

(1) Number of pages 6

(2) Date of repair 18 3.7.39

(3) Initials of the Referencer CH

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Finance DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. <u>273</u>	Press <u>Mis.</u>
Dated <u>1 6</u>	18 <u>6</u> .

B 12 4-5

4/5

Not to be folded or roughly handled

8

Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
1st June 1861.

No. 3 Read the following letter from W. WATERFIELD, Esq., Officiating Civil Auditor;
to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated
27th May 1861, No. 361.

Fixed Department.

With reference to the last clause of paragraph 2, Section VI of the Civil Service Absentee Rules, I have the honor to inquire from what date leave of absence should commence in the case of Officers who proceed from stations in Malabar and Canara, but sail for Europe from Bombay.

2. Hitherto the practice has been to reckon the leave from the date of embarkation at Bombay; but this does not appear to me to be quite in accordance with the rules, as they usually travel to Bombay by Sea and must therefore have left one of the Ports of their own Presidency previously. Moreover to Mangalore and the Southern Stations, Madras itself is nearer in point of direct distance than Bombay.

I shall be glad of early Orders on the subject, as two cases are now pending in which Officers have not yet received their last Pay Certificates, and have perhaps overdrawn their Indian allowances.

To Mr. Pochin moiety of salary has been passed from date of giving over charge of the Sub Court of Mangalore (19th March inclusive) to 31st March, but his bill for pay at the same rate to 12th April has not been audited, as he has not reported the date of his departure from Bombay. To Lieutenant Law, 2nd Assistant District Engineer, North Canara, however, moiety of salary was passed up to 12th April inclusive, as he furnished a report of his departure on 13th of that month.

Perhaps the same Rule does not apply to both these Officers, as the one is a Civil Servant and the other a Military Officer in Civil Employ, who may possibly reckon his leave differently according to Rules 17 and 18 of those published in the Fort Saint George Gazette, 21st November 1856, page 782.

I do not think that any other instances have occurred during my present incumbency, as Mr. Molle received no pay after vacating his appointment.

No. 4. ORDER THEREON, 1st June 1861, No. 273.

The Government direct that the course which has hitherto been pursued, in respect to the date from which leave of absence should commence in the case of Officers who proceed from Stations in Canara and Malabar, but sail for Europe from Bombay, still continue to be followed.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. T. O'Connor.

Fixed Department.

Civil Audit Office,
Fort Saint George
27th May 1861.

N^o 361.

From,

W. Waterfield, Esq^r.

Officiating Civil Auditor.

To,

J. Pycroft, Esq^r.

Chief Secretary to Government.

Sir,

With reference to the last clause
of para: 2 Sec: VI of the Civil ^{Service} Absentee Rules I have
the honor to enquire from what date leave of
absence should commence in the case of Officers
who proceed from stations in Malabar Kanara,
but sail for Europe from Bombay.

2

Hitherto the practice has
been to reckon the Leave from the date of Embar-
cation at Bombay; but this does not appear
to me to be quite in accordance with the rules—
as they usually travel to Bombay by sea & must
therefore have left one of the Ports of their own
Presidency previously. Moreover to Mangalore
& the Southern stations Madras itself is nearer
in point of direct distance than Bombay.

I shall be glad of early
Orders on the subject, as two cases are now

pending

pending in which officers have not yet received
their last pay Certificates & have perhaps over-
drawn their Indian allowances.

To Mr. Pochin moiety of salary
has been passed from date of giving over charge
of the Sub Court of Mangalore (19th March inclusive)
to 31st March; but his bill for pay at the same
rate to 12th April has not been audited, as he
has not reported the date of his departure
from Bombay. To Lieut. Lane 2^d Assistant
District Engineer North Canara however
moiety of salary was passed up to 12th April
inclusive, as he furnished a report of his
departure on 13th of that month.

Perhaps the same rule does
not apply to both — these officers — as the one is
a Civil Servant and the other a Military
Officer in Civil Employ who may possibly reckon
his leave differently according to rules 17 & 18 of those
published in the Fort Saint George Gazette
21st November 1856. page 782.

I do not think that any other
instances have occurred during my present incumbency,
as Mr. Mollé received no pay after vacating
his appointment.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant.
W. L. F. F. L.
Off Civil Auditor

W. L. F. F. L.

2155

Samuel

Office Memo of June 1861 No 273.

It appears that the first time that the sum which has been

paid, is repaid to the station

will bear of absence should

commence in the case of officers

who present from station in

leave & station, but will

for. In the case of men being

still continue to be followed

with another copy of the

File 1861 Depoits

From

The Office of the Station

To The Secretary of the Post

dated 27th May 1861

No 261

No 288

Further Pro: 19th June 1861 No 310

Consequence from which that leave of absence should commence in the case of officers who proceed from stations in station and areas, but will for leave from Bombay

With an order