[illegible] ✓

FOR RE

M.R.O. 1—5,006—16-10-50.

(1) Number of pages 32

(2) Date of repair 10-3.1964

(3) Initials of the Referencer 16/3/64.

# MADRAS RECORD OFFICE

---

## Government of Madras

Local & Municipal DEPARTMENT

(Local)

~~G.O. No.~~
B.P. No. 1519 Press ~~Mis.~~

Dated 1. 7. 1892 ~~195~~.

**Not to be folded or roughly handled**

## GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.

## LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

Read—the following paper :—

From G. STOKES, Esq., President, District Board, Salem, to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Salem, 14th June 1892, No. 1213-L.F.

In forwarding an extract from the Proceedings of the District Board dated the 18th December 1891, I have the honour to state that the District Board has resolved to constitute Unions in the villages of (1) Taramangalam, in the Salem taluk, (2) Yethapur, (3) Pethunaickampalaiyam, (4) Thedavur, (5) Gengavalli, (6) Chendarapatti, (7) Thammampatti, (8) Viraganur, in the Atúr taluk, and (9) Yedappadi, in the Tiruchengód taluk. These villages are compact and important and should be constituted Unions.

2. It is proposed that house-tax be levied in all the Unions at half the rates laid down in Schedule A annexed to the Local Boards Act.

3. Three maps showing the position of the villages and a statement showing the particulars required in official memorandum, No. 347, dated 4th June 1890, are submitted, as also the usual draft notification.

4. The delay in the submission of the proposal is due to the late receipt of the maps from the Presidents of Taluk Boards of Salem and Námakal.

Order—dated 1st July 1892, No. 1519 L., Local and Municipal.

The District Board's proposals are approved, and the following notification will be published in the Fort St. George and the Salem District Gazettes :—

### NOTIFICATION.

In exercise of the powers conferred by sections 6 and 122 of the Madras Local Boards Act (V of 1884), the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to declare that the villages in the Government taluks of Salem, Atúr and Tiruchengód, in the Salem district, specified in the annexed schedule, shall be Unions for the purposes of the said Act, and to direct that the provisions of the said Act regarding Panchayats shall come into force in the said Unions from the date of this notification and that the maximum number of members to be appointed for the Panchayats of the said Unions shall, for the time being, be as shown in the aforesaid schedule :—

Schedule.

| Government Taluks. | Local Fund Unions. | Government Villages comprised in the Unions. | Maximum number of members. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Salem | (1) Taramangalam | Taramangalam, Arurpatti and Kurukkupatti. | 7 |
| Atúr | (1) Yethapur | Yethapur | 7 |
| | (2) Pethunaickampalaiyam | Pethunaickampalaiyam, Thirumalaisamudram, Chinnamasamudram and Yerramasamudram. | 9 |
| | (3) Thedavur | Thedavur | 7 |
| | (4) Gengavalli | Gengavalli and Anaiyambatti | 7 |
| | (5) Chendarapatti | Chendarapatti and Kondiyampatti | 7 |
| | (6) Thammampatti | Thammampatti and Koncripatti | 7 |
| | (7) Viraganur | Viraganur and Laddivadi | 7 |
| Tiruchengód | (1) Edapadi | Edapadi, Chinnamanali and Araniperurmelmugam. | 8 |

2. The imposition of the house-tax from 1892–93 should be notified in the District Gazette and proclaimed in the villages concerned, in the manner required by section 60 of the Local Boards Act.

(True Extract.)

J. F. PRICE,
*Chief Secretary.*

To the President, District Board, Salem.
„ Collector of Salem.
„ Accountant-General.
„ Director of Public Instruction.
„ Surgeon-General.
„ Sanitary Commissioner.
„ Sanitary Engineer.
„ Superintendent, Government Press.
Editors' Table.
Gazette.

Govt. of {1892} Madras.
**LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL.**

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 1st July 1892, No. 1519 L.

JULY.

## Unions.

Approving the proposals of the District Board, Salem, to constitute certain villages in the district into — and to levy house-tax therein from 1892-93 at half the maximum rates.

---

*Extract from the Proceedings of the District Board, Salem, passed at its meeting held on the* [illegible]th June *1891*

PRESENT.

G. Stokes Esq., President.

Official members

M.R.Ry. M. Sur[illegible]amurthi Pillai avl, Rao Bahadur, Treasury Deputy Collector and Vice President.

„ D. Krishnasawmi Iyer avl, Rao Sahib Assistant Inspector of Schools, 7th Division

A. N. Rogers Harrison, Surgeon-Major Dt. Medical & Sanitary officer, Salem.

Non official members

M.R.Ry. Shanmugavelayuda Mudaliar, avl Rao Sahib

„ V. Subbramania Chettiar avl Rao Sahib

„ Chinnumma alias Venkatramana Iyer avl Rao Sahib

„ T. Seshach[illegible]iam Iyer avl Rao Sahib

„ S. Krishnasawmi Mudaliar — „ — „ —

„ V R Venkatramana Iyer — „ — „ —

„ C Venkatachariar — „ — „ —

„ Ramasawmi Naicker — „ — „ —

D. B. O. No. 8.
1-5-91—250.

M R Ry T. Subbrama [illegible] avl BA BL Rao Sahib.

Revd A. A. Dignum.

Jamaluddin Sahib Khan Sahib

Nabee Sahib ———— „ ————

Muhammed Kassim Sahib —— „ ————

Muhammed Saliah Khan Sahib —— „ ————

Datai Khan Sahib ———— „ ————

× × ×

7. Read letter from the President Taluk Board Namakal, submitting proposals for constituting Unions at × × × (8) Yedappadi

Resolution:— × × ×

As to Yedappadi the Board desires to receive information as to the number of houses in each of the hamlets shewn in the Statement dated 7th June 1891. The rate of house tax should be levied at half the maximum to start with

× × ×

(Signed) G. Stokes.
President

(True Extract)

for President

---

Extract from the Proceedings of the District Board, Salem, passed at its meeting held on the 18th December 1891.

PRESENT.

G. Stokes Esq. President.

Official Members

R. D. Broadfoot Esq. Ag Sub-Collector, Salem

D. Levy Esq. Head Assistant Collector, Salem.

A. N. Rogers Harrison, Surgeon Major, District Medical & Sanitary Officer, Salem

M R Ry T. V. Narasimhaswami Aiyar avl, Rao Sahib, Personal Asst. Dy Collector, Salem.

„ V. Parthasarathi Aiyangar avl Rao Sahib General Charge Dy Collector, Namakal

Non Official Members

M R Ry D. Seshagiri Aiyar avl

„ S. Krishnaswami Mudaliar avl Rao Sahib

„ V. R. Venkataramana Iyer —— „ ——

„ C. Venkitachariar —— „ ——

„ T. Subbramania Iyer avl, BA BL —— „ ——

„ Ramasawmi Naicker —— „ ——

„ Govinda Pandar —— „ ——

„ W. Subbramania Chettiar —— „ ——

„ Tharuchetti Rama Chettiar —— „ ——

„ D. Venkataramana Aiy —— „ ——

D. B. O. No. 8
1-5-91—250.

M.R.Ry. T. Seshachellam aiyar avl. Rao Sahib

C. Chinnimirtaliar Venkataramana aiyar avl Rao Sahib

Nabee Sahib, Khan Sahib

Muhammed Saliah Khan Sahib, Khan Sahib

Jamaluddin Sahib, Khan Sahib

1 Read proposal of the Taluk Board Salem for constituting Unions at the undermentioned places:—

Salem Taluk

(1) Táramangalam.

Atur Taluk

(2) Pethunaickampalaiyam.

(3) Thedávúr

(4) Gangavalli

(5) Chendárapatti

(6) Víraganúr

Resolution:— The Board considers that the Yethapúr Village should be constituted a separate Union, the other villages being grouped with Pethunaickampalaiyam as proposed. Also that the proposed Chendárapatti Union should be divided into Chendárapatti with Kondiyampatti, and Thammampatti and Konéripatti. With these modifications these Unions and the other Union proposed are sanctioned. House-tax will be levied at half the Schedule rate from the next official year.

2. Read letter from the President Taluk Board, Namakal, submitting the statement called for by the District Board shewing the particulars in regard to the proposed Union of Edappádi comprising the Revenue Villages of Edappádi, Chinnamanali and Avani-Pérúr-Mélmugam

Resolution:— Sanctioned. House-tax to be levied at half the Schedule rates with effect from the next official year (1892-93)

× × ×

(Signed) G. Stokes,
President

(True Extract)

for President

11-6-92

No. 1213
~~Genl~~
L.R.

Salem District Board's Office,
14th June 1892.

From
Stokes
G. ~~MacWATTERS~~, Esq.,
President, District Board, Salem.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
Madras.

* Sent per packet post.

Sir,

In forwarding an extract* from the Proceedings of the District Board dated the 18th December 1891, I have the honor to state that the District Board has resolved to constitute Unions in the Villages of (1) Táramangalam in the Salem Taluk, (2) Yéthapúr, (3) Pethunáickampálaiyam, (4) Thedávúr (5) Gangavalli (6) Chendárapatti (7) Thammampatti (8) Víraganúr in the Atur Taluk and (9) Yedappádi in the Trichengód Taluk. These villages are compact and important and should be constituted Unions.

2. It is proposed that house-tax be levied in all the Unions at half the rates laid down in Schedule A annexed

No. 1213 / Govt / L.F.

(6) 18

Salem District Board's Office,

14th June 1892.

From

Stokes
G. ~~MacWATTERS~~, Esq.,
*President, District Board, Salem.*

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Local and Municipal Department,
*Madras.*

* Sent per packet post.

Sir,

In forwarding an extract* from the Proceedings of the District Board dated the 18th December 1891, I have the honor to state that the District Board has resolved to constitute Unions in the Villages of (1) Táramangalam in the Salem Taluk, (2) Yéthapúr, (3) Pettunáickampálaiyam, (4) Thedávúr (5) Gangavalli (6) Chendárapatti (7) Thammampatti (8) Víraganúr in the Atúr Taluk and (9) Yedappádi in the Trichengód Taluk. These villages are compact and important and should be constituted Unions.

2. It is proposed that house-tax be levied in all the Unions at half the rates laid down in Schedule A annexed

to the Local Boards Act.

3. Three maps shewing the position of the Villages and a statement shewing the particulars required in official memorandum No 347 dated 4th June 1890, are submitted as also the usual draft notification.

4. The delay in the submission of the proposal is due to the late receipt of the maps from the Presidents of Taluk Boards of Salem and Namakal.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant

for President

11-6-92

13/6/92

**Local and Municipal Department**

1892.

1913 L

From

THE PRESIDENT,
DISTRICT BOARD,
SALEM.

To

THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT,
Madras.

Dated 14th June 1892.

No. Ref on 1213/L.D.

ENCLOSURES. 7 (Sent per packet post)

14 JUN 92

SUBJECT.

Submitting for Sanction the Dt Bd's proposals for Constituting ~~Unions in~~ certain villages in ~~of~~ the Salem, Atur and Námakal Taluks, ~~in the Salem District~~ into Unions and for levying house tax therein at half the maximum rates

(With order)

16-7-90=100.

1519 L

order 1-7-92 1160 28 June

NM/PL

The District Board's proposals are approved and the following notification will be published in the Fort St George and the Salem District Gazettes:—

(H.E. draft notification as amended by Government)

2 The imposition of the house tax from 1892-93 should be notified in the District Gazette and proclaimed in the villages concerned, in the manner required by Section 60 of the Local Boards Act.

24

25 June

I.R. 21/6/92

HG

Attention is invited to the abominable scrawls called maps sent up. Two of them are unfit for the production of L.D. ~~Repairers~~ overseers. They would be a disgrace to an ordinary school boy

25 June

To

The Presdt. Dt Bd. Salem
" Collector of Salem
" Accountant General
" Director of Pub Instn
" Surgeon General
" Sanitary Commr
" Sanitary Engineer
" Superintendent Govt Press
" Editor's Table

Gazetted 12/7/92

9/7

Desp 9/7

---

# Notification

In exercise of the powers conferred by Sections 6 & 122 of the Madras Local Boards Act (V of 1884) the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to declare that the villages in the Government Taluks of Salem, Atur and Tiruchengód, in the Salem District, specified in the annexed Schedule, shall be Unions for the purposes of the said Act, and to direct that the provisions of the said Act regarding Panchayats shall come into force in the said Unions from the date of this notification, and that the maximum number of members to be appointed for each of the Panchayats of the said Unions shall, for the time being, be as shown in the aforesaid schedule.

~~2. In exercise of the powers conferred by section 122 of the aforesaid Act, the Right Honorable the Governor in Council is pleased to declare that the maximum number of members to be appointed for each Panchayat of the said Unions shall, for the time being, be as shown in aforesaid schedule~~

Schedule

# Schedule.

| Government Táluks. | Local Fund Unions. | Government villages comprised in the Unions. | Maximum number of members. |
|---|---|---|---|
| Salem. | (1) Táramangalam | Táramangalam, Arúrpatti and Kurukkupatti | 7. |
| Atúr. | (1) Yéthápúr | Yéthapúr | 7. |
| | (2) Pethunáickampálaiyam | Pethunáickampálaiyam, Thirumalaisamudram, Chinnamasamudram & Yerramasamudram | 9. |
| | (3) Thedávúr | Thedavúr | 7. |
| | (4) Gengavalli | Gengavalli and Ánaiyámbatti | 7. |
| | (5) Chendárapatti | Chendárapatti and Kondiyámpatti | 7. |
| | (6) Thammampatti | Thammampatti and Kónéripatti | 7. |
| | (7) Víraganúr | Víraganúr and Laddivádi | 7 |
| Tiruchengód | (1) Edapáde | Edapádi, Chinna-Manali and Aranipérúrmélmugam. | 8 |

For President.

B.B.
14/6/92

Statement shewing the particulars regarding the proposed Unions in the Revenue Taluks of Salem, Atur and Tiruchengód under the Salem and Namakal Taluk Boards.

| Names of Unions proposed | Names of Revenue Villages proposed to be included | Names of all hamlets attached to the Revenue Villages | Distance from the Union Centre | Population of every Village | Expected realizations from house-tax at half the maximum rates | Status of each Village that is to say whether the Village possesses a distinct Revenue entity. | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Salem Taluk | | | | | | | |
| 1 Táramangalam | 1 Táramangalam | Táramangalam | „ | 2,500 | 320 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Chandikesavarampálayam | „ | 1,200 | | | |
| | | Kangánipatti — | ½ mile | 60 | | | |
| | | Méttupatti — | 1 mile | 50 | | | |
| | | Kólikáttanúr | 1 mile | 100 | | | |
| | | Sengalmédu | 1 mile | 50 | | | |
| | | Vellálatheruvu — | ¼ mile | 100 | | | |
| | | Pottiyampatti — | 1 mile | 70 | | | |
| | | Periyampatti — | 1 mile | 150 | | | |
| | | Pudúr — | ¼ mile | 20 | | | |
| | | Pavalathanúr | 1 mile | 630 | | | |
| | 2 Arúrpatti — | Arúrpatti — | 1 1/4 mile | 500 | 70 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Jédappatti — | 1/4 mile | 950 | | | |
| | | Kólikattanúr | — do — | 40 | | | |
| | | Sevanthanúr | — do — | 39 | | | |
| | | Nágireddiyúr | — do — | 40 | | | |
| | | Vellakkalpatti — | — do — | 100 | | | |
| | | Méttumaranúr | — do — | 50 | | | |
| | | Palakáranúr | — do — | 100 | | | |
| | | Pillaikasichiyúr | — do — | 80 | | | |
| | | Kanakapatti — | ½ mile | 140 | | | |
| | 3 Kurukkupatti — | Kurukkupatti — | ½ mile | 120 | 10 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | | | 7,089 | 400 | | |

| Names of Unions proposed | Names of Revenue Villages proposed to be included | Names of all hamlets attached to the Revenue Villages | Distance from the Union Centre | Population of every Village | Expected realization from house tax at half the maximum rates | Status of each Village that is to say whether the Village possesses a distinct Revenue Entity | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Atur Taluk | | | | | | | |
| 2 Géthapúr | 1 Géthapúr | Géthapúr | " | 3005 | 140 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| 3 Pethunaickam-pálaiyam | 1 Pethunaickam-pálaiyam | Pethunaickampalaiyam | " | 2490 | | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Pudukálichettiyúr | 1 furlong | 707 | 230 | | |
| | | Palakálichettiyúr | do | 1233 | | | |
| | 2 Thirumalaisamudram | Thirumalaisamudram | do | 1233 | 60 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | 3 Chinnamasamudram | Chinnamasamudram | do | 999 | 30 | Do | |
| | 4 Gettamasamudram | Gettamasamudram | do | 174 | 10 | Do | |
| | | | | 6829 | 330 | | |
| 4 Thedávúr | 1 Thedávúr | Thedávúr | " | 2290 | | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Pudúr | 2 furlongs | 100 | 100 | | |
| | | Oddatheruvu | 1 furlong | 600 | | | |
| | | | | 2990 | 100 | | |
| 5 Gengavalli | 1 Gengavalli | Gengavalli | " | 2792 | 130 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | 2 Anaiyampatti | Anaiyampatti | 2 furlongs | 1690 | | | |
| | | Pudúr | 2 miles | 400 | 70 | Do | |
| | | | | 4882 | 200 | | |
| 6 Chendárapatti | 1 Chendárapatti | Chendárapatti | " | 4065 | 200 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | 2 Kondiyampatti | Kondiyampatti | 1 furlong | 1340 | 50 | Do | |
| | | | | 5405 | 250 | | |
| 7 Thammampatti | 1 Thammampatti | Thammampatti | " | 3431 | 120 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | 2 Kónérípatti | Kónérípatti | ½ mile | 654 | 30 | Do | |
| | | | | 4,085 | 150 | | |

| Names of Unions proposed | Names of Revenue Villages proposed to be included | Names of all hamlets attached to the Revenue Villages | Distance from the Union Centre | Population of every Village | Expected realizations from house taxat half the maximum rates | Status of each Village that is to say whether the Village possesses a distinct Revenue Entity | Remarks |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Atūr Tāluk | | | | | | | |
| 2 Géthapūr | 1 Géthapūr | Géthapūr | „ | 3005 | 140 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| 3 Pethunaickam-pālaiyam | 1 Pethunaickam-pālaiyam | Pethunaickampālaiyam | „ | 2490 | 230 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Pudukālichettiyūr | 1 furlong | 702 | | | |
| | | Palakālichettiyār | do | 1232 | | | |
| | 2 Thirumalaisamudram | Thirumalaisamudram | do | 1233 | 60 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | 3 Chinnamasamudram | Chinnamasamudram | do | 999 | 30 | Do | |
| | 4 Yettamasamudram | Yettamasamudram | do | 174 | 10 | Do | |
| | | | | 6829 | 330 | | |
| 4 Thedāvūr | 1 Thedāvūr | Thedāvūr | „ | 2290 | 100 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Pudūr | 2 furlongs | 100 | | | |
| | | Oddathorurai | 1 furlong | 600 | | | |
| | | | | 2990 | 100 | | |
| 5 Gengavatti | 1 Gengavatti | Gengavatti | „ | 2792 | 130 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | 2 Anayampatti | Anayampatti | 2 furlongs | 1690 | 70 | Do | |
| | | Pudūr | 2 miles | 400 | | | |
| | | | | 4882 | 200 | | |
| 6 Chendārapatti | 1 Chendārapatti | Chendārapatti | „ | 4065 | 200 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | 2 Kondiyampatti | Kondiyampatti | 1 furlong | 1340 | 50 | Do | |
| | | | | 5,405 | 250 | | |
| 7 Thammampatti | 1 Thammampatti | Thammampatti | „ | 3431 | 120 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | 2 Kōnéripatti | Kōnéripatti | ½ mile | 654 | 30 | Do | |
| | | | | 4,085 | 150 | | |

| Names of Unions proposed | Names of Revenue Villages proposed to be included | Names of all hamlets attached to the Revenue Villages | Distance from the Union Centre | Population of every Village | Expected realization from house tax at half the maxi-mum rates. | Status of each Village that is to say whether the village possesses a distinct Revenue entity | Remarks. |
|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|
| Âtur Taluk (Contd) | | | | | | | |
| 8 Viraganúr | 1 Viraganúr | Viraganúr | " | 2931 | 100 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Rayarpálaiyam | 1 mile | 180 | | | |
| | | Rámanáthapuram | 1 mile | 150 | | | |
| | 2 Lathivádi | Lathivádi | 2½ miles | 331 | 20 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Méttupálaiyam | ¼ mile | 100 | | | |
| | | | | 4192 | 120 | | |
| Tiruchengód Taluk | | | | | | | |
| 9 Edappádi | 1 Edappádi | Edappádi | " | 3942 | Rs 4 50 | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Alichampálaiyam | 1 mile | 810 | | | |
| | | Káttúr | 1 mile | 64 | | | |
| | 2 Chinnamanali | Chinnamanali | " | 2199 | | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Kavandampatti | ½ mile | 790 | | | |
| | | Vellandivalasai | 1 mile | 938 | | | |
| | | Náchiyúr | 1½ miles | 98 | | | |
| | | Virappampálaiyam | 2 miles | 162 | | | |
| | | Vellálapatti | 2½ miles | 82 | | | |
| | 3 Ávaniperúr Mél-mugam | Avaniperúrmélmugam | " | 1532 | | Has a separate Village Munsiff | |
| | | Ávaniperúr | 1 mile | 315 | | | |
| | | Kóttai | 1½ miles | 66 | | | |
| | | Achampatti | 2 miles | 321 | | | |
| | | Kundiampatti | 3 miles | 206 | | | |
| | | Akbinaickampatti | 2½ miles | 228 | | | |
| | | Kurumbapatti | 1½ miles | 215 | | | |
| | | Kalasvalipatti or, Pudúr | ½ miles | 608 | | | |
| | | | | 12576 | | | |

for President

11. 6. 92.

X 15/6/92

Enclosure P Salem

Dt Board President's

letter No Ry $\frac{1213}{L.B.}$ dated 14th June

1892

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
15 JUN 92
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Eudonne

to

Alex L. J. [illegible]

No 48

28/12/92

427

[1] 4 Copies retained

E. J.

30-5-17

4.6.36 BIII

PRESS.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

G.O., DATED 1-7-92

No. 1519 L

First copy sent to Press. 1/7

Returned for signature. 8/7

Despatched in print. 9/7

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

Unions

Approving the prop
of the Dt Board Sale
to Constitute certain
in the Dt into — a
to levy house tax
from 1892-93 at hal
maximum rates.

9