

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No.	634	Press ~~Mis.~~
Dated	16. 11.	1859

B.No. 24-25

4/4

Not to be folded or roughly handled

*Proceedings of the Madras Government, Financial Department,
for the month of November 1859.*

No. 24. Read the following letter from R. P. HARRISON, Esq., Accountant General; to the Chief Secretary to Government, Fort Saint George, dated 28th October 1859, No. 278.

1. In the order of Government, dated the 21st November 1848, this Office was directed to credit the State with the amount of a Hoondee,*
* For Rupees 680, being Sale Proceeds of property of "Ireshoor" Bheet. remitted to Government, in April 1848, by the Assistant Superintendent at Ajmere, for the purpose of being paid to the relatives of one "Ireshoor Bheet," supposed to be a native of Canjeverum in the Chingleput District, and who died at Ajmere in 1848, as no relative of the deceased was then forthcoming, although particular enquiries had been instituted by the Collector of Chingleput, with a view of discovering who his representatives were.

2. In the same order, Government directed this Office to continue to make enquiries in order to trace, if possible, the heir of the deceased "Ireshoor Bheet." Much correspondence subsequently passed between this Office and the Magistrates of Chingleput and Rajahmundry on the subject, in consequence of conflicting claims*
* One Soobbier represented himself to be the brother of the deceased, and one Penumurti Buchamma affirmed that she was the widow. having been set up by two parties in those districts. It is not necessary to trouble the Government with this correspondence, further than to state that Penumurti Buchamma was declared by the Magistrate of Rajahmundry to be the legitimate claimant, as the widow of the deceased, that Officer stating, "the truth of the statements made by her, are so far as the facts can possibly be within their knowledge, fully established by the inhabitants of the village in which she is resident:" and further that she was prepared to furnish two sureties who would bind themselves to repay the amount, in the event of any other more worthy claimant, subsequently coming forward, and establishing his or her right to it.

3. My Predecessor did not consider this decision sufficient to warrant his recommending to Government, the payment of the amount to the claimant widow, and requested the Magistrate to direct her to obtain a certificate from the Civil Court at Rajahmundry, under Act XX of 1841. This certificate* has been produced and was received in this Office in a letter from the Magistrate, dated 5th July last, and is herewith forwarded; but as this Office was aware of the existence of another claimant for the same property at Chingleput, as already stated, a reference was made to the Magistrate of that District, to ascertain whether under the above circumstances, Soobbier was still disposed to prosecute his claim. From the reply of the Collector of Chingleput, dated 18th instant, and the papers therewith received, it appears that Soobbier is dead, and that his son is quite unable to establish his claim, and has resigned it in favor of the widow Penumurti Buchamma.

* Dated 29th June 1859. was aware of the existence of another claimant for the same property at Chingleput, as already stated, a reference was made to the Magistrate of that District, to ascertain whether under the above circumstances, Soobbier was still disposed to prosecute his claim. From the reply of the Collector of Chingleput, dated 18th instant, and the papers therewith received, it appears that Soobbier is dead, and that his son is quite unable to establish his claim, and has resigned it in favor of the widow Penumurti Buchamma.

4. In requesting the authority of Government for payment of the amount, viz., Rupees (680) six hundred and eighty, through the Magistrate of Rajahmundry, to the widow above mentioned, I beg to notice a discrepancy between the name of the deceased as entered in the certificate of the Civil Court, and in the order of Government above referred to; but the Magistrate of Rajahmundry, in his letter, (herewith sent*) to the Acting Magistrate of Chingleput, dated so far back as the 21st July 1853, has afforded a sufficient explanation on this point.
* To be returned.

No. 25. ORDER THEREON, 16th November 1859, No. 634.

Under the circumstances submitted in the foregoing letter, and on the certificate produced by the claimant widow, the Government grant authority for the payment, through the Magistrate of Rajahmundry, of the sum of Rupees (680) six hundred and eighty, to Penumurti Buchamma.

(Signed) T. PYCROFT,
Chief Secretary.

Exd. W. J. Boyton.

7.30 May/48 W 112
 "26 Sept/48 - 112
 "25 Nov/48 - 617
 Indt. 23 May/54 9/12/3
 "20 June - 22/3

Financial 1859 Departs
 From Asst Govt to Nov.

The Asst. Genl.
 To the Chief Secretary.
 to Govt. in mch.

Dated 28
 Nov 1-11-59
 Calcutta 1859
 No 278. Encl 2.
No 535

Requesting the Authority
 of Government for payment
 of the amount Rs 680-0-0
 through the Magistrate of
 Rajahmundry to the
 widow Penoomerty
 Boochannah

With an Order

24725

C3. Nam.
 R15.

Order thereon - 16 November 59. No 634.

Under the circumstances
submitted in the foregoing letter and
on the certificate produced by the
claimant Widow, the Govt grant
authority for the payment, through
the Magistrate of Rajahmundry, of the
sum of Rupees (680) Six hundred eighty
to ^{annuities} ~~Pennemury~~ Brochemmah.

To the Accts General.
who fill the Certificate }
Civil Auditor. } 19th