[Mdl. 6. 569—24-8-97.]

Educational (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 709

DATED 14-11-98

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Declining to Comply with the request of T. R. Venk
-tarama Aiya. Dpt Asst. Inspr of Schools, Hosur Range
that the amount of pay withdrawn & subsequently
Refunded by him may be ordered to be paid
back to him

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[Edl. / 5]
1,000—20-4-98.

Educational (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 574

From J. R. Venkataramana Aiyar
Sub Asst. Inspr. of Schools
Stobur Range

Order

No.

Dated 9-4-98
Received 11 } 4. 98
Registered 14 }
Enclosures /
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Praying that the order of the D.P.I. sanctioning the amount of Rs 242-11-0 may be Cancelled & that the refund of the money already paid into the Treasury may be effected

Enclosure to P.D. Letter no. 0698
16-9-98.

11

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

TESTIMONIALS,

T. R. Venkatarama Iyer, a trained First in Arts man, is an Assistant Master of this School with which he has been connected for nearly (3) three years.

He is hardworking, painstaking as a Teacher and a young man of energy and promise.

I am of opinion that, wherever entertained, he will, while upholding the discipline of a class, also make himself a popular Teacher.

(Sd.) Pierre E. MESSINIER,
Head Master.

Govt. High school Saidapet
25th September 1882.

I have known T. R. Venkata Ramier for a short time as a teacher of the Saidapet High-school; he has given me satisfaction both in the performance of his duties and in his general character and conduct.

(Sd.) C. W. BURROUGHS,
Ag. Head Master.

High school, Saidapet
July 5th 1880

T. R. Venkatarama aiyer has been my head-clerk during the two months that I have been in charge of this office and his work has been done to satisfaction. He has accompained me on tour and has been of considerable assistance to me in the inspection of schools apart from his ordinary duties as head-clerk.

16th July 1890.

(Sd.) G. H. STUART,
Ag. *Principal Presidency college in charge,*
of the office of the Inspector of schools,
Central circle.

Enclosure 2 to letter no. 1698 of

12

During the short time I have been acting in this circle, T. R. Venkatarama aiyar has given me every satisfaction in the discharge of his duties and I consider him qualified for the post of a Sub-Assistant Inspector of schools in as much as he has passed all the tests prescribed for that class of Officers and in addition to the above is well acquainted with the office work of an Inspecting officer.

22nd February 1892. (Sd.) T. T. LOGAN,
Inspector of schools southern circle,
Ag. *in the central circle.*

After Venkatarama aiyar had been working in this office for 6 months I recommended him for the Head Writership and he was appointed thereto in February 1890, and I am glad to find that he has given satisfaction to the three officers who have acted for me and he seems well acquainted with Educational rulings and Departmental proceedings.

16th May 1892. (Sd.) JOHN BRADSHAW,
Inspector of schools, Central circle.

I may add that since I have been in charge of this office. I have been satisfied with the way in which T. R. Vekatarama Aiyar has done his work and now that more res-ponsibility has been thrown upon him than seems to have been the case until comparatively, recently, he is gradually improving in efficiency.

October 1890. (Sd.) GEO. BICKLE,
Ag. *Inspector of schools Central circle.*

During the time I was in charge of the central circle I was very much pleased with the way in which T. R. Venkatarama Aiyar, discharged his duties as Head Clerk.

5th May 1892. (Sd.) GEO. BICKlE,
Ag. *Inspector of schools Central circle.*

1898
Edl. Dept.
No. 1
574.

CHIEF SECRETARY'S OFFICE
11 APR. 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Copy CURRENT No. 514

To

His Excellency

THE RIGHT HONORABLE, THE GOVERNOR,

in Council, Fort Saint George

Through the Director of Public Instruction,

MADRAS.

The humble memorial of T. R. Venkatarama aiyar, Sub-assistant Inspector of Schools, Hosur Range, most respectfully showeth :—

1. That he entered Public Service as a School Master in the Late Government High School, Saidapet, in 1880, and worked in various grades in the same institution till April 1887. Just before the abolition of the High School, he was in consideration of his good work, appointed *sub-protem* Deputy Inspector of boys' Schools (in a permanent vacancy caused by the death of an officer) and was told that he would be confirmed when he should pass the prescribed tests. Soon after, he was transferred to the charge of the Girls' Range in the Madura and Tinnevelly Districts, and, in December 1888, at the time of the reorganization of the Inspectorate, he was placed in charge of the 4th range, comprising the 3 Districts of Tanjore, Trichinopoly and Salem. In April 1889, he had the misfortune to offend an influential Manager of a Girls' School in Hosur (Salem District), and, on his (the Manager's) misrepresentations, the then Director of Public Instruction, the Late Mr. Grigg, removed him from his appointment without making a proper enquiry. On further representation the Director of Public Instruction, however, waspleased to convert the period of suspension from work as leave without allowances. This order was again modified ; and Mr. Grigg orderd that he would be provided with an appointment and that the break in service would be condoned; Until February 1890, however, he was not given any appointment as promised, and, he had to enter service anew on the recommendation of the Late Dr. Bradshaw as Head Clerk to the Inspector of Schools, Central Cicle. The Petitioner had to lose about 10 months pay or Rs. 750 in consequence of his not having been provided with an appointment as promised.

2. That as Head Clerk of the Inspector's office he worked under Messers G. H. Stuart, George Bickle, T. T. Logan, and Doctor Bradshaw, and he trusts his work was considerd by them as good, in proof of which he begs that the accompanying copies of testimonials may be perused. In

consideration of his good work he was appointed in June 1892 to Act as Sub-assistant Inspector Girls' Range, Presidency Division, for Miss Govindarajulu for 2 months. On the expiry of this acting period he was permanently appointed as Sub-assistant Namakal Range, (Salem District), and was posted to act for a Sub-assistant Inspector of Boys' Range, in Madura, in a Higher Grade. Just before the acting term in Madura expired, he was directed to go to the Anantapur Range to act for an officer for a month. As he was about to leave Madura he was asked by the Director of Public Instruction by telegram to state whether he was willing to act as Sub-assistant Inspector of Girls' Range, Presidency Division for 2 years. He replied he was willing to act on promotion or obey orders. While on transit he got orders to act for Miss Govindarajulu for 2 years, but the pay was less than that he had drawn while at Madura: Constant shifting on acting appointments for short periods caused him pecuniary loss of about 200 Rs.

3. That after working in his new range for some time he represented through the Inspectress of Girls' schools, Mrs. Brander his peculiar difficulties, and, on her recommendation, the Director of Public Instruction was pleased in his Proceedings No. $\frac{5254}{13-5-93}$ to hold out a promise to him that "the peculiar position of the petitioner will not be lost sight of when promotions and postings are being arranged". The petitioner continued to work in Madras on the same low pay for 6 months longer, and, yet, as no acting allowance or promotion was given him, he was obliged, in view to the pecuniary losses he was being put to, to apply for permission to revert to his permanent appointment in the Namakal Range. He was allowed to do so after finishing the returns and reports then due. But even after the returns &c., were finished he was not allowed to revert, and, again he was obliged to represent his difficulties and to request in addition to being permitted to revert, that some acting allowance might be given to him for the whole period with retrospective effect. By this time 20 out of 24 months had gone by. Under proceedings $\frac{0-585}{29-8-94}$ a temporary promotion was given him for the remaining months, and it was stated that the promotion "will not give him any claim for confirmation. As owing to the high cost of living in Madras he had become a debtor to the extent of 500 Rs., he again represented his difficulties and applied for a permanant promotion to the third class on Rs.100 or for an acting allowance for the whole period of 2 years and for reversion This request was backed up by Mrs. Brander and the Director of Public Instruction in his Proceedings No. $\frac{9035}{22-9-94}$ transferred him as *sub-protem* Sub-assistent Inspector of Girls' schools 2nd Range in a permanent vacancy. The petitioner did his travelling inspection and office work in the Districts of Bellary, Cuddappah, Anantapur, Kurnool, Nellore and Krishna for over 13 months and drew pay at 100 Rs. a month i. e. the *sub-protem* pay of the third grade. Though his grade was not mentioned in the said Proceedings apparently by an oversight, no objection has ever been taken to the rate of pay drawn. On being relieved at Madras the petitioner applied for 3 months privilege leave which he was told by the Director of Public Instruction he

would get on 100 Rs. pay if he joined his appointment, and got it accordingly after taking charge of the office at Bezvada. On the expiry of the privilege leave he joind the Bellary Girls' Range and worked hard as usual; Six months later he represented the difficulties of a poor officer in charge of Girls' schools in 6 Districts, and applied for an acting allowance. This application was not backed up by the Inspectress of Girls' schools who asked him to show more satisfactory work in certain directions before she could recommend him for an acting allowance.

4. At about this time he became alarmingly ill with malarial fever and he was obliged to take 3 months sick leave. On the expiry of the leave he was transferred from the Girls' Range to Cuddappah Boys' range. He had not fully recovered and the order of the transfer to Cuddappah brought on a relapse, and after a month's further leave he was advised by the District Surgeon Tanjore to apply for transfer to a nonfeverish District. He was not however transferred immediately. He worked hard in that range for about 10 months. During that period he sufferd heavily; himself was ill with fever on several occasions, and his wife, who got ill with fever, died. He thereatter applied for transfer to a Tamil Division with the result that he was transferred to the Hosur Range. The transfer itself was not quite unwelcome, but it was followed by a Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction to the effect that he had overdrawn pay while in charge of the Cuddappah Boys' Range and that he ought to refund Rs. 242—11—10 and he was further warned "he will be more severely dealt with, if he misbehaves again"

5. Your excellency's petitioner humbly craves permission to appeal against this unjust order which has now resulted in a heavy pecuniary mulct of Rs. 242—11—10.

The order of the Director of Public instruction directing the recovery of the sum was based on his Proceedings No. $\frac{0-585}{29-8-94}$ It is alleged that the petitioner was allowed to draw pay at 100 Rs. only so long as he was in charge of Girls' Range. This Proceedings no doubt states that; but the petitioner wrote to say that the condition about his being allowed to draw increased pay only so long as he was attached to the Girls' Range worked a real hardship and requested that he should be permanently promoted to the third class or that he should be given acting allowance for the whole period of 22 months during which he acted for Miss Govindarajulu. The Proceedings No. $\frac{9035}{22-9-94}$ runs thus. "M. R. Ry. T. R. Venkatarama aiyar Sub-assistant Inspector of schools Namakal Range and acting *sub-protem* sub-assistant Inspector of Girls' schools third range to act as Sub-assistant Inspecter of Girls' schools 2nd Range" The word *sub-protem* occurring in the Proceedings and the absence of all mention of the grade in which he was to act, taken with the fact of the Proceedings having been passed on his letter praying for a modification of para 2 of Proceedings No. $\frac{0-585}{29-8-94}$ induced a belief in

the petitioner that he was allowed to be a *sub-protem*, third class officer, pending the final sanction of the lower subordinate scheme, and that in fact the condition about the increased pay being drawable only during his tenure of office in a Girls' Range was superseded. This belief was confirmed by the circumstance that at no time was the grade of his appointment specified even when transferred permanently, and by the fact that the treasury officers to whom all the Proceedings about his pay should have been communicated never for once objected to his drawing pay at 100 Rs. It may also be pointed out that in the service register in which his pay after he joined Cuddappah Boys' Range was said to be 100 Rs. his immediate superiors countersigned the entries without any question as to the pay.

6. The above record makes it clear that the petitioner has been shifted from place to place and has been made to serve in 18 Districts of the Presidency during his service of 18 years; that he has suffered heavily in loss of pay and allowances and that he has not overdrawn any sums knowing that he was acting in violation of any order or without due justification. The Petitioner is a poor man and has long suffered in money and otherwise and he most humbly begs to request that even if his belief as to the rate of pay he was entitled to draw was not correct, he might be excused in view to the heavy losses he has suffered, the amount already refunded be directed to be paid back to him and that the grade in which he is *sub-protem* be fixed.

For wich act of justice and mercy your Excellencys' petitioner shall humbly pray.

Hosur
9th april 1898

T. R. Venkatarama aigar

Sub-assistant Inspector of schools,
Hosur Range.

Sathsamprrdaya Kalanidhi Press, Bangalore.

The original has today been submitted through the proper channel.

[G / 69]
500—14-5-98.

Enclosures to Govt. letter 1088 dt 26-7-98

Educational DEPARTMENT.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT. MADRAS.

Memorandum No. 1711.

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION 17 AUG 1898 MADRAS

READ the following paper :—

From T.R.Venkatarama Aiyar, Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Hosur Range, dated 9th April 1898.

\+\+\+\+\+\+\+\+\+\+\+\+\+\+\+\+

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for report as to where the original of the petition is at present.

OOTACAMUND,
13th August 1898.

for Secretary to Govt.

15.8-98.

To the Director of Public Instruction, Madras. With connl to the rtn with reply.

C.P. 16/8/98

salary drawn by him.

RR No. 11929 of 98

From Govt Edl.

No. 1711
13-8-98

Referring for report the petition of
T. R. Venkataramma Iyer, Sub-asstt
Inspector of schools, Hosur Range

Educational (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1237

DESPATCHED
17 SEP 1898

From The Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

Order

No. 10698

Dated 16 September 1898.

Received 19 SEP 1898

Registered 20/9/98

Enclosures 13 including the Govt. Endorst. & enclosure

Spare copies

Index to be written separately

SUBJECT.

Referring with remarks a petition from Mr. R. Rg. T. R. Venkata-ramma Asst. Sub-Asst Inspector of Schools, Hosur Range, appealing against ~~acceptance~~ the order of the Director withdrawing a portion of the salary drawn by him.

E.D. 19/9/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Enclosure 2 in Despatch No. 1 dated 16-9-89

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Read-Letter from the Inspectress of girls' schools, Southern Circle, No 1463, dated 13th May 1889.

With reference to endorsement No 3493, dated 16th April 1889, I have the honour to submit the following report:-

2. I forwarded Mr. Neelakanta Mudaliar's letter to the Sub-Assist-ant Inspector of schools, 4th range, for explanation of the charges brought against him and made arrangements to re-examine the school myself on May 3rd.

3. The Sub-Assistant's explanation, which I beg to forward, reached me at Hosur on the 4th of May i.e. on the day after the re-examination of the school and on the morning of the day on which I took the evidence of the teachers, so that I was able to compare that evidence with his letter.

4. Shortly summarised the charges are three-fold viz:-

(a) The Sub-Assistant did not give notice of the exact ~~date~~ day of his examination.

(b) That he did not begin the examination until 3-30.P.M.

(c) That he gave Arithmetic cards to the pupils of Standard IV at 5-30.P.M., that he would not allow any of the teachers to read the questions to the children, nor would he do so himself, and that at 5-45.P.M. he looked at the pupils' slates, said that he could see their methods were all wrong and that as they had failed in Arithmetic, it was useless to examine them further.

5. With regard to the first complaint the Sub-Assistant in para. 2 of his letter acknowledges that he did not give notice of the exact day of his examination. I do not, however, consider that the reason given is at all satisfactory, as although I had not travelled in Salem District myself before, I found that by means of the Railway guide and a careful calculation of distances it was quite possible to time my visits to each place not only within a day, but within an hour.

I strongly disapprove of the practice of examining a school for grants without giving previous notice of the day-both teachers and pupils are at a disadvantage, and the former, instead of being at liberty to conduct the work of the school, as usual, have to spend their time in preparing the mark papers and nominal rolls while the children, thus left to themselves or to the Inspecting officer,

frequently become confused and even disorderly and the results of the examination are often materially affected.

6. The Sub-Assistant denies the second charge stating in para.3 of his letter that he went to the school at about 1-30 P.M. To a certain extent the Sub-Assistant evades the third charge, the substance of para.4 of his letter only tending to show that he gave the children plenty of time, but he does not say when he gave the cards, nor how much time he allowed. On the day after the examination I questioned the three teachers separately with regard to these two charges at the house of Mr.Dumergue. In answer to my questions the headmaster made the following statements:-

The school hours are from 8 to 11 and 2 to 5. He teaches a private pupil from 11-30 to 1-30. was late that day and was returning to school a little before 2 O'clock when a friend told him that the Sub-Assistant was at the Choultry. Went to the Choultry, Saw the Sub-Assistant who informed him that he was coming to examine the school in about an hour. Remonstrated on the grounds that it was late and that being Shandy day many of the children could not be collected. The Sub-Assistant said he must go on to Krishnagiri that night and, therefore, must examine the school, Gave the Headmaster the mark papers and nominal rolls to prepare. The latter asked permission to inform the manager, but the Sub-Assistant said it was unnecessary. The Headmaster returned to ~~the~~ school arriving there at about 2-10, told the teachers of the projected examination, sent the conductress to collect those children who had not already come and began to prepare the papers. It was by 3 before all the children were collected. Said he knew it was 3-30 before the Sub-Assistant came ~~because~~ because he kept on watching the clock. The Sub-Assistant examined the lower standards first Said he knew it was 5-30 when the Arithmetic cards were given to Standard IV because he looked at the clock and because it was beginning to be a little dark in school. Remonstrated about the lateness of the hour, but the Sub-Assistant took no notice-only told him to go and find a cart to take him to Krishnagiri. The Headmaster refused, saying he could not leave the school as the manager was not present.

In about a quarter of an hour the Sub-Assistant collected the slates, said the methods by which the sums were worked were all wrong and that as the children had failed in Arithmetic it would be useless to examine them further-left the school a little before 6 O'clock.

The other two teachers' statements corroborated the above in every particular except that the second master said that he did not know the Sub-Assistant was coming until he saw him enter the school at 3-30. Miss.Murray, a European between 17 and 18 years of age who teaches needle-work in the school gave one or two details not mentioned by the Headmaster. They were to the effect that when the cards were given out the girls said that they could not understand the figures. One brought a card to her and asked if a figure in a fraction were a 4 or an 11, but that the Sub-Assistant would not allow her to tell the child. In writing a table one girl put 26 maund make one candy and when the Sub-Assistant examined her slate he said words to the effect that she evidently could not do her sums.

7. It will be seen that the above statements coincide with those made in the manager's letter. The manager says that his statements were chiefly founded on Miss.Murray's account of the examination. He met her by chance on the same evening as she was going home from school a little after 6 O'clock. Mr. and Mrs.Dumergue both speak very highly of Mr.Neelakanta Mudaliar and of Miss.Murray and say that the account of the whole affair which have been given to them at different times have never varied.

8. The following is a comparison of the results of the Sub--Assistant's examination and of my re-examination. I judged it best to re-examine the whole school:-

Sub-Assistant's examination			Re-examination		
Standard	Presented	Passed	Standard	Presented	Passed
IV	4	Nil	IV	4	4
III	3	3	III	3	3
II	3	3	II	3	3
I	8	5	I	7	3
Infant	15	13	Infant	19	10
Total	33	24	Total	36	23

The Arithmetic cards given given by me were of the same standard

of difficulty as those given by the Sub-Assistant, but care was taken to see that the children did not have the same cards The cards were printed rather indistinctly and I always allow the children to ask for an explanation of anything they do not understand, so long as their questions concern only the printing. All the Sub-Assistants know that this is my custom. Out of a maximum of 32 marks those gained by the four pupils were 22, 20, 16 and 13 respectively. One unusual feature in the Arithmetic was that while the two pupils who gained the highest marks, failed in mental Arithmetic, the other two each obtained 4 marks out of 8. The amount of grant earned at the Sub-Assistant's examination is Rs.64-9-7. The amount earned at the re-examination is Rs.102.

9. With regard to para.7 of the Sub-Assistant's letter, I have to explain that he has been under orders since early in February to enquire into the sanitary condition of the school at Krishna--giri, some defects having been pointed out by the Medical and Sanitary District officer. It appears to have been his intention to have gone on from Hosur, but instead of doing so, he obtained an estimate for whitewashing the school and providing the children with mats from the headmaster and in his letter No 498, dated 15th April 1889 gave as his reason for not giving the receipt of subsequent orders from me to examine two municipal girls' schools, at Kumbakonam, if possible within the official year. It is true that I did issue such orders, but without any intimation to the effect that they were to be carried out to the detriment of other work, or that previous orders were in any way to be neglected, I consider that it was his duty to have gone on to Krishnagiri.

10. After a careful consideration of the whole matter, I regret to have to state that in my opinion the charges have been fully substantiated against the Sub-Assistant Inspector and that I consider that not only is explanation unsatisfactory but that it also bears evidence of untruthfulness.

In accordance with the order conveyed in the latter part of your endorsement I beg to recommend that he be suspended for a month.

10. With reference to para.8 of this letter I have the honour to request the favour of orders regarding the issuing of certifying Memorandum.

No 0/160, dated 22nd May 1889

The Director has perused with much regret the Inspectress's report which shews a very serious dereliction of duty on the part of T.R.Venkatarama Aiyar, too serious to be punished by mere temporary suspension as recommended by Miss.Carr; more especially as this is not the first occasion of this officer being reported to the Director for misconduct (vide Proceedings No 6377, dated 28th August 1888). His name will accordingly be removed from the list of Probationary Sub-Assistant Inspectors for a period of one year, with effect from the 1st June next and he will not again be employed in the capacity of Sub-Assistant even after the expiry of that date, unless his services are applied for by an Inspector. The Director, however, has no objection to his employment as a schoolmaster in a subordinate position.

(Sd) H.B.Grigg,

Director of Public Instruction.

/True Copy/

Director of Public Instruction.

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Read-Letter from the Inspectress of girls' schools, Southern Circle, No 2004, dated 27th June 1889, submitting with remarks petition of T.R.Venkatarama Aiyar, late Sub-Assistant Inspector of girls' schools, 4th range praying for a review of the orders suspending him for a year.

No 5874, dated 16th July 1889.

After full consideration of the papers the Director declines to modify the orders passed in the petitioner's case expept that in consideration of the fact that he was in Provincial service immediately prior to his employment as a Probationary Sub-Assistant and that he had previously done satisfactory work as a teacher, he will be considered on leave without pay until he secures an appointment as a teacher. Proceedings No 0/160, dated 22nd May 1889, will be amended accordingly.

(Sd) H.B.Grigg.

Director of Public Instruction.

/True Copy/

Director of Public Instruction.

Enclosure to P.D.'s Letter No 1069 8
6-9-93.

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Read-Endorsement of the Inspectress of girls' schools, Northern & Central Circles, No 1889, dated 18th April 1893.

No 5254 dated 13th May 1893.

The Director cannot, in fairness, to M.R.Ry. Venkatarama Aiyar's seniors give him promotion at present; but his peculiar position will not be lost sight of when promotions and postings are being arranged.

(Sd) D.Duncan,
Director of Public Instruction.

/True Copy/

D Duncan

Director of Public Instruction.

Exd
M

458
8
1237

Enclosure to P.D.'s letter No 1069 dt 16-9-98

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Read-Letter from the Inspectress of girls' schools, Central Circle, 2799, dated 11th June 1894.

No 0/585, dated 29th August 1894.

Under the special circumstances represented, M.R.Ry.T.R.Venkatarama Aiyar, Acting Sub-Assistant Inspector ~~of~~ of girls' schools, third range, is temporarily promoted to the third class, with effect from the 1st September 1894, vice M.R.Ry. Mullick reduced to the Probationary class in Proceedings No 7571, dated 15th instant.

2. Venkatarama Aiyar will, however, be informed that this will give him no claim for confirmation in the third class when the reorganization of the Subordinate Educational Service is sanctioned and that he will be allowed to draw the increased pay only so long as he continues to act in the girls' range.

/Sd/ A.Monro

Ag.Director of Public Instruction.

/True Copy/

Director of Public Instruction.

Enclosure to P.D.'s Letter No 10698
16-9-98

No. 9035.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, dated 22nd September 1894.

Transfers.

Read the following:—

Letter from the Inspectress of Girls' Schools, Central Circle, No. 4279, dated 10th September 1894.

Endorsement of the Inspectress of Girls' Schools, Southern Circle, No. 4859, dated 19th September 1894.

Letter from the Acting Sub-Assistant Inspector of Girls' Schools, Fifth Range, dated 15th September 1894.

The Acting Director resolves to make the following transfers:—

M.R.Ry. T. R. Venkatarama Aiyar, Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Námakkal Range, and Acting sub. *pro tem.* Sub-Assistant Inspector of Girls' Schools, Third Range, to act as Sub-Assistant Inspector of Girls' Schools, Second Range, *vice* M.R.Ry. S. Hanumanta Rau, on other duty,—to join on being relieved by M.R.Ry T. R. Srinivasa Aiyar.

M.R.Ry. T. R. Srinivasa Aiyar, B.A., Second Assistant, Mangalore College, and Acting sub. *pro tem.* Sub-Assistant Inspector of Girls' Schools, Fifth Range, to act as Sub-Assistant Inspector of Girls' Schools, Third Range, Second Class, sub. *pro tem.*, *vice* Miss Govindarajalu on deputation,—to join on being relieved by M.R.Ry. P. Krishnama Chariyar.

2. The Acting Director regrets that, as there is no permanent vacancy and as there are other officers having prior claims to those of M.R.Ry. P. Ramanuja Chari, he cannot, at present, appoint this officer as a Sub-Assistant Inspector.

(A true Extract.)

(Signed) A. MONRO,
Ag. Director of Public Instruction.

To the Inspectress of Girls' Schools, Northern and Central Circles.
" " " Southern and Western Circles.
" Accountant-General.
" Treasury Officer.
Copy to Presidents, District Boards concerned, } for information.
" Chairmen, Municipal Councils concerned, }

(True Copy.)

Ag. First Asst. to the Director of Public Instruction.

1894.

SEPTEMBER.

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

MADRAS.

To

THE INSPECTRESS OF GIRLS' SCHOOLS,
NORTHERN AND CENTRAL CIRCLES,
AND OTHERS.

Dated September, 22nd.

Despatched

Received

22ND SEPTEMBER 1894, No. 9035.

Enclosures.

Making certain transfers among Sub-Assistants.

No. 1538.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, dated 17th February 1896.

Appointments.

The Director of Public Instruction makes the following appointments :—

Name.	Designation of present post.	Post to which appointed.	Remarks.
M.R.Ry. T. R. Venkatarama Aiyar.	Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Námakkal Range, and Acting Sub-Assistant Inspector of Girls' Schools, Second Range.	Ag. Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Markapur Range, in the Probationary class.	During the absence on furlough of M.R.Ry. M. Rangaswami Aiyangar.
M.R.Ry. P. Ramanuja Chari.	Headmaster, Government Training School, Bellary, and Ag. Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Markapur Range.	Ag. Sub-Assistant Inspector of Girls' Schools, Second Range, in the Third class.	*Vice* M.R.Ry. S. Hanumanta Rau Pantulu on other duty.

(A true Extract.)

Sd/ D. Duncan

Director of Public Instruction.

(True Copy)

D Duncan

Director of Public Instruction

To the Inspectress of Girls' Schools, Central Circle.
" Inspector of Schools, Central Circle.
" Accountant-General.
" Treasury officers, Anantapur, Kurnool, Kistna, Bellary, Nellore and Cuddapah.

1896.

FEBRUARY.

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
MADRAS.

To

THE INSPECTRESS OF GIRLS' SCHOOLS,
CENTRAL CIRCLE,
AND OTHERS.

Dated February, 17th.

Despatched

Received

No. 1538, 17TH FEBRUARY 1896.

Enclosures.

Appointments. — Making certain — among Sub-Assistants.

No. 99-C.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION, dated 27th May 1896.

Appointments.

The Director of Public Instruction makes the following transfers among Sub-Assistants:—

Name.	Designation of present post.	Post to which appointed.	Remarks.
M.R.Ry. J. Vittal Pant ..	Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Cuddapah Range.	Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Bellary Range.	....
,, T. R. Venkatarama Aiyar,	Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Námakkal Range.	Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools, Cuddapah Range.	....

(A true Extract.)

D. Duncan

Director of Public Instruction.

(True Copy)

D Duncan

Director of Public Instruction

To the Inspector of Schools, Central and Western Circles.
,, the Accountant-General.
,, the Assistant Inspector of Schools, Ceded Districts Division.
,, the Treasury officers, Bellary, Cuddapah and Salem.
,, M.R.Ry. T. R. Venkatarama Aiyar, Tiruvadamarudúr, Tanjore district, and Sub-Assistant, Cuddapah Range.

1896.

MAY.

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION.

MADRAS.

To

THE INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS,
CENTRAL AND WESTERN CIRCLES,
AND OTHERS.

Despatched

Received

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Read-Letter from T.R.Venkatarama Aiyar, Sub-Assistant Inspector of schools, dated 23rd June 1897.

No 7350, dated 7th July 1897.

M.R.Ry.T.R.Venkatarama Aiyar in appealing against the Director's Proceedings No 6496, dated 17th June 1897, would appear to justify his action on the ground that the spirit of certain documents (Proceedings Nos.5254, dated 13th May 1893, 10943, dated 14th November 1894, 9812, dated 6th September 1895 and C-289, dated 23rd June 1896) led him into the belief that he could take for granted that his appointment carried with it a salary of Rs.100. The Acting Director is unable to admit the force of this