Educational (189 9) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 466

Dated 15-7-99

INDEXED.

39-40

[Despatch Abstract.]

Considering that Mr R Ry. A. S. Doraiswami Pillai, Head taxidermist of the Govt Museum, must be dismissed from the service.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Educational (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 991

From
E. Edgar Thurston Esq.
Superintendent
Government Museum

No. 407

Dated 30th June 1899.
Received } 1-7-99
Registered }
Enclosures Appendices A to K.
Spare copies

Order nil. No. 466 dated 15/7/99

The Govt. considers that M. R. Ry. A. S. Duraisawmy Pillai, Head Taxidermist of the Govt. museum, must be dismissed from the service.

2. Under rule 45 of the Rules for the Personal Conduct of Govt. servants the Supt. of the Govt. museum should have submitted a copy of the Court's decision & of the insolvent's schedule & petition together with a full report of the case as soon as it was adjudicated upon.

(From order)

ag
15/7/99.

To the Supt. Govt. museum
— A. G.

bdb
15.7.99

SUBJECT.

Bringing to the notice of Government certain facts in connection with the insolvency of the Head Taxidermist, A. S. Duraisawmy Pillay and suggesting either that he should be permitted to remain on the Museum Staff on certain conditions or some proportionate pension may be awarded to him by Govt: in consideration of his 2 years good service.

EP 30/6

T. 247

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Appendix A.

List of my debts with the names of the creditors.

Date	Names of creditors	Rs	as	Remarks.
24th July/95	Mohan Lall Sowcar	120	..	Principal and interest on loan of rupees 70 borrowed on pledge of Jewels worth about Rs: 100.
8th June/95	Do	50	2	
22nd Aug/94	Do	75	13	Amount of the decree obtained by this creditor against me on 20th Sep/97 in the Madras Court of Small Causes in Suit No: 15887 of 1897, and half of my pay has been attached from February 1898 for this amount.
15th Jany/94	Do.	60	.	Probable amount of the decree with further interest up to date obtained by this creditor on the 30th July/97 in the Madras Court of Small Causes in Suit No: 10529 of 189 against me.

24" July/95	Mohan Lall Sowcar	24	6	
12" Mar/96	Do.	35	"	
9" Oct/96	Do	20	"	
22" Oct/96	Do	248	"	Amount of principal and interest due on a promissory note for Rs: 200 at 24 per cent per annum.
1896	Do	30	"	
5" Mar/97	Do	221	4	Principal and interest on a promissory note for Rs: 180 at 24 per cent per annum. This was executed in renewal of a promissory note which was about to be barred.
7" Oct/97	Do.	94	.	Balance due on a promissory note for 100 Rs at 24 per cent per annum This was executed in renewal of a promissory note which was about to be barred.
5" Mar/97	Do	53	12	Principal and interest on a promissory note for Rs: 40 at 6 pies per rupee per mensem.
15" June/97	Do.	195	8	Balance due on a promissory note for Rs: 225 at 24 per cent per annum in renewal of a promissory note which was about to be barred.
Decr/97	E. Vencatasawmy Chetty	255	"	Principal and interest due on a promissory note for Rs: 250 at 24 per cent per annum This was executed in renewal of 3 promissory notes and Rs: 30 was paid to me in cash over and above the amount of the said 3 promissory notes.
Decr/97	Baboogee Davey	480	.	Principal and interest due on a promissory note for Rs: 500 at 24 per cent payable in 25 months. Rs: 220 was due on a promissory note executed by me in 1895, Rs: 100 was received and this pronote was executed in renewal.
8" Novr/97	Swarna joshi	480	"	
9" Novr/97	Do	500	.	This and the previous note of 8" Novr/97 were executed in consolidation of renewal of 4 promissory notes executed by me which together with interest came up to Rs: 1000.
9" Novr/97	Do	22	8	
Aug/97	Batcher Davey	75	"	Principal and interest due on a promissory note for Rs: 100 at 30 per cent payable in 20 months.

20 oct/97	Manikjee Davey	135	"	
Oct/97	Kirubasunkar Butt and Leeladary Davey	170	"	
Decr/97	Mothi Laul Davey	90	"	Balance of principal due on a promissory note for Rs: 100 at 30 per cent per annum payable in 20 months. This was executed in renewal of a promissory note of Rs: 100 on which Rs: 80 had been paid and a sum of Rs: 20 was advanced to which interest was added beforehand and note taken for Rs: 100.
1897.	Harisunkar Davey and Mothi Lall Davey	150	"	Probable amount due in respect of my promissory note to him for Rs: 200 after deducting payments made on account.
10 Mar/97	Bhojee Laul Butt and Manikjee Butt	78	"	
1897	Mooroogasa Mood[y]	36	"	This amount is due to this creditor for rice purchased from him.
31 Decr: 96.	M. Sabapathy Chetty	28	9	This amount is the cost of cloths purchased on the 31st Decr: 96.

Total Rs: 3727-14-6.

1899
Dept.
Enclos. No.
To
O. No. 691

(Signed) A. S. Duraisawmy
23d Febry: 98.

(True Copy).
Edgar Thurston
Superintendent,
Government Museum.

Appendix B.

Copy of Cause of Insolvency

I beg respectfully to submit to this Honorable Court that the cause of my insolvency is the burden of a large family and the maintenance thereof, the frequent illness of its members and the marriage and funeral expenses and the inadequate income to meet the above and the schooling of children, the dearness of provisions owing to famine and my having to borrow moneys at high rates of interest to meet the extraordinary expenses. In spite of the help I have been receiving from my relations I have had to borrow moneys on account of the frequent illness in the family and borrowing fresh loans to pay off debts. The following is a list of the events which have caused the expenses and which could not be prevented.

(1) Marriage of my youngest sister in Sep: 1878
(2) My marriage in April 1880.
(3) My treatment by a German Doctor (Dr: Engler) at Bangalore Rs: 300 for deafness besides trainage etc in Decr: 1883.
(4) The illness and death of my daughter

in Jany: 1885.
(5) The death of my brother-in-law on his way from Kurnool in 1886 and my consequent journey to Kurnool to bring my sister and her 3 little children and keeping them under my support
(6) My mother's illness of Rheumatism for a year and a half, her death in Febry: 1886 and the funeral and other expenses.
(7) Illness of my widowed sister from Consumption for one year and her death on 27th Decr: 90.
(8). The illness of my eldest brother-in-law with paralysis for 3 months and his subsequent death and expenses incurred on account of his funeral and the maintenance of that widowed sister and her five children from 13th May 1892.
(9) Illness of my sister-in-law of Chronic Diarrhœa for 4 months and her death in Aug/93.
(10) My wifes illness for three months after Confinement of twins in 1894.
(11) Illness of my brother of an abscess for six months in 1896 and of semi-paralysis from June 1897 (he still almost bedridden).
(12) My widowed eldest sister's remarriage in Oct/96 and the death of her 2nd husband in 1897.
(13) The death of my nephew in June 1897.

(Signed) A. S. Duraisawmy.
23d Febry/98.

(True copy).

Edgar Thurston
Superintendent,
Government Museum.

1899
Vol Dept.
Enclos. No 2
To 291
F. No

Appendix C.

6th June/99.
Madras.

Respected Sir,

In obedience to your order in your memo No. IX dated 12th Mar/99, I humbly beg to submit the following lines for your kind Consideration.-

As for the particulars required in the first para of the above memo, I beg to attach a copy of my petition submitted to the Insolvent Court in 1898.

In compliance with your order in the 2nd para, I beg to state that a pronote was executed by my late father-in-law on the 24th April/96 for Rs: 538-8-9 to one M. Ramakrishniah Pantalu, a great banker to whom the former was a family doctor and in which I was a surety. then the agreement was that the said amount is to be deducted from the Medical fee the latter has to pay for him. When I filed the Schedule in the Insolvent Court, I my counsel Mr: Iyagaraja Iyer to whom also my father-in-law was a family doctor, and my brother asked my father-in-law, each on different occasions about the said debt, who distinctly told that the amount has been

× (Out of which I received Rs: 100 from my late Father-in-law).

cleared by his Medical fee and that nothing was due. It was only by believing his words, my Counsel omitted to enter that large sum in the Schedule, and I swore with my Conscience before the Judge that I had no other debts besides those mentioned in the Schedule.

Subsequently the above Banker having become a bankrupt and being entangled himself in difficulties has endorsed over the pronote to Dhumandall Moganmull. My father-in-law who was of great help and use to me, to my misfortune met his untimely death just when he was corresponding & Contesting on the subject with the endorser and endorsee and was intending to sue the former for a larger sum.

My Counsel advised me to file a Supplementary Schedule when the notice about the claim was received from the endorsee, but I fearing that you will be annoyed with me if it is known to you, determined after my father-in-law's death to live more economically and begged the endorsee to accept a monthly payment of Rs: 15 to his credit and paid two instalments. My Counsel having heard that the pronote is to be retransferred to the original creditor, directed me not to pay. He again having heard that it was not done so, asked me again to pay the same, and I accordingly sent a money order to the endorsee for Rs: 15 before I left Madras for Tellicherry also wrote and asked him to accept only Rs: 10 from next month as I find it very hard to pay Rs: 15 monthly, but received no reply from him. On my return, I was astonished to hear from my brother, that my pay has been attached by him. Now I understand that you are more angry with me for not having informed of it immediately to you and had I done so, I would have had an instant relief from that trouble.

I now regret for having so insensibly acted by timidity though I was aware that you are a good hearted kind gentleman, full of sympathetic feeling and admit my foolishness in that respect and very humbly beg that you will kindly excuse me for the same.

To avoid incurring much expense on account of Court fee stamps etc as before my Counsel advises me to file an amendment Schedule which will cost me only one rupee

and at the same time says that he is not certain of the Judge accepting it. Further Hon: Mr: Justice Boddam who gave me discharge last year is not now the Judge of the Insolvent Court from the 13th inst; but Hon: Mr: Justice Moore before whom my case may appear new therefore, he is of opinion that the best way of getting out of my present difficulty is by filing a second petition for the amendment pointing out the circumstances under which the above sum was unconscientiously omitted in my Schedule submitted last year.

Further two creditors No. 485 sued me in the S. C. Court subsequent to my discharge for two sums Rs. 25 and 75 respectively included in the Schedule, with an impression that I will not appear and that a decree may be passed simply because I have misspelt his (No. 4) name and did not serve notice to the joint creditor of the creditor No. 5; but I having appeared with my Counsel opposed, the judgement was not given in his favor and the creditors were allowed only Court Cost Rs:35 which order my Counsel says is unreasonable and advises me to enter that amount Rs: 35 also in it.

Ever remembering with gratitude your sympathy and kindness towards me and the trouble you have taken for me, I humbly beg that you will be pleased to permit me taking into consideration the above circumstances to file a 2nd petition for the necessary amendment.

Very respectfully soliciting pardon again for the trouble given.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most obedt: Servant,
(Signed) A. S. Duraisawmy Pillay.

(True Copy).

Idya Thurston
Superintendent
Government Museum.

8

1899
Govt
Dept.
Enclos. No. 3
To
G. No.

Appendix D.

Cause of Insolvency.

I beg respectfully to submit that I have to file this petition in this Honorable Court so soon after my personal discharge in my petition No. 39 of 1898 under the following circumstances.

I had accommodated my father-in-law Moothoosawmy Pillay, Honorary Surgeon with my signature to a promissory note for Rs: 538-8-9 on the 24th day of April 1896 executed in favor of one Maudapaty Ramakristniah Pantalu and by him endorsed over on the 30th day of June 1898 to Dhuman Lall Muggan Mull, creditors No. 1 mentioned in my Schedule herein. My father-in-law was the family doctor of the said Maudapaty Rama-Kristniah and had been so for about 10 or 12 years on a monthly salary Rs: 12/8. My father-in-law told me that the said Ramakristniah was indebted to him in a large sum and there will be nothing due on the said promissory note and that therefore I need not return the said Maudapaty Ramakristniah in my schedule and that if anything

was at all due he would pay it himself and that the said Mandapaty Ramakrishniah was a very respectable gentleman and would get annoyed with him if I served him with a notice of Insolvency. Relying on the words of my father-in-law I omitted to mention the name of the said M. Ramakrishniah as a creditor as also the debt due under the said promissory note. A few months after my discharge Dhuman Lall Muggan Mull sent a letter of demand to me as well as to my said Father-in-law Moothoosawmy Pillay for the sum due on the said pronote which he said had been endorsed over to him by M. Ramakrishniah, and it was then only we found that Ramakrishniah had not given credit for the moneys due by him to my father-in-law as his family doctor for several years at the rate of Rs. 150 a year. Upon receipt of the said notice my father-in-law saw the said Ramakrishniah several times on the matter and he promised to get back the pronote from the Sowcars and return it duly cancelled, but he never did so. The Sowcars, creditors No. 1 therefore filed the suit No. 14453 of 1898 in the Small Causes Court, Madras against me and my said father-in-law who died on the 13th September 1898 and since then his widow and children were brought on the record and decree passed against all. These creditors No. 1 have attached my half Salary as I could not discharge the debt due under the decree. I cannot support myself and my large family on half of my salary and the whole of my salary is barely sufficient for our maintenance. My Official Superior Dr. Thurston gave me permission and even directed me to get myself discharged as I could not pay the debt or devote sufficient attention to my duties as Head Taxidermist with crippled means and troubled mind. Hence this my second petition.

(Signed) A. S. Duraisawmy Pillay

"True Copy".


Edgar Thurston
Superintendent,
Government Museum.

Property in reversion, places, pensions, allowances, Rights, & Powers Real and Personal estate and effects in which I have any interest in reversion remainder or Expectancy.

	Suppose Value
(1) Interest in land, houses, rents, and other real estate.	Nil.
(2) Personal property, as goods and certain Choses in action.	Nil.
(3) Other personal property, or public securities Indian or otherwise Shares in Companies. Annuities.	Nil.
(4) Places, Pensions, and allowances in possession or Reversion.	Nil.
(5) Rights and powers.	Nil.
(6) Expected Articles.	Same as in my first Petition No. 39 of 1898.

Property in possession.

	Supposed value
(1) Interest in land, houses, rents, or other real Estate.	Nil.
(2) Goods Choses in Action.	Nil.
(3) Property in public Securities Indian or otherwise shares in Companies, Annuities &c.	Nil
(4) Unpaid legacies.	Nil.




Appendix C.
Creditors.

No.	Names and description of creditors or claimants and their present or last residence.	Amount	When contracted	Admitted or Disputed.	Nature and considerations of the debt and securities, if any; also why disputed if disputed.
1.	Chuma Lall Lallah and Muggan Mull Bothra, Sowcars residing and carrying on business at No. 5 in Tholasingam Lane in the Black Town, Madras. in partnership under the name style and firm of Chuma Lall, Muggan Mull.	790 " "	24th april 96.	Admitted	This the probable balance due under the decree in S. C. Suit No. 1443 of 1898. passed on the 16th day of December 1898. in favor of the plaintiffs against the Insolvent and the legal representatives of the late Honorary Surgeon C. Moothoosawmy Pillay on a promissory note executed by the Insolvent and his father-in-law the said C. Moothoosawmy Pillay deceased in favor of one Mandapathy Ramakrishniah Pantulu and by him endorsed over to the Plaintiffs. The Insolvent did not borrow any money on this promote but only accommodated his father-in-law with his signature.
2.	Jeevaratnam Josee, Money Lender residing at No. 2 Samudra Moodly Street Sowcarpett Madras.	2 " "	29th August 98.	Disputed	Being the creditors Vakils fee of the day by His Honor the Chief of the S. C. Court Madras on the 29th August 98. in Small Cause Suit No. 12563 of 98. on the Insolvents application for adjournment to enable him to amend this creditors name in his schedule the debt sued for having been
		792 " "			

	Same Creditor.	7	3	" 16th Sept 98. Disputed	served with notice, and the insolvent having been discharged, and the suit having been filed since the discharge. Being the amount of Costs ordered by the Chief Judge of the Small Cause Court in S. C. Suit No 12563 of 1898. against the Insolvent by the Creditor after the discharge of the Insolvent. — taking advantage of a clerical error in the spelling of this Creditor's name in the schedule which was subsiquent to the filing of the said S. C. Suit rectified.
3.	Someswar Heavey and Bachar Heavey, Sowcars residing at No 18. Samudra Moodly street Black Town Madras.	2	"	" 29th August 98 Disputed.	This is the Vakil's fee of the day of these Creditors ordered by His Honor the Chief Judge on the 29th August 98. in Small Cause suit No 12627/98 on the Insolvents' application for adjournment to enable him to amend the Schedule by adding the name of Somaswar Heavey, the debt sued for having been included therein as due only to Bachar Heavey, and Bachar Heavey alone having been served with notice and the Insolvent having been discharged and the suit having been filed since the discharge.
	Same Creditor.	17	6	" 16th Sept 98 Disputed.	Being the amount of Costs ordered by the Chief Judge of the Small Cause Court in S. C. Suit No 12627 of 1898 against the Insolvent taking advantage of one name only having been inserted in the schedule.
	Total Rs. 818 9 "				

True Copy.


Edgar Thurston
Superintendent
Government Museum



Appendix. F.

Copy of First Petition of
Anthony Solmon Muraiswamy Pillay
in the Insolvent Court in 1898.

/Sd/ A. S. Muraiswamy.



Creditors

No.	Names and descriptions of creditors or claimants and their present or last residence.	Amount	When contracted	Admitted or disputed	Nature and consideration of the debt and securities (if any) also why disputed if disputed.
1	Mohan Lall Sowcar Money lender, Maddox Street Vepery Madras ✓	120 " "	24th July 1895	Admitted	Being the amount of principal and interest due on loan of rupees 70 borrowed on pledge of gold addigay and an odd gold wrist Coppoo with Copper stick inside both together worth Rs 100 or there abouts.
	Same Creditor. ✓	50 2 "	8th June 95.	Do.	This amount is the balance due on a promisary note for Rs 35 executed by me and one C. Venkoba Row as surety for and along with one B. Ramanayakooloo Naidoo.
	Same Creditor. ✓	75 13 "	22nd Aug 96.	Do.	Being the amount of the decree obtained by this Creditor against me on 20th September 1897 in the Madras Court of Small Causes in suit No 15887 of 1897 on a promissory note executed by me and one Thavadiappan since deceased in favour of
		245 15 "			

	225	15	"			this Creditor on 2nd inst. August 1894 for Rs 62-4-" payable by Monthly instalment of Rs 6-4-" from 7th October 94 in default of any instalment the whole to be come due and half of my pay has been attached for this amount.
Same Creditor.	60	"	"	15th January 97	Admitted	This is the probable amount of the decree with further interest up to date obtained by this Creditor on the 30th July 1897 in the Madras Court of Small Causes in suit No 10529 of 1897. against me and one S. Eleazer and one A. M. Iyasawmy Pillay on a promissary note executed by me and the said S. Eleazer and A M. Iyasawmy Pillay as my sureties.
Same Creditor.	24	6	-	24th July 95	Do	This amount is due by me to this creditor for principal and balance of interest on a promissary note executed by me in his favour for Rs 20 Carrying interest at 6 pies per Rupee per mensem.
Same Creditor.	35	-	-	12th March 96	Do	This amount is due by me to this creditor for principal and balance of interest on a promissary note executed by me in his favour for Rs 20 carrying interest at one anna per rupee per mensem.
	365	5	"			

		365	5	"			
Same Creditor.	✓	20	"	"	9th Oct. 96	Admitted.	This is the interest due on a promissory note for Rs 50/- carrying interest at one anna per rupee per mensem executed by me and three sureties viz. — P.V. Iyaswamy Pillay, A.K. Iyaswamy Pillay and E. Venkoba Row. All principal has been paid.
Same Creditor.	✓	248	"	"	22nd Oct 96	Admitted.	This is the amount of principal and interest due on a promissary note for Rs 200/- bearing interest at 24 per cent per annum executed by me and 2 sureties viz. V. Valoo Moodr and P.V. Iyaswamy Pillay.
Same Creditor.	✓	30.	"	"	1896.	Ditto	This amount is balance due to this creditor on a promissary note for Rs 50/- carrying the interest at 30 per cent executed by me, A.K. Iyaswamy Pillay P.V. Iyaswamy Pillay and E. Venkoba Row. This debt was borrowed by A.K. Iyaswamy Pillay, I and the other executor were only sureties.
Same Creditor.	✓	221	4	"	5th March 97	Ditto	This is the principal and interest due to this creditor on a promissary note for Rs 180/- carrying interest at 24 per cent executed by me and 4 sureties viz V. Valoo Moodr A. Isiah Pillay, P.V. Iyaswamy Pillay and E. Venkoba Row. This was
		884	9	"			

			1884	9	"			Executed in renewal of a promissary note which was about to be barred.
	Same Creditor.	✓	94	-	-	7th Oct. 97.	Admitted	This is the balance due to this creditor on a promissary note for Rs 100/- carrying interest at 24 per cent executed by me and one surety viz A. B — Vigiaragava Moodr. This was executed in renewal of a promissary note which was about to be barred.
	Same Creditor.	✓	53	12	"	5th March 97.	Ldo.	This is the amount of principal and interest due on a promissary note for Rs 40/- carrying interest at 6 pies per rupee per mensem executed by me.
	Same Creditor.	✓	195	8	"	13th June 97.	Ldo.	This is the balance due to this creditor on a promissory note for Rs 225/- carrying interest at 24 per cent executed by me with one surety A. B — Vigiaragava Moodr. in renewal of a promissory note which was about to be barred.
2.	E. Venkata Swamy Chetty Money lender No. 35 Padapalliam Street near Elephants Gate. Madras.		255	-	-	December 97	Ldo.	This is the principal and interest due to this creditor on a promissory note for Rs 250/- carrying interest at 24 percent executed by me. This was executed in renewal of 3 promissory notes and Rs 30/- was paid to me in cash over and above the amount of the said 3 promissory notes.
			1482	13	"			

3.	Baloo Jee Heavey Money lender Samudra Moodly. Street Sowcarpett Madras.	1482 480	13 "	" "	8ecember 97.	Admitted.	This is the principal and interest due to this Creditor on a promissory note for Rs 500/- carrying interest at 24 per cent executed by me payable in 25 Months. Rs 220/- was due on a promissory note executed by me in 1895. Rs 100/- was received and the pro. note was executed in renewal.
4.	Jeevarama Joshi. Money lender Samudra Moodly Street Sowcarpett Madras.	480	"	"	8th Nov 97.	Ditto	This is the principal and interest due to this Creditor on a promissory note for Rs 500/- carrying interest at 24 per cent executed by me payable in 25 Months.
	Same Creditor.	500	"	"	9th Nov 97.	Ditto	This is the principal and interest due to this Creditor on a promissory note for Rs 500/- carrying interest at 24 per cent, executed by me payable in 25 Months. This and the previous note of 8th Nov 97 were executed in Consolidation of renewal of 4 promissory notes executed by me which together with interest came up to Rs 1000/-
	Same Creditor.	22	8	"	2nd Nov 97.	Ditto	This is the principal and interest due to this Creditor on a promissory note for Rs 25/- executed by me Carrying interest at 12 per cent per annum.
		2965	5	"			

			2965	5	"			
5.	Somacer Leavey & Batcher Leavey. Money lenders Saumdra Broodly &c Sowcarpett Madras.	✓	75	"	"	August 97.	Admitted	This is the principal and interest due to this Creditor on a promissory note for Rs 100/- carrying interest at 24 percent. executed by me payable in 20 Months.
6.	Monikjee Leavey Money lender Saumdra Broodly. Street Sowcarpett Madras.	✓	135	"	"	20th Oct 97	Ditto	This is the principal and interest due to this Creditor on a promissory note bearing interest at 24 per cent per annum executed by me and one surety V. Valoo Broodr. payable in 20 Months.
7.	Kirnbasuker Butt and Leeladary Leavey Money lenders Agraram Street Sowcarpett Madras.	✓	170	"	"	October 97.	Ditto	This is the principal and interest due to this Creditor on a promissory note bearing interest at 30/- per cent per annum. executed by me payable in 20 Months.
8.	Mothi Laul Leavey. Money lender Saumdra Broodly. Street Sowcarpett Madras.	✓	90	"	"	December 97.	Ditto	This is the balance of principal due to this Creditor on a promissory note for Rs 100/- bearing interest at 30/- per cent per annum executed by me payable in 20 Months. This was executed in renewal of a promissory note of Rs 100/- on which Rs 80/- had been paid and a sum of Rs 20/- was advanced to which interest was added before hand and note taken for Rs 100/-.
			3435	5	"			

		3435	5	"			
9.	Parisunker Wavey and Mothie Laul Wavey Money lenders Saumdra Moodly. Stret Sowcarpett. Madras.	150	"	"	1897.	Admitted.	This is the probable amount of balance due to this Creditor in respect of my promissory note to him for Rs 200/- after deducting payments made on account.
10.	Bhojee Laul Butt and Manikjee Butt Money lenders. Samudra Moodly. Stret Sowcarpett. Madras.	78	"	"	10th March 97.	Ditto	This amount is the balance on a promissory note for Rs 100/- executed by me as surety for and along with A. V. Iya Sawmy Pillay after giving credit for payments made on account.
11.	Moorgasa Moodr. Rice Merchant Poodoopett. Madras.	36	"	"	1897.		This amount is due to this creditor for rice purchased from him.
12.	M. Sabapathy Chetty. Cloth Merchant Godyooly Bazaar, Madras.	28	9	6.	31st December 96.	Ditto	This amount is the Cost of Cloths purchased on the 31st December 96.
13.	A. V. Iya Sawmy Pillay. A Government Pensioner Residing at Dno 3. Hall's Road Egmore.	80	.	"	—		This amount is made up of the sums of Rs 60/- and 20/- due by me to creditor Dno 1. on promissory notes which this creditor executed jointly with me as my surety as above.
14.	P. V. Iya Sawmy Pillay Taxidermish, at present Residing at Port Blair Andaman Islands.	489	4	"			This amount is made up of three sums of Rs 20/- 248/- and 221-4-" due by me to creditor Dno 1. on promissory notes which this creditor executed jointly with me as my surety.
		4297	2	6			

		£297	2	6		
15.	E. Venkoba Row. Printer & Peon Museum, Madras	291	6	"		This amount is made up of three sums of Rs 50-2-", Rs 20/- and Rs 221-4-", due by me to Creditor No 1. on promissory notes which this Creditor executed jointly with me as my surety.
16.	Valoo Moodaliyar. Taxidermist Museum. Madras.	604	4	"		This amount is made up of three sums Rs 248/- Rs 221-4-" and Rs 135/- due by me. the first two sums to Creditor No 1. and the 3rd sum to Creditor No 6. on promissory notes which this Creditor executed jointly with me as my surety.
17.	A. Isiah, Taxidermist Museum, Madras.	221	4	"		This Creditor joined me as surety in executing the pronote of 5th March 1897. to creditor No 1 upon which this amount is now due.
18.	A. B. Vigiaragava Moodr. Second Asst. Museum. Madras.	289	8	"		This amount is made up of two sums of Rs 94/- and Rs 195-8-" due by me to creditor No 1 on promissory notes executed by this creditor jointly with me as my surety.
		5703	8	6		

True Copy.

Edgar Thurston.

Superintendent

Government Museum

Appendix G.

—

13th June/99
Madras.

To,
The Superintendent,
Government Museum, Madras.

Respected Sir,

As per para 2 (a) of your memo, I beg to state that I have not received any loan from any member of the Museum staff. As the Sureties are treated as per rules of the High Court as creditors in the schedule submitted by me to the Insolvent Court, such sums as are entered against their names in it, appear as sums lent, and if the remarks opposite to such items be read, it could be understood what those sums actually are. For instance, for Rs: 100 borrowed from X by A, if B & C are sureties, Rupees 100 will be entered against A; again it will be entered twice against A in favor of B & C. Thus Rs: 300 will appear in the Schedule towards that debt of Rs: 100. As such sums swelled the total amount in the Schedule submitted to the Insolvent Court and as the sum for which I went into the said Court for relief is almost only half the total sum therein shown. I requested my

Lawyer when preparing the Schedule not to show the names of the Sureties as creditors and the sums for which they were Sureties as money lent. though they are explained in the remarks Column; but he replied that it is enacted that such names and sums should be treated as such with the object of giving full relief to the Insolvent, viz to prevent the Sureties from demanding subsequently from the Insolvent even through the Court the sum for which they were Sureties and it is for this reason notices of the day of the hearing of the case etc are always served by the Court to them also along with the actual creditors giving them also an opportunity to oppose the Insolvent in the Court. Further he told me that he would not undertake to forward that Schedule if such names and sums are not entered in it as such. Hence they are neither actually my creditors nor I have received any loan from them, but they are treated as such according to Court Rules. I forward again the Copy of my 1st Schedule for perusal over the remarks Column.

As per para 2(b) I beg to annex a tabular Statement as required and state that the last two sums mentioned in it were paid by the Surety himself as explained in my last letter to you.

In obedience to your directions in the 1st part of the last para of your memo, I beg to state that I do not owe at the present time any amount to any member of the Museum staff because I was discharged as an insolvent and I am not liable.

With reference to the second part of the last para, I humbly beg to state that they are liable for the amounts shown in the annexed tabular Statement, with interest thereon up to date. But generally the Sowcars are lenient with such men so far as interests are concerned.

In conclusion I humbly beg to state that if I had known before that I would be subject to an enquiry like this on account of the four Sureties of the Museum Staff, I would have intimated to you the same at the time you were very sympathatically pleased to grant me the privilege of explaining to you orally and in writing all my

hardships and difficulties and matters
connected with my case and kindly
gave me permission to file my petition
and Schedule in the Insolvent Court.
Very humbly begging to be ex-
cused for the liberty taken in adding
the above with my explanation.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir
Your most obedient Servant.
(Signed) A. S. Durais awmy.

"True Extract"

Sdgar Thurston
Superintendent,
Government Museum.

1899
Encls. No. 7
To
G. No. 991

Appendix H

Tabular statement as Ordered by the Superintendent in his Memo. dated 11th June 99.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8.
Reply to	Date of receipt of loans	Amount received	Interest up to date of filing my schedule	Subsequent interest up to date.	Total liable to pay (Reply to 2nd part of para c)	Sureties from the Museum Staff.	Remarks.
2nd para (a)	No loans received from any members of the Museum Staff.						
2nd para (b)	22nd Oct 96	200 " "	48 " "	64 " "	312 " "	V. Valoo Brodr and P.V. Ingasawmy late collecting Taxidermist.	
	5th March 97	180 " "	31 4 "	48 " "	259 4 "	V. Valoo Brodr, A. Isaiah and C. Venkoba Row and P.V. Ingasawmy late Collecting Taxidermist	
	20th Oct 97.	* 150 " "	" " "	" " "	about 115 " "	V. Valoo Brodr	* The balance due when I filed the schedule was Rs 135, subsequently a portion of the amount was paid by my nephew which will be about Rs 20/- and the balance about Rs 115/- is still due. The Sowcar who lent this sum does not charge additional interest for the delay in payment.
	13th June 97.	225 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "	A. B. Vigiaragava Brodr	Balance due towards Rs 225/- received on the 13th June 97 was Rs 195-8-", and the balance due towards Rs 100/- " received on the 7th Oct 97 was Rs 94/-. The total amount of the balance of these debts was paid by Surety A. B. " Vigiaragava Brodr.
	7th Oct 97.	100 " "	" " "	" " "	" " "		
2nd para (c)	Nil.						
					686 4 "	Total sum liable to be paid by the sureties	
2nd part of 2nd para (c) is shown in Column six (6)	The four members of the Museum staff who stood as sureties have become liable to pay Rs 686-4-" Rs 289-8-" on account of money borrowed by me, of this Rs. 289-8-" has been paid by A. B. Vigiaragava Brodr and the balance has to be paid by the other three.						

/Sd/ A. S. Muraisawmy.

/Sd/ A. S. Muraisawmy.
13th June 99.

True Copy.

Edgar Thurston
Superintendent
Government Museum

1899
Enclos. No. 8
To
B. No. 991

Appendix I

—

23rd June/99
Madras.

To,
The Superintendent
Govt: Museum
Madras.

Respected Sir,

Acknowledging the receipt of your memo of the 18th inst: I humbly beg to submit the following explanation.

With reference to para 1 of the above memo, I beg to state that in February 1898 I submitted a statement of my debts as required by you and when so doing it did not strike me that I should add to it the names of the sureties who are members of the Museum staff when merely a statement of my debts was called for. In the Schedule that was submitted by me to the Insolvent Court together with my petition according to the Court Rules their names appear in it along with the names of the other sureties in the columns provided for the purpose in the printed form of the Court.

As per para 2 of your memo I beg to state that my late father-in-law who was alive when I submitted my petition and Schedule to the Insolvent Court, promised that he would pay by instalments the sums in the pronotes of which they were sureties, telling me my nephew Iyasawmy to pay as much as he could with the object of relieving me from my difficulty. After his death Iyasawmy's brother undertook to pay such sums together with his brother as explained in my previous letter. I here beg to mention that even if I am able to pay I cannot pay to one or two creditors exceptionally according to the Court Rules so long as I have taken a discharge for all the sums mentioned in the Schedule. As my nephew Iyasawmy is one of the sureties in almost all the pronotes in which Venkoba Row, Valoo Mudali and Isiah were also sureties and the responsibility of the payment of those sums rests equally in him also and as every precaution was taken and arrangement made for such payments, there was no room for the three latter to be alarmed of being brought to any trouble whatever or to resort to the Insolvent Court on account of such sums. If no arrangement was made for payment of those sums and if the sureties who are members of the Museum staff would have been subject to an alarm on account of it, they themselves would have brought it to your notice as a complaint against me as soon as they were served with notices by the Court along with my creditors. As every precaution was taken and arrangement made to avoid them being brought subsequently to any trouble on account of it, and as I never thought that it was necessary to inform you about these sureties, I solemnly state that I never kept back from you such information purposely or with any object in view. Since I was fortunate enough to have your full sympathy in my case and your kindness not only to grant me permission to file my petition in the Insolvent Court, but also to hear with much patience all what I said then to you about all my difficulties and grievances, naturally

I would have taken the opportunity of mentioning then about these sureties also, if I would have thought that it is necessary to mention about them to you.

I beg to remain,
Respected Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant,
(Signed) A. S. Duraisawmy.

(True Copy).

Edgar Thurston
Superintendent.
Government Museum.

D

1899
287
Encls. No. 9
951
B. No.

Appendix J.

27th June/99
Madras.

To,
The Superintendent
Government Museum
Madras.

Respected Sir,

I very respectfully beg to submit the following for your kind consideration and favor of recommending me for mercy to Government.

As it was my late father's desire that I should be his successor in the Museum on his retirement, he kept me with him from my boyhood, whenever I had a holiday in my school and taught me the art of Taxidermy, made me to prepare all sorts of specimens and to study the books on such science. My brother having intimated his (father's) desire and my training in Taxidermy immediately after my father's death in 1878 to Dr. Bidie the then Superintendent, who was then at Ootacamund, and requested him to employ me in my father's place for the support of the

I
of.
al
it
to

family, while I was then about to join a college after passing the Matriculation Examination. After which, the above Officer ordered the Curator to have some specimens of each kind prepared by me in his presence and to send me before the District Surgeon for a certificate of Physical fitness. In this testing examination, to show my skill, I selected the smallest of birds, mammals, fishes and skeletons of all kinds. On his return, he found that I excelled all the Taxidermists of the Museum in all branches of Taxidermy and that I am educated and others are uneducated, and expressed that I have inherited my father's talent and recommended me to Govt: to be appointed Head Taxidermist. Having gained a practical knowledge of the Science under the training of my father who was known and certified by Dr: Gunther as the best Taxidermist in preparing specimens of fishes, and having devoted my whole leisure time to books on that science, I am now an expert in it. As my late father was a man of very little education, all works such as identification of specimens and arranging etc were then done by the Superintendent and the Curators. Now all

such works are involved on me; and in addition I have some Clerical works also, while I am paid the same pay my father was drawing. You are aware that my thirst for my works was such that I usually attend even on Sundays though they are holidays for me.

In 1883, eight medals viz 3 gold, 4 silver and one bronze medal were awarded for the specimen of fishes sent from the Madras Museum to the London International Fisheries Exhibition; and only one bronze medal in the Calcutta Exhibition in 1883-84 as there were none then to compete for fishes.

I quote here a para about me in your Administration Report of the Madras Govt: Museum for 1895-96

f 454 455
a 1.8.96

"15 Marine Gallery - A conspicuous addition was made to the contents of this gallery in the shape of a series of well prepared skeletons representative of various families of sharks, rays and bony fishes. The manner in which the Head Taxidermist, during my absence on tour, without any suggestion from myself, initiated this series, gave me great satisfaction. The series includes a very fine skeleton of a Sword fish (Histiophorus gladius) caught off Madras"

I have several times went on tour for the collection of specimens of different kinds of specimens, and lately I was deputed by you to study and report upon the census of fishes taken in the Madras coast. I did the duties of the 2nd Asst. whenever the First Asst. was on leave, and lately for a period of nine months.

Lately I was offered an appointment on a higher salary, but I declined to take it up simply because I wished to keep up my service in the Madras Museum.

I humbly beg to state that owing to several unforeseen misfortunes and circumstances over which I could exercise no control in my family, such as several deaths, illness etc, which I have explained last year to you orally and in writing, I have taken the benefit of the Insolvent's Debtors' Act, obtaining your kind permission before my filing my petition and schedule, and had my discharge in the Insolvent's Court. As the rule that such cases of non-gazetted officers should be dispensed of finally by the Heads of Departments, the cases of Gazetted Officers only to be reported for the orders of Government, was received in the office when my case was pending in the Court, and as you were satisfied with my explanation of the cause of my incurring debt, you have disposed off my case departmentally and retained me in the service. Here I may thankfully mention that your sympathy towards me was so much that, to avoid my incurring fresh debts, you have directed me to send away some relatives who were under me in my official Residence, as that order was a very good excuse to me to send them away without a dissension in the family circle.

I read the Rules regulating the personal Conduct of Government Servants only once, when a copy was handed over to me, and the rule prohibiting me from taking any member of the Museum Staff as a surety in my pronotes was out of my ~~nee~~ memory ~~story~~, hence I did not wantonly abstain from mentioning to you the names of such sureties, yet I do not bring such ignorance of Government Rules as an excuse for my wrong action. I regret very much for having made a ship-wreck of my character in this respect by having taken four members of the Museum Staff as my sureties in some of my pronotes and

for not having informed you of their names, and beg that you will kindly pardon me for the same.

During my service of Government for 21 years, I have not taken privilege or leave on private affairs even for a day. I have gained the good opinion of all my superior Officers by my honesty, good character, and truthfulness and have given them satisfaction.

Under these circumstances, I beg that you will kindly take into consideration, my passed good conduct, my honest, faithful and long service to Government, and recommend me to Government for mercy as you are also aware how many souls are hanging on my shoulders for their bread.

Humbly begging to be excused for the trouble given.

I beg to remain
Respected Sir,
your most Obdt: Servant,

(Signed) A. S. Duraisawmy

189
Dept.
Enclos. No 19
To
G. No. 991
"True Copy"

Sdy a Thurston

Superintendent,
Government Museum.

Appendix K.

History of the Service (showing interruption) of A. S. Duraisawmy Pillay.

Establishment	Appointment	Pay	Acting allowance	Date of beginning	Date of ending	Period reckoned as service	Period not reckoned as service	Remarks	Short certificates &c.
		Rs				y m d			
Government Museum	Head Moulder mist Govt: Museum	50	"	17th June 1878	14th Feby 1886	7 7 28	"	"	For Birth, Acquittance &c. Govt. Statement of the Museum establishment
	do	60	"	15th Feby 1886	27th June 1899	13 4 11	"	"	
					Total period of Superior Service 21		9		

Sdy a Thurston
Superintendent
Government Museum.

CURRENT NO. 991

No. 407

GOVERNMENT MUSEUM,

Pantheon Road, Egmore,

Madras, 30th June 1899.

From

EDGAR THURSTON, Esq.,

SUPERINTENDENT,

Government Museum,

Madras.

To

The Secretary to Government

Local and Municipal Departments

SIR,

I have the honour to bring to the notice of Government the following facts in connection with the insolvency of my Head Taxidermist, A. S. Duraisawmy Pillay.

2. His pay is, I may mention at the outset, Rs: 60 per mensem, and he lives in free quarters in the Museum grounds.

3. On my return from furlough, in February 1898, he informed me that he was encumbered with debts, and applied for leave to take advantage of the Insolvent Debtor's Act. Knowing that he had numerous relations living parasitically on him, and in consideration of his good service, I gave him permission to seek the protection of the Court, after calling on him

1899
Oct. Dept.
Enclos. No. 11
To
G. No. 991

Government Museum.

him to produce full statements of his debts and the causes thereof. Copies of these Statements are attached (A and B). The liabilities are recorded as being Rs: 3727-14-6; and, it is to be noted, no reference is made to obligations to any member or members of my staff (Vide para 7 infra).

4. The Court declared him entitled to the benefit of the act, and he was accordingly discharged. (Fort St. George Gazette, May 17, 1898).

5. As a warning to him, he received from me a memorandum to the effect that I was taking a very lenient view of his indebtedness, but cautioning him that I should have to take a very serious view of a repetition of his pecuniary embarrassment.

6. Later, towards the end of 1898, half the salary of the Head Taxidermist was attached in connection with a promissory note for Rs: 538-8-" executed by his father-in-law in 1896, and in which he was a surety under circumstances narrated in Appendix C. Believing that he honestly thought at the time of his first insolvency, that he was under no liability in Connection with this promissory note, I granted him permission to file a petition in the Court for the relief of insolvent debtors. The causes of his further insolvency, and statement of his liabilities, amounting to Rs: 818-9-" are given in appendices D and E. The Judgement of the Court was that rupees twenty be paid monthly to the Official Assignee from 10th May 1899, for six months. In default of any one instalment, the petition will be dismissed.

7. Quite recently it came under my notice that the Head Taxidermist was, at the time of his first insolvency in 1898, under pecuniary obligations to four members of my staff viz:-

A. B. Vijayaragava Moodr. 2nd assistant. Pay Rs: 35-50 p.m.
Valvo Moodaliar. 2nd Taxidermist. Pay Rs: 25-30-"
A. Isaiah. 3rd Taxidermist. Pay Rs: 20-25-"
E. Vencojee Row. Peon and Printer Pay Rs: 7-"

and I discovered that, though no mention of any of these persons was made in the statement of debts (appendix A) as submitted to me, they were included in his petition in the Insolvency Court (Nos 15, 16, 17, 18 appendix F) in connection with the signature to promissory no

notes to the total amount of Rs: 1406-6-", made up as follows: —

	Rs
E. Venkoba (= Venkojee) Row	291-6
Valoo Moodaliar.	604-4
A. Isaiah	221-4
A.B. Vijayaragava Moodr.	289-8
	1,406-6

8. I then sent a memorandum to the Head Taxidermist, calling on him for clear statements, showing: —

a. loans of money actually received by him from members of my staff;
b. amounts for which members of my staff signed pro: notes;
c. amounts owing at the present time to members of my staff and amounts for which they may still be liable.

The reply of the Head Taxidermist to this memorandum is given in appendices G and H. From appendix H it appears that, while the Second assistant has paid up Rs: 289-8 and cleared himself, the two taxidermists and the peon are (conjointly with a former member of my staff) still liable to the extent of Rs: 686-4.

9. I further sent a memorandum to the Head Taxidermist to the following effect: —

"In the statement of his debts submitted by my order in February, 1898, no reference is made by the Head Taxidermist to his pecuniary relations with members of my staff, whose names appear in his "petition in the Insolvent Court" viz: —

(1) Venkoba Row printer and peon;
(2) Valoo Moodliar, Taxidermist
(3) A. Isiah, Taxidermist
(4) A.B. Vijayaragava Moodr., Second Assistant

2. "The Head Taxidermist will explain in writing why he kept back from me information, which he knew that, as head of his office, I should possess, viz: that the members of my staff referred to above had backed his promissory notes, and pomade it almost inevitable that they would have to go through the insolvency Court." The reply of the Head Taxidermist to this memorandum is given in appendix I.

10. Summing up the case in few words, the main facts against the Head Taxidermist are as follows: —

a. He became insolvent in 1898, for an amount which rendered him liable to be removed from the public service.

b. He came under monetary obligations to brother servants in the office for very large amounts, considering his own and their rates of monthly income.

C. He kept back from the knowledge of the head of his office the fact that these obligations existed at the time of his disclosing his financial embarrassment in 1898.

11. I am placing the whole matter before Government with my own clear conviction that his case, prima facie, merits dismissal. I, however, submit herewith (appendix J), for the favourable consideration of Government, a copy of an appeal for mercy, which he has submitted to me. Of his services to the Museum I have nothing but unqualified good to say, and he has always been a hard-working and loyal assistant to me, ever ready to help in an emergency, and devoted to the interests of his special department, in which he has done excellent work, and in which, I can say without exaggeration, I should find it impossible to adequately replace him.

12. I venture, therefore, to suggest either that he should be permitted to remain on my staff on condition that he must at once leave if he becomes a further slave to debt, or if I find that his retention is prejudicial to the discipline of his department; or that, in consideration of his good service, Government will be pleased to award him some pension proportionate to his twenty one years' service. (Appendix K)

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most Obedient Servant

Edgar Thurston

Superintendent,
Government Museum.

[L. & M.]

500—4-3-99.

Educational

~~LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL~~ DEPARTMENT.

Again G.O., No. , dated 189 .

Current No. 991 of 1899, dated 30th June from the Superintendent Govt. Museum.

Current No. of 189 , dated from the

SUBJECT.

Insolvency of the Head Taxidermist.

FOR ORDERS.

Enclosure F. Flag A.

Enclosure E. Flag B.

The Superintendent Govt. Museum reports for orders the case of the Head Taxidermist of the museum who took the benefit of the Insolvent Debtors' Act on two occasions in 1898. On the first occasion his debts amounted to Rs 5,703-8-6 and he obtained a discharge. On the second occasion the debts came to Rs 818-9 and he was ordered to pay Rs 20 per mensem for 6 months. He was not suspended from office pending the disposal of his applications on either of these occasions. He had permission from the Superintendent to file his schedules. On the first occasion he said the debts were all incurred on account of domestic troubles. On the second occasion it appears the debts were really due by his late father-in-law and he simply stood surety for him in a pro. note executed by him for Rs 538-8-9 receiving Rs 100 out of the amount. This is however no excuse for his insolvency

- vide the last clause of Article 45 of the Public Servants manual. His pay is Rs60 per mensem.

2. Mr. Thurston also reports that at the time of the Defendant's first insolvency, he was under pecuniary obligations to 4 members of the Establishment to the extent of Rs 406-6-- they having stood sureties for him and that he hid this fact from Mr. Thurston. The case against the Defendant is therefore summarized in para 10 of Mr. Thurston's letter as follows:-

"(a) He became insolvent in 1898 for an amount which rendered him liable to be removed from the public service."

"(b) He came under monetary obligations to brother servants in the office for very large amounts, considering his* own and their** rates of monthly income."

"(c). He kept back from the knowledge of the head of his office the fact that these obligations existed at the time of his disclosing his financial embarrassment in 1898".

3. In view however of the Defendant's good services the good services has rendered to the museum Mr. Thurston recommends a merciful consideration of his case and suggests either that he might be permitted to remain on condition that he leaves the office the moment he becomes a further slave to debt or it is found that his retention

* Rs 60 per mensem
** Rs 35-50. per mensem
Rs 25-30. " "
Rs 20-25. " "
Rs 7 " "

Mr. Thurston adds that of his services to the Museum he has nothing but unqualified good to say

This may be forwarded with the Defendant's petition

HA 14.7.99

The case is a very bad one & the Defendant must be dismissed.

HA 14.7.99.

is prejudicial to the maintenance of discipline in this branch of the Establishment or that he might be awarded some pension proportionate to his 21 years' service. Under Article 45 of the Public Servants' manual however "retention in service should only follow in cases in which the insolvent is practically blameless". As for retiring him, he does not appear to be 55 years old as yet and a medical certificate of unfitness for further service will be necessary.

4. Under Article 45 of the manual, the Superintendent should have fully reported on the case as soon as it was adjudicated upon and should have submitted copies of the Court's decisions.

ade
12/7/99.

Mr. Thurston's letter states the case clearly. He says he is convinced that Defendant merits dismissal.

He is a bad case.

The very large amount of his debts at his 1st insolvency shows he must have been reckless.

He incurred debts & obligations to his fellow servants. (2 of these are apparently directly under him vide para 2 a & b) of

PW
7/7/99

Mr Thurston's letter). of as large
amount. That has made it almost
incontrable that there will
shd Go. thro' the Insolvency
Court. vide para. 9.
Lastly he deliberately concealed
these debts from Mr Thurston
when he filed his petition.
It is submitted the Summons must be
dismissed.

BOH
13.7.99

H.M.

given for copying ____
copied ____
examined ____
signed ____
} 189 .

AIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

r No.

TRES TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
OF GOVERNMENT.								
AT WITH DITTO.								
FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.