M.R.O. 1—77,006—8-6-37.

(1) Number of pages 8

(2) Date of repair 21-7-39

(3) Initials of the Referencer

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 309-310. Press Mis.

53-55

Dated 19-6- 1861.

5/6

53-55

Not to be folded or roughly handled

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
19th June 1861.

No. 53. Read the following letter from W. WATERFIELD, Esq., Officiating Civil Auditor, to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 11th June 1861, No. 212.

I have the honor to state that I have carried out the instructions of Government conveyed in their Proceedings, No. 273, of the 1st instant, but as, however reasonably; they set aside the letter of the General Leave Rules, I request that they may be reported to the Government of India for information.

No. 54. ORDER THEREON, 19th June 1861, No. 310.

Ordered accordingly that the correspondence referred to be reported to the Government of India, as desired by the Civil Auditor.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

No. 55. To the Secretary to the Government of India, Financial Department, dated 19th June 1861, No. 310.

From Civil Auditor, 2th May 1861, No. 361.
Order thereon, 1st June ,, ,, 273.
From Civil, 11th ,, ,, ,, 212.

I am directed by His Excellency the Governor in Council to forward a copy of the correspondence noted in the margin, which the Civil Auditor has requested may be reported to the Government of India for information.

2. The Civ. Auditor, in inquiring from what date leave of absence should commence in the case of Officers who proceed from stations in Malabar and Canara, but sail for Europe from Bombay, stated that hitherto the practice had been to reckon the leave from the date of embarkation at Bombay; but that this did not appear to him to be quite in accordance with the Rules, as Officers usually travel to Bombay by Sea and must therefore have left one of the ports of their own Presidency previously. Moreover to Mangalore and the Southern stations, he observed, Madras was nearer in point of direct distance than Bombay.

3. In reply this Government informed him that the course which had hitherto been pursued should still continue to be followed.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. F. Cartledge.

General Departt.

Civil Audit Office
Fort Saint George
11th June 1861.

No. 212

From,

W. Waterfield Esqre
Offg Civil Auditor

To,

T. Pycroft. Esqre.
Chief Secretary to Govt

Sir,

I have the honor to state that I have carried out the instructions of Government conveyed in their Proceedings No 273 of the 1st instant. But as, however reasonable they set aside the letter of the General Leave Rules, I request that they may be reported to the Government of India for information.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obed Servt

W. Waterfield
Offg Civil Auditor

Comptr W.F.

Fin'l 1861. Dep't

From,
The Off. Civil Auditor

To
The Chief Secretary
F. Govt.

S.L. No. ~~309~~ 310.

Dated 11th June 1861.
Rec'd 12th

№ 212

No. 318

States that the instructions of Govt. conveyed in their Pro: No. 375 of the 18th Sept were carried out, but as it daily arise the letter of the Govt. Service doubts, requests that they may be reported to the Govt. of India for Supplement.

With an Order

List of 28th June

• Order thereon 19th June 1861. No. 309.

Ordered accordingly, that the correspondence referred to be reported to the Govt. of India, as desired by the Civil Auditor & the following letter be despatched.

To the Secy to the Govt. of India
Fin'l Dep't.

To Civil Auditor despt. 19th

A.C.H.

Civil Council 14th June 61

Financial Department.

No. 310.

To the Secretary to the Government of India.

Sir,

I am directed by His Excellency the Governor in Council to forward a copy of the correspondence noted in the margin, which the Civil Auditor has requested may be reported ~~may be reported~~ to the Govt. of India for information.

2. The Civil Auditor, in inquiring from what date leave of absence should commence in the case of Officers who proceed from stations in Malabar & Canara, but sail for Europe from Bombay, stated that hitherto the practice had been to reckon the leave from the date of embarkation at Bombay; but that this did not appear to him to be quite in accordance with the Rules, as Officers usually travel to Bombay by Sea & must therefore have left one of the Ports of their own Presidency previously. Moreover to Mangalore & the Southern Stations, he observed, Madras was nearer in point of direct distance than Bombay.

3. In reply this Govt. informed him that the course which had hitherto been pursued should still continue to be followed.

I have &c.

Fort St. George,
19 June, 1861.

From Civil Auditor 27 May 1861 No 361.
Order thereon — 1st June — 273.
From — " — 11th — " — 212.

Letter 212 to follow

Financial 1861 Dept

No 310.

To
The Secretary to the
Govt of India

dy 19 June 1861.
+ No 500

Relative to the
date from which leave
of absence should
commence in regard
to Officers proceeding
to Europe from Canada
& Malabar.

53@66