

Educational (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 596

Dated 22-9-98.

INDEXED.



9-10

[Despatch Abstract.]

Stating that on the grounds mentioned  
by the Dist Judge the Exemption of  
Mullhan Rao cannot be sanctioned

T  
23/2/99

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

21/9/98  
 [G.]  
 25  
 1,000-16-3-98.

LOCAL AND MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 1009

SUBJECT.

Exemption to Malhari Rao.

## FOR ORDERS.

M. V.

I think we must say  
 that Govt. regrets it cannot  
 sanction a departure from  
 the rules on the grounds  
 mentioned by the District Judge.

As a matter of fact nothing  
 is so likely to stimulate  
 elementary education among  
 the class Mr. Morburn refers  
 to as the knowledge that  
 they will not get employment  
 unless they can pass the exams.

At 7.9.98

For the reasons given  
 in my note to the  
 Asst Secy. I reply,  
 I suggest exemption  
 be refused.

H.T.  
 15-9-98

Order No. 596 of 22.9.98  
 M. V.  
 The Govt. regrets that it cannot  
 sanction a departure from  
 the rules on the grounds  
 mentioned by the District  
 Judge. (From orders)

at 11/11/98  
 H.T.  
 20.9.98  
 To The Honble the C.G. with C.O. 940

8

NOTE FOR THE CHIEF SECRETARY.

The rules are put up  
+ flagged E.

Para I. states that no person  
shall be eligible for  
appointment to any of  
the posts classed as 'inferior'  
specified in Col. I of the  
Schedule A appended  
to the notification under  
which produces a certificate  
having passed the  
primary exam<sup>n</sup> or some  
other equivalent exam<sup>n</sup>.

Para 15(6) of the notification  
approved in G.O. No. 207  
15th Jan<sup>y</sup> 1889 (Flag A) (Ed)  
was notified that the  
exam<sup>n</sup> would come into  
force on the 1<sup>st</sup> April 1891.

The date of the introduction  
of the Primary exam<sup>n</sup>  
has been related to  
inferior appointments  
has been twice altered:

- (1) to 1<sup>st</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1894  
(v. para 4 of G.O. No. 483 Ed  
d 25th June 1891) (Flag B)
- (2) to 1<sup>st</sup> Jan<sup>y</sup> 1894 - (v. para 2(2)  
of G.O. No. 67 Ed. d. 2nd Feb<sup>y</sup>  
1891) (Flag C)

Aptt

NOTE FOR THE SECRETARY.

A copy of the Public Service notification  
put up. Please see art 1.

The rule came into force on the  
5 January 1897.

It had 8 years' notice then  
in para 15 (b) of the notification  
issued in G.O. no 17<sup>th</sup> & 15<sup>th</sup> Jan'y  
89 - Flag A. It was notified that the  
rule will come into force on the 1<sup>st</sup>  
Jan'y 1891. This was subsequently  
postponed, so far as relates to inferior  
appointments, to 1<sup>st</sup> January 1894 -  
vide para 4 of G.O. no. 483<sup>rd</sup> &  
1<sup>st</sup> June 1891 - Flag B. This was  
again postponed to 1<sup>st</sup> Jan'y 1897 - vide  
para 2 (a) of G.O. no. 67<sup>th</sup> & 2<sup>nd</sup> Feb.  
1894 - Flag C. MA  
1-9-98

The rule came into force  
on 1<sup>st</sup> Jan<sup>ry</sup> 1897. So that  
was nearly 8 years  
notice.

as  
2/9/98

The Judge

[L. & M. Dept.  
500-16-3-08.]

NOTE FOR THE Ass<sup>ts</sup> Sec<sup>y</sup>.

Col. alleges  
(a) hereditary character.  
(b) efficiency.  
(c) poverty.

If such recommendations are  
accepted, it cuts at the  
root of the Rules.

Please put up short note  
showing when they came  
into force, & with what  
notice, & put up the rules.

Col. does not say  
he cannot get a passed  
man, & if so it would be  
hard on the passed  
men to appoint Col<sup>'s</sup>  
nominee.

Judge

AL

#1  
25-8-98

the C. J. with C. E. 1/10

Educational  
Judicial  
Revenue (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 1009



Put 8/8/98  
562/97

From

The Registrar,  
High Court of Judicature,  
Madras.

Order  
no

Mathari Rao will be Ref. on C. No. 1716.  
exempted from passing the  
Primary income in view to his  
appointment as a peon.

Dated 28<sup>th</sup> July 1898.  
Received 28/8/98

Registered

Enclosures One.

Spare copies

28/8/98

7/8  
18-8-98

SUBJECT

Note.

No exact precedent can  
be traced.

The High Court order that the  
sanction may be granted  
A.C.

Recommending  
that Mathari Rao may  
be exempted from the rules  
for appointment as a peon  
in the District Court of  
Kannur.

To The Honble the C.J. with C.C. 4/10

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department.     | Date.   |
|------|-----|-----------------|---------|
|      | 562 | Public Services | 7-10-99 |
|      |     | Notification    |         |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |
|      |     |             |       |

17/16  
No. 65.

District Court, Kurnool.  
Dated 18<sup>th</sup> July 1898.

From,

W. M. Thoburn Esquire,  
District Judge,  
Kurnool.

To,

The Registrar of The  
High Court of Judicature,  
Madras

Sir,

I have the honour to ask The High Court will be so good as to recommend exemption from the new Rules requiring educational qualifications for the post of Peon in the case of Malhari Rau, whom I wish to appoint under the following circumstances. His father Honori Rau, a daftārband who was formerly a peon, died lately leaving a large family of whom Malhari Rau aged 18 is the eldest. He is a Chakrath, and an active intelligent young man. One of the peons has been appointed daftārband and there is thus a vacancy among the peons. Malhari Rau can actually read and write Telugu though he has passed no examination.

2. I beg to urge upon Government-

The desirability of a general exemption  
of this District or at least suspension  
of the application of the Rules of educational  
qualifications for admission to the Public  
Service. The new requirement causes  
great hardship to the families of  
persons now in service and to the large  
Mussalman population of this District,  
which has been accustomed to look  
for employment of this sort, and is ad-  
mitted by all practical men to be  
on the whole the class best fitted for  
such work. With rare exceptions they  
are too poor to educate their children,  
and so are the Simon persons and Constables  
now in service.

I have the honour, Sir,  
Your Most-Obedient Servant,

Wm. Thorneycroft  
District Judge

1009

Ref. No. 1716.

High Court of Judicature, Madras.

28<sup>th</sup> July 1898.

From

A. L. Stannard, Esq.,

Registrar of the High Court of Judicature, Madras.

To

THE HON'BLE MR. G. Stokes,

Chief Secretary to the Government of Madras.

HON'BLE SIR,

I am directed  
to forward a letter from the District  
Judge of Kurnool, dated 18<sup>th</sup> July 1898,  
N<sup>o</sup> 65, recommending that Malkari  
Rao, son of the late Daftarband  
of his Court, may be exempted from the  
Rules requiring educational qualifica-  
tions for the post of a pron as the  
District Judge wishes to appoint him  
permanently as pron. I am to request  
that

that in the circumstances stated  
by the District Judge, His Excellency  
the Governor in Council will be  
pleased to accord the necessary  
sanction.

I have the honour to be,  
Honourable Sir,  
your most obedient servant,

A. L. Hannay  
29/7/58  
Registrar.

When given for copying \_\_\_\_\_  
When copied \_\_\_\_\_ 189 .  
When examined \_\_\_\_\_  
When signed \_\_\_\_\_

READ AGAIN G.O., No.  
189 ,

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. ..

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH D. FO.

PAPERS NOT FILLED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.  
B = Not to be copied.  
P = Copy abstract only.  
Q = Copy heading only.