

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 246

DATED 28-4-99

INDEXED.



[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the proposal of the
Director of Public Instruction
for the incurring of expenditure and
contingencies in excess of the
prescribed limit by the Sub-
Inspector of Schools.

T. 1591

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Educational (1899) Department.

CURRENT No. 433



Order No. 2464/28-4-99
The proposal of the D.P.I.

referred as it is by the A.G. is
Sanctioned. 26-6-99.

H.M.

The D.P.I. - 240
Order 24. - 250

From The Director of
Public Instruction
Madras

No. 3120

Dated March 1899.

Received } 17-3-99
Registered }

Enclosures 4

Spare copies

The Accountant General's view may
be accepted but it is submitted that the change
then referred to should not be made the
basis for increasing the contingent
expenses of Sub Assistant Inspectors of
Schools. The acceptance may therefore be
subject to the condition that the annual
expenditure of each Sub Assistant does not
exceed Rs 48.

The A.G.'s view may be accepted,
the proviso mentioned by him
may be sufficient

The above condition seems
unnecessary - provided the total
grant is not exceeded. There may
be the D.P.I. says the previous year's expenditure
is to be the guide. The expenditure of one
Rs 48 will not be sufficient, as that expenditure
has not been exceeded in former years.
It is sufficient simply to approve the D.P.I.'s proposal
as it is by the A.G.

SUBJECT,
Enquiring whether the
Sub Assistant Inspectors
of Schools may incur
expenditure under
contingencies in excess
of the prescribed limit
viz Rs 4 per annum.

25-4-99
22-6-99

G.O.	No.	Depa.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[Faint, mostly illegible handwritten text, possibly bleed-through from the reverse side of the page. Some words like "The", "is", "and" are visible.]

[Handwritten notes or signatures in the right margin, including what appears to be "1/29" and some initials.]

Sir,

With reference to your letter No 1081 dated the 27th of January 1899, I have the honour to state that the limit of Rs. 4 was fixed by Government ~~Government~~ when the contingent charges of Sub-Assistant Inspectors of schools were drawn as a fixed contract allowance on establishment pay. As however the charges are now drawn on contingent bill, giving full details of the charges, supported by vouchers, such vouchers are necessary and by the disbursing officer's certificate, the officers concerned can, it seems to me, incur expenditure in excess of the fixed monthly limit of Rs. 4 provided the total expenditure for the year does not exceed the budget provision. The Government order referred to may therefore be considered obsolete.

I have &c

(Sd)

Dy. Accountant-General.

/True Copy/

G. H. Stuart

Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

When copied
When examined
When signed

CURRENT No. 43

No. 3120

From

G. H. Stuart

THE HONORABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc.

Ag DIRECTOR OF PUB.

To

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Educational DEPARTMENT,

Fort St. Geo.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit the copy of a letter No 614, dated 15th February 1899, received from the Accountant-General in reply to this office letter of the 11th inst. in which I inquired whether, under the present system of detailed audit of charges, Sub-Assistant Inspectors of schools may, when not permitted to incur expenditure under contingencies of the limit of Rs. 4 per mensem laid down in G.O. No 835, dated 30th November 1892. I beg to be informed what the Accountant-General's view may be accepted and whether provision may be made in future budgets for contingencies for the offices of Sub-Assistants, ^{with} ~~with~~ reference to the requirements of each office as determined by the actuals of the previous years. This course will, I beg to submit, tend to reduce the number of applications from Sub-Assistants for additional allotments under contingencies and for the necessary re-appropriation from the amounts sanctioned to the offices.

Flay T

ST

PAPER

When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 2/5 } 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. _____
189 _____, DATED

URGENT No. _____

ENCLOSURES TO C. No. _____

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.