

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

DEPARTMENT.

Financial

G.O. No.

403

Press

~~Mis.~~

Dated

16. 8.

1860

*Boo 40-41**4/5*Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
16th August 1860.*

No. 40. Read the following letter from W. BALMAIN, Esq., Acting Civil Auditor, to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 15th August 1860, No. 237.

I have the honor to draw your attention to the difference in the wording of the Notification of the Government of India, No. 1,398 of July 30th, as originally published in the Calcutta Gazette of August 1st, and as republished in the Fort Saint George Gazette of yesterday. The additional sentence "accruing after the 31st of July," may perhaps be meant to exempt the salaries for July from deduction on account of the Income Tax, though by the telegram of July 29th published in the Madras Gazette of August 3rd, it is specially directed that salaries for July are to be cut. This Office has audited all bills for July, deducting Income tax, and I shall feel obliged by your informing me with as little delay as possible as to whether this practice is correct.

No. 41. ORDER THEREON, 16th August 1860, No. 403.

Resolved that the Civil Auditor be informed in reply to his letter of the 15th instant that the Notification to which he refers was published in the Madras Gazette of the 14th instant, according to a copy received direct from Calcutta. Another copy, with a copy of the endorsement on the wrapper which enclosed them, is forwarded for the information of the Civil Auditor.

2. The Government have no instructions from the Government of India relative to the levy of Income Tax on July salaries beyond those contained in the Notification and in the Telegram of the 29th ultimo, published in the Madras Gazette of the 3rd instant.

3. The Government can only suppose that the difference which Mr. Balmain has remarked between the Notification as sent to them, and that published in the Calcutta Gazette, arises from the words "accruing after the 31st July" having been struck out of the Notification after the despatch of the copies sent to Madras. The Notification as actually published in the Calcutta Gazette must however, it seems to Government, be considered as the correct version, and it will accordingly be republished in the next Fort Saint George Gazette.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Financial Dept.

Pro: of the Madras Govt.

Read the following letter from the
Acting Civil Auditor.

N. E. 15 August 1860. No. 237.

Order thereon, 16 August 1860. N. E. 1860.

Resolved that the Civil
Auditor be informed
in reply to his letter of
the 15th inst that the
notification to which
he refers was publish-
ed in the Madras
Gazette of the 14th inst
according to a copy
received direct from
Calcutta - Another
copy, with a copy of
the endorsement on
the wrapper which
enclosed the same, is
forwarded for the
information of the
Civil Auditor.

2. The Govt have no

instructions from the
Govt of India relative
to the levy of Income
Tax on July salaries
beyond Rs 100 continued
in the notification
and in the Telegram
of the 29th ult. published
in the Madras Gazette
of the 3rd inst.

3. The Govt can only
suppose that the
difference which
Mr. Bakaria has
remarked between
the notification as
sent to the Com. & that
published in the
Calcutta Gazette,
arises from the
words "allowing

after the 31st July"
having been struck
out of the notification
after the despatch of
the copies sent to
Madras. The
notification as
actually published
in the Calcutta Gazette
must however, it
seems to me, be
considered as the
correct version -
and it will accordingly
- be republished
in the next Fort St
George Gazette

Civil Auditor
with copy of notification
& endorsement.

Dispt. 16th

JPT

Proceedings of Government

of
Tennessee 1860. G. H. Anderson

No 1103

Proceedings of Government

of
Tennessee 1860. G. H. Anderson