

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	211	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	12. 5.	18 60

B. No. 11-12

4/5

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
for the month of May 1860.*

No. 11. Read the following letter from EDWARD F. HARRISON, Esq., Civil Auditor, to T. PYCROFT, Esq., Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 27th April 1860, No. 394.

With reference to the Order of Government of the 21st instant, No. 150, on the subject of Mr. Pochin's claim to compensation for the loss of his appointment as Additional Sub-Collector of Canara, I have the honor to state that the final sentence of my letter of the 16th instant, was intended to draw attention to the circumstance that Mr. Pochin if awarded compensation for the period, 24th March to 2nd April, will be benefited not to the extent of the full amount awarded, but to the difference only between the rate of compensation allowed and the rate of out-of-employ allowance, to which he is entitled under the ordinary operation of the rules.

Adverting to the 2nd paragraph of the Government Order, I am of opinion that the rate laid down in paragraph 40, Chapter II, Civil Auditor's Manual (founded on an Order of the late Court of Directors in a letter to Government of India, Financial Department, dated 4th June 1845, No. 8,) cannot fairly be held to deprive the Government of the power to compensate an Officer for a portion only instead of for the whole of the period for which he may remain without an appointment.

Deputation allowance cannot be drawn in addition to compensation salary, which however can I think be given for a determinate period should there be sufficient reasons to justify such a proceeding.

When submitting my report of the 16th, I did not consider that I was called upon to do more than to point out the bearing of the rules. I feel however on reconsideration bound to record an opinion that Mr. Pochin has not suffered any loss in consequence of the abolition of his late Office; and that if he has not been subjected to loss, he can have no valid claim to compensation.

No. 12. ORDER THEREON 12th May 1860, No. 211.

Resolved, that Mr. N. Pochin be informed in reply to his letter of the 19th March last, that the Government having consulted the Civil Auditor cannot admit his claim to compensation from the time of his giving over charge of the Honore Court to his assuming charge of the Court of Mangalore, or from the 24th March to the 2nd April 1860.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Financial Department

Proceedings of the Madras Government.

Read again letter from
N. Pochin Esq^r dated 19th
March, and report thereon from
the Civil Auditor dated 16th
April 1860, recorded in Proceedings
of the 21st Ultimo.

Read the following letter
from the Civil Auditor.

(Dated 27th April 1860. N^o 394)

Order thereon 12th May 1860. N^o 211.

Resolved, that Mr.
N. Pochin be informed in
reply to his letter of the 19th March
last, that the Govt^y having
consulted the Civil Auditor
~~are not prepared~~ ^{cannot} to admit
his claim to compensation
from the time of his giving over
charge of the Honore Court to
his assuming charge of the
Court

Court of Mangalore, or from
the 24th March to the 2nd April

1860.

[Signature]

To N. Pochin Esqre & Co. Secy. to the
Order only -

Pro of Govt 20 May
Financial 1870

No 211.

Proceedings of Govt

21 May 1870.

11 x 12