiently established, by the fact of his subsequent re-admission into the office, he respectfully prays that his case may be regarded as an exception to the description of cases contemplated by the said Despatch. He most humbly submits, that it would be a great hardship to him, if, under the circumstances explained, he were deprived of his first services of 13 years. Your Memorialist, therefore most humbly and respectfully prays, that your Excellency in Council will be graciously pleased to declare his case exempt from the operation of the Despatch in question, not only, on the grounds explained, but in consideration of the heavy pecuniary loss,* which he sustained by having been deprived of his employment for nearly 8 years without any cause on his part, and that he may be permitted in submitting his application for Pension, to reckon such portion of his previous faithful services of 13 years to the State, as may be necessary to entitle your Memorialist to a Pension, in his present advanced age of 60 years, equal to a moiety of his present Salary, agreeably to existing Pension Rules.

ORDER.

Fort St. George Gazette, 27th November 1855, Page 1426.
The following Extract from a Despatch from the Hon'ble the Court of Directors addressed to the Government of India in the Financial Department, No. 75 of 1855, dated the 15th August is published for general information.

Para 5. If an Undovenanted Servant be dismissed for misconduct and be subsequently re-admitted to employment, his first services is not to account for pension. But with this exception, we shall allow twelve months to elapse between two periods of services, without the servant forfeiting his claim to count the first period, as well as the second, towards the retiring pension. The privilege however for so reckoning the time of services can only apply to two periods. If there is any other interval, the services that may follow cannot be counted as continued.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

lency in Council will be graciously pleased to declare his case exempt from the operation of the Despatch in question, not only, on the grounds explained, but in consideration of

* Rupees 11,760 0 0.

the heavy pecuniary loss,* which he sustained by having been deprived of his employment for nearly 8 years without any cause on his part, and that he may be permitted in submitting his application for Pension, to reckon such portion of his previous faithful services of 13 years to the State, as may be necessary to entitle your Memorialist to a Pension, in his present advanced age of 60 years, equal to a moiety of his present Salary, agreeably to existing Pension Rules.

10. That your Memorialist most humbly, but confidently, hopes that your Excellency in Council will be humanely pleased to grant his humble Prayer, and thus enable him to enjoy a Pension suited to his service in the evening of his days—your Memorialist as in duty bound.

Shall ever pray.

Madras
30th April 1860 }

Charya
Examiner in the Court of Directors

TO

THE RIGHT HON'BLE SIR CHARLES WOOD, BART.,

Secretary of State for India.

The Humble Memorial of EDWARD LUXA,

EXAMINER IN THE ACCOUNTANT GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Military Department Fort St. George.

HUMBLY SHEWETH,

1 That with the greatest respect and submission your Memorialist begs leave to state that, he has been furnished with a copy of your Excellency's * decision, declining to comply with his humble prayer, to be allowed to count for pension his zealous and faithful service of 13 years, previous to the year 1833, but having since applied for his Pension, your Memorialist humbly and respectfully begs to submit, once more, his lamentable case, for your Excellency's reconsideration.

2 That your Memorialist's appeal to your Excellency in Council dated 30th April 1860, explains fully the circumstances which led to his unjust removal from Office. It would be unnecessary, therefore, for your Memorialist to travel over the same grounds, in his present address,—but there are one, or two points, to which he would respectfully solicit your Excellency's kind consideration.

3 If your Memorialist had been actually implicated in the matter of the anonymous letter prepared by Mr. Reid against Armoogum Moodelly, from a private pique between them, by uttering it, or by encouraging its preparation, his removal from Office would have been a punishment justly merited, and the forfeiture of service, towards Pension, which followed, would have been a further punishment equally justly merited, but, if he establishes his perfect innocence, as he is prepared to do, then his removal from Office was unjust, and, if unjust, it would only add to the injustice now to bar him from reckoning the 13 years service, for pension.

4 To establish his perfect innocence in the matter of the said anonymous letter, your Memorialist, would respectfully refer to the evidence upon which he was charged, and compare it with the evidence, and probabilities, in support of his innocence, and on what evidence does his participation, with Mr. Reid in the above matter rest; beyond the statement of the then Accountant General, a statement made, *not from his own personal knowledge*, but from what Armoogum Moodelly the Book-keeper had reported to him, and Armoogum Moodelly reported, *not what he himself knew*, but what he supposed to be the fact,—thus there is nothing in the shape of evidence, regarding his implication, in the matter of the anonymous letter.

5 Again, if there had been any evidence of his complicity in the matter of the said anonymous letter, the then Accountant General would have discharged your Memorialist at once, at the same time with Mr. Reid. The lighter punishment of reducing 22½ Rupees from his Pay, was inflicted, because, there was no evidence against him, but, *mere suspicion*. With this reduction, your Memorialist's case should have ended; and he would have continued in Office uninterruptedly. But his unjust removal which took place a month after, was in consequence of the representation made by Mr. Reid to Government, after his dismissal from Office, when the same was referred to the Accountant General for report, who became very much irritated at Mr. Reid's conduct, and under this irritation, with ill advice given him by Armoogum Moodelly the Book-keeper, the Accountant General from the *rage* of the moment recommended your Memorialist's dismissal likewise in his report to Government, otherwise his unjust dismissal would not have occurred. *Neither break in his service.*

6 Your Memorialist begs to turn over to the opposite side of the question, viz., the record of his perfect innocence, Mr. Reid has declared *on Oath* before a Magistrate, that your Memorialist had no share whatever, either directly, or indirectly, in the preparation, or otherwise, of the said anonymous letter, and that he drew it up himself. It was not to be supposed, that a Clerk, who was detected of anonymous writing, and discharged in consequence, would, if he had been instigated thereto by any of his Office comrades, confess his own fault alone, without attempting to justify his act by pleading that he was led thereto by the instigation of others. It should be remembered that Mr. Reid's Declaration was given *on Oath* before a Magistrate, and the obligation of an Oath, and its sanctity, render the declaration unquestionable, in point of validity. Moreover for the satisfaction of the Accountant General and in order to clear his character, your Memorialist challenged inquiry into his conduct, but the opportunity was not afforded him. Your Memorialist submits that his character, like that of any other public servant, must be regarded as innocent, until the contrary is proved. Again, your Memorialist's Son was admitted into the Office on Pay soon after his removal from Office, in order to provide for your Memorialist and his family, and is this circumstance reconcilable with the probability of any real culpability, or fault, on his part, and with his removal from Office, which followed, and to his subsequent restoration, *all this was done because the then Accountant General afterwards felt, that he was misled by the then Book-keeper.*

Besides the pecuniary assistance given.

under

7 Your Memorialist most humbly leaves to your Excellency to Judge, what he has done to be exposed to such cruel hardship, your Memorialist has given no cause worthy of the displeasure of Government. The records of the Accountant General's Office will prove, that there is nothing impeaching his character towards his public service, which he has discharged very zealously and attentively for a period of 33 years, your Memorialist therefore, humbly submits, that it is very distressing and painful in his old age, that he should forfeit the 13 years of hard and well earned service to the state, on account of a party feeling of two Clerks, which is altogether of a private nature. ~~and~~
~~not a public Office.~~

8 In submitting the foregoing explanation, your Memorialist respectfully solicits a reconsideration of his case, which is one of extreme hardship—seeing first, that your Memorialist was unjustly removed, secondly, that by such unjust removal, your Memorialist suffered loss of Pay up to his restoration in Office—to the amount of 11,760 Rupees, and lastly, that the break of service caused by his unjust removal is now to operate to deprive your Memorialist of 13 years of actual, and uninterrupted service, in counting service towards Pension. Your Memorialist most humbly hopes that a reconsideration of his hard case, with reference to the foregoing circumstances, will enlist your Excellency's sympathy, and will be graciously pleased to sanction *at least as a special case* by allowing him to include his service of 13 years, which will be a trifling difference of only Rs. 16—As. 11, to entitle him to a Pension of Rs. 50, equal to a moiety of his Pay,—to enable him to support his family in his infirm age of 63 years. By granting this humble and earnest prayer, your Memorialist and family will ever remember with the greatest gratitude to the latest period of his life,—your Memorialist as in duty bound.

Shall ever pray.

Madras
31st May 1864

Chapa

161
To J. Pierpont Esq. ¹⁶¹
Chief Clerk to Government
4th Floor

Sir,

With the greatest respect, I beg leave
to submit the enclosed Memorial to the
adoption of the Right Honble the Secy of State,
humbly soliciting to be permitted to retire on a
Pension equal to a moiety of my pay, under the
circumstances therein explained.

The period of my past faithful services under
Government is 33 years, having served so long, and
attentively, I was led to hope, that I should be
intitled to retire, in my old age, on a moiety of
my salary; but to be now deprived of my 13 years
faithful services in counting for Pension, I feel
quite distressed to support myself & family
with so small an income, viz. the third of my
salary being \$133 paid last month.

I have given no Cause worthy of the dis-
pleasure of Government, towards my past
faithful services, it is a very lamentable case,
that I should forfeit my well earned services of ^{13 years,}
by

1861
2. 24. 320

Thos. L. L. Esq. 21st June
22. 10 of 1861.

by an unjust removal. (on account of a Party
feeling of two Clerks) which was founded
on mere suspicion caused by the ill advice
of the then Bookkeeper to the then Accountant
Genl, as will be seen in my Appeal, - and that the
break in service was occurred solely by unjust
removal, and not on account of public service ~~or~~ ^{or} ~~or~~

The Gentlemen under whom I was employed
viz Sir J. V. Monhouse, Col. Henderson ^{of} ^{the} ^{2nd} ^{L.}
Lushington Esq and Capt. Roberts Esq, being now
in England, I have no other Protector to support
& recommend my hard Case, I therefore humbly
look to you for protection and justice, and
in kindly forwarding the present Memorial
to the Home Government, you will be so good as
to extend your kind support, in consideration of
my long & faithful services of 33 years, which
I have discharged with all energy to the State, in
view to the sanction of the trifling difference of
N. 16 to my small Pension, to enable me to support
myself & family in my infirm age of 63 years -
for ^{which} act of kindness I shall ever feel grateful.

I beg to remain,

Yours most obedt & humble Servt

Chasr

Late Examiner in the Melby Dept of the East
India office.

Madras

23 June 1813

P.P. 3rd Jan'y/61 No. 20.

Final L^{tr} to Engr.
21st June No. 10
of 1861

W. J. Percott Esq
Chief Clerk to Comm.
Genl. George

Final
Public 1861 Dep't

From
No. 310
Mr Edward Luxa
late in the Account^t
General's Office -

of 5th June 1861.
of 7th 3
Memo: in tri^e
+ 2 Encl.

No. ~~320~~ 320

Submit a Memorial
to the address of the Secy of
State soliciting to be
permitted to retire on a
Pension equal to $\frac{1}{2}$ of
his Pay under the
Circumstances stated.

MP

59.

C. H. Adams
R20

+ 81
Financial Department.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS GOVERNMENT.

Read the following letter
from Mr. Edward Laya
^{Examiner}
Clerk of the Accountant
General's Office -

/ Here enter 5th June 1861. /

Order thereon 21st June 1861. 1-310.

Wd
In his present Memorial
Mr. E. Laya prays for a
reconsideration of his case -
viz: that his service of 13
years, previous to his dis-
missal in 1833, may be
allowed to count for pension
to entitle him to a moiety
Rupees 50- of his official
salary, - or Rupees 10-12-
in excess of ~~to~~ the one third Pension
recently conferred on him
for his subsequent service
of 20 years -
2 The circumstances
under

under which Mr. Laya's
 reemployment in the
 public service was sanctioned
 have already been adverted
 to in the Despatch from
 this Government of the
 31st May 1860. In now
 forwarding Mr. Laya's
 second Memorial to the
 Secretary of State for India,
 the Government will draw
 his attention to the state-
 ment of the Military
 Accountant in his letter
 of the 23rd April last,
 recommending Mr. Laya
 for a Pension, - viz. that
 he can find nothing
 on the records of his
 office impeaching his
 character.

JF
 56-L+O- (Memorial will
 go in collection)

4
D. P. B. to P. J. 21 June 1861
No. 10 of 1861-62
No. 3 of 1861-62

D. P. B. to P. J. 21 June 1861
No. 10 of 1861-62
No. 3 of 1861-62

No. 310.

PROCEEDINGS of the MADRAS
GOVERNMENT.

Dated 21 June 1861.

Resolved that in forwarding
the Survey of the Madras
Government to the
of State, the Govt. will draw
the attention to the fact
that the Madras Govt.
is considering the Survey
of the Madras Govt.