

MADRAS RECORD OFFICE.

Government of Madras.

Financial DEPARTMENT.

G.O. No. 186

Press
Mis.

Dated 27. 3. 1957

B. no 9-10

Not to be folded or roughly handled

No 9 South Circular Road, London, W.
Rising Sun, 1857. No 825.

To.

The Chief Secretary to the
Govt of Madras.

Dated Madras 25th March 1857.

Sir,

In your letter of the 10th
January last 1857 you say "the
"Government can perceive no reason why
"the salaries of the Judges of the
"Madras should be lower than those of
"the corresponding Functionaries in Bengal.
"The powers, labors, and responsibilities
"which attach individually to the Judges
"at the two Presidencies are equal. They
"must also consider that the remuneration
"of the very important Office of
"Registrar has been fixed at too low a
"sum," and you forward to me copy of
a minute recorded by the Right Honorable
the Governor "in which the other Members
of the Government entirely concur". In
that Minute I find the following passage
"With respect to the changes proposed in
"the salaries of the Judges of the Madras
"Court and of their Registrar, I have
"no objection to make, except that it
"appears to me that the distinction
between

between the Super of the English Department."
and the other is likely to cause some
difficulty if the High Court is formed"
and is left as is proposed for all matters
of detail under the direction of the
"Chief Justice".

The Right Honorable the
Governor in his minute, in which the
other members of the Government concur,
approves of the scale of salary I proposed
for the Super and the Registrar. Your
letter says the Government disapproves
the salaries and considers them too
low. I beg to be instructed whether
I am to consider my proposals approved
or disapproved by his Lordship in
Council.

I have the honor to be
Sir,

Your most Obedt. Servant.

Henry Keble

Council for the Rev. of Civil Salaries and
Exacts throughout India.

W. A.

31
 52
 31 March / 37 May 1857
 Mr. Ricketts draws attention to
 the discrepancy - which exists between
 the opinions given in the letter of the
 High Secretary which I in my humble
 report on the balance of the Indian
 paper - whilst at the same time
 it is stated in the Chaplain's letter
 that the ~~same~~ balance of Govt.
 Intuitively coincide with my views.
 There has been an oversight
 committed on this point which

713 January / 37 May 1857

High Secretary
 Government of India
 1857

From the Commission
 for the revision of Civil
 Courts Bill
 1857

Drafted 20th
 Dec 1857

1857

9

Enquiries for the reasons for
 which, whether it is to consider
 the state of affairs proposed by
 him for the Civil Courts Bill
 as approved or disapproved of
 by the Govt.

274 March
 1857
 1857
 1857

0227"
H. H.

is explained. which with at the same time
gives the ultimate basis of fact.

My mistake was twofold, at Bangalore.

When I was in my return to Madras, I found
that there was a difference of opinion re-
specting the salaries of the Sudder Judges
& it was strongly urged, that there was
no good reason - why the salaries of the
Judges should be less than those in England
that if there is more work at Calcutta -
there are more judges. I suppose it -
that the salaries of judges at Madras are

as great - whilst the officers at Madras do
not attain to the higher Court

so early as they do at Calcutta - that
the Sudder Court offers the only prizes
to the judicial officer - that more than
even the means of obtaining the services
of the best & most experienced judges.
that it is certainly from false
economy to diminish logarithmically as
was proposed by Mr. Ker - to diminish
the value of the seats on the Sudder bench.

These arguments appeared to me

Financial Report ⁵

No 186

Sir,

I am L L to ask now.

- Judge K. receipt of

your letter of K-20

inst. pointing out

a discrepancy

between the Nation

from a my letter of

The 10th Jan^y East on

your proposed scale -

for K-Salaries of

to Madras for India

und Existenz - auch

that expressed in

$$K\text{-} \text{linear} \text{ of } K\text{-} R_k$$

H. Bennett Rec.

- mit der Brunnthal

in which R-Other

meanings of just had
entirely concurred -
and inquiring wh.
- the you are to consi.
- the you proposals
to have been appor.
- and a disapproved
by his lordship in
Council -

In reply I am
instructed to state
that his lordship's
recant was written
during his late
tour in the Province -
On his return to
Madras the doubt
was considered
in Council & the
majority was in favour of

the original way &
that conveyed in
my letter viz that
the salaries of the
Judge Judges should
not be lower than
those of the lower.
- providing Func.
tionaries at in Ben.
- gal and that
the remuneration
assigned ^{by you} to the
very important
office of deposition
had been fixed
at too low a rate.
In ^{providing} expressing the
consensus of the
members of the
in his lordship's

+ and expressing the
conviction of
the members of
the

Dr. St George,
27 March 1857

+
himself that passage
of it which related
to the party of the South
Sunder & his to an
was one to be

JP

opinion
con

26 March
27 "

Sept. 31st Hand.
Financial 1857 Sept 31

No 106

To
The Comptroller for the
Division of Civil Service
Wettable through out
Auditor
2497 March 1857.

9110

L. H.