Educational (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 437

Dated 16-7-98

INDEXED.

A
16/7/98

23-23

[Despatch Abstract.]

Dismissing, with remarks, petition from ex-Burmah Medical pupil T. S. Sengamalam appealing against his dismissal from the Medical College.

I
16-7-98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Cuo	936/98	Lodged	23/7/98				
Cuo	1163/98	to 585/19/9/98					

[Edl. / 5]
1,000—5-3-97.

Educational (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 278

Med Coll Calendar
Petn Rules C

wr 19/3/98

N

fair 10/3/98

From I. S. Saufamalam
a pupil of the Medical College
Madras.

~~Order~~ On No. 643 / 10-3-98.

The peti- should submit copies of his petition to the Surg-Genl & his reply thereto.

On 8/3/98

MB 8/4/98

No.

Dated 25 Feb 1898

Received 26 " "

Registered 28 "

Enclosures

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Stating the circumstances under which he was dismissed from the College & praying that the order of dismissal may be cancelled.

Copd 10/3/98

To

The peti-r) Desp'd 11/3/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Da

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

101

CHIEF SECRETARY
26 FEB 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

His Excellency the Right Honourable
The Governor in Council
Madras.

May it please your Excellency.

The humble appeal petition of T. S. SENGAMALAM, *a pupil of the Medical College Madras.*

MOST RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH,

Flag

885
21-12 92

THAT the petitioner was dismissed from the College on the 2nd March 97.

2. That an appeal to Government lies under the Rules of the College published in the College Calendar page 40. That the Surgeon-General has declined to interfere in the matter under an erroneous impression that no appeal lies to Government (vide his letter No. 2750 dated 28th May 1897).

3. That the facts of the case, as given by Doctor Walker, Surgeon 1st District Madras are as follows :—

Extract from a Report from the Surgeon 1st District Madras and Superintendent Clemical classes to the Principal Medical College No. 73 dated 4—1—97.

* * * * * * *

3rd year private pupil T. S. Sengamalam was reported to me this morning (23rd December 1896) by 1st Class Hospital Assistant A. Ramasamy Modaliar in charge Female side out-patient Department under the following circumstances viz. The student entered the female out-patient department (against order) & presented an out-patient ticket out of turn, the Hospital Assistant said to him "You have no business here. What do you want?" the student replied "I want medicine for this man" "(the man had no business in the female out-patient Department)" the Hospital Assistant said "let the man sit down out-side and await his turn and you go away" the pupil then in a very insolant manner and in view of other patients, tore up the ticket into small pieces, which he flung down contemptuously saying "I don't care a damn for your medicine you may keep it."

The Hospital Assistant being very angry at this gross behaviour of the student he left his work immediately and reported the matter to me. I summoned the student to my office later on the same morning when he admitted the charge except that he says, his words were "you may keep your medicine" and not as stated by the Hospital Assistant.

I am of opinion that the version given by the Hospital Assistant is accurate. Even on the admission of the student he disobeyed rules in going into the

Female out-patient department when not on duty there, and his behaviour was grossly disrespectful and insubordinate to a very Senior Hospital Assistant (31 years' service) and subversive of discipline. I consider his conduct merits dismissal.

* * * * *

No. $\frac{\text{M.}}{1739}$

True Extract forwarded to 3rd year pupil T. S. Sengamalam who is directed to furnish *U/S* with a written explanation immediately.

(Signed) W. R. Browne M. D.,
Principal
Medical College.

4. That the petitioner begs to submit his own account which was given to the Principal of the College when he was called on for an explanation. “On the 19th December 1896. I went to the Female out-patient Department for the purpose of getting a dose of purgative for my sister. I had taken with me my brother-in-law As the purgative had to be administered early in the morning and as my work commenced at 7 A. M. I thought I could not wait till the time came and as I was personally acquainted with the Hospital Assistant, I entered the room, with the full hope of getting the medicine required. The Hospital Assistant said “you have no business here are you not aware that students should not represent. “I never thought he would speak to me these words. Probably he was in a bad mood and his words provoked my temper also. I said “I have not come to represent but to introduce a relation of mine who wants a purgative.”

Again the Hospital Assistant repeated his question, as if my words were not heard by him. He said “what do you want?” I replied “I want medicine for this man.”

“There is no order of the Surgeon-General under which I can give medicines to patients not present but are represented.” “Please Sir, read the order again. The issuing of medicines in cases represented is not absolutly probihited.” At this Hospital Assistant got angry and said “get away from here you learned fool or else I shall order the peon to turn you out.” “You need not take so much trouble, Sir I shall retire. And as for the medicine, I can get it elsewhere” I left the room. And my brother-in-law who had been witnessing the scene for sometime had already decamped. On my way to my work, finding the ticket in my hand useless, I tore it into small pieces and threw them away.”

5. That the petitioner begs to point out some of the material discrepancies, inaccuracies, and omissions in the official account:—

Firstly. The official account says that the petitioner “entered the Female out-patient department against order.” That the petitioner's work commenced at 7 A. M. and that as the time was about 6–30 A. M. he went there as an ordinary man (even supposing that the Hospital Assistant disowned him as his acquaintance). That the standing orders merely prohibit a student from loitering or wandering at duty hours; that at other times he is free to go any where.

Secondly. The official account says that the petitioner tore off the ticket in the room in the presence of the patients, the petitioner, on the other hand, can prove that the ticket was torn off outside the room on the way to his ward. That he cited two witnesses, (students) Narasimmaloo Naidu and Manuel who were not examined by the authorities.

Thirdly. The official account says that the man who had come to represent had no business. That except in the Gosha Hospital and the Egmore Female out-patient dispensary persons of the male sex are permitted to represent female patients.

Fourthly. That it is manifest that the quarel between the petitioner and the Hospital Assistant is not reported fully. That the words that actually passed between them could be ascertained from the above named witnesses whom the petitioner requests to be examined.

Fifthly. That the official account says that the event took place on the morning of the 23rd December 96. That the petitioner begs to state that the date of the occurrance was on the 19th of that month and that on the 23rd which was a holiday (the X mas holidays extending from the 21st December to 3rd January 1897) the petitioner did not attend Hospital. That the petitioner thinks that the Hospital Assistant must have been concocting in the interval a plausible charge to which the Doctor could be induced to belive. That the mutilated conversation as reported by the Doctor clearly proves this.

Sixthly. That the official account does not say precisely at what time in the morning the student (petitioner) misbehaved. That the Hospital Assistant did not specify the time because if the time was after seven, the roll call book maintained in that Hospital would disprove it and if before seven the rules did not apply.

6. That the petitioner begs to say that Dr. Walker did not hold any regular enquiry into the case. That on the day set apart for enquiring into the charges against students, he simply put him a few questions and without even taking down the evidence of the students above refered to, he has arrived at his conclusion “I am of opinion that the version &c. &c.

7. That when the petitioner applied to the Principal for a copy of his endorsement on the report of Dr. Walker, he peremptorily refused to grant one. That when the Surgeon-General was addressed on the subject, he declined to interfere and informed the petitioner that he could not appeal to Government. That Dr. Walker has called upon the petitioner's surety to refund the stipend which had been paid to the petitioner, during the four years of his course.

That these facts go to prove that Dr. Walker and through him the Principal and the Surgeon-General, refuse to bear the other side and to take an impartial view of the case.

8. That some petitions against the teaching of Dr. Walker had been sent to Government and Government had in reviewing the report of the College, remarked on the inefficency of the clinical teaching. That Dr. Walker had also at a meeting of the students said that the batch to which the petitioner belonged was rebellious

and that he would recommend some of them for dismissal. That from all these circumstances the petitioner thinks that Dr. Walker had suspected the petitioner as the anonymous writer of the petition sent to Government, and that he has pursued the petitioner with vindictiveness.

9. That the petitioner has completed the four years' course of study required of medical students and that his dismissal just before the Examination time has ruined his prospects. That the authorities in order to gratify their feelings have sacrificed the welfare and success of a young man.

That in conclusion the petitioner begs to direct to the attention of Government to paras 1, 7 & 8 and to implore the Government to do him justice by cancelling the order of dismissal.

For which act of kindness and justice the petitioner shall ever pray.

Tanjore
25th Feb 1898

He begs to remain
Your Excellency's most obedient servant
T. S. Sengamalam,
Ex. 3rd year Burmah Civil Hospital
Assistant Pupil
C/o. I. Vasudava Pillai
Eda street
Manambuchavady
Tanjore.

8
Edl.
4
634

Tanjore:—Printed at "The Patriot Press."

[Pub. / 29]
500—22-2-98.

Educational

Benvs

Edl. Cuo 278

Public (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. ~~1041~~ 383

N

Cuo 278 & this

W.Y.
28-3-98 ✓

Memo 643 / 98

E.C No 278/98
C [illegible] Rules

From J. S. Sengamalam
Ex 3rd year Burmah Civil Hospl Asst pupil

~~Order~~ Endt. No. 825
29-3-98.

Fair 28/3/98

Referred to the Surgeon-General for favour of report.

H.T.
25-3-98

S.S.R.
26.3.98

H.Q.
25/3/98

No.

Dated 14th
Received 15th
Registered 17th
March 1898

Enclosures —

Spare copies —

How can an appeal lie to Govt in such a case? H.T.
25-3-98

SUBJECT.

Submitting a printed Copy of his petition to the Surg: Genl re: his dismissal

Please see Rules 6 & 7 at page 40 of the College Calendar & G.O. no 885 Ed Q 21-12-92 flag G.

H.Q.
25/3/98

Note - no signed copy recd.

E. (Q) with papers in orgl to be retd.

Dispd
30-3-98.
K

This is [illegible]

[illegible]
19/3/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Petition		Rules C	
	315	: 10.5-93	Mis
	885	: 21-12-92	"
	241	: 19-3-92	"
	658-9	: 6.9-92	" abst
	743	: 24-9-91	Mis

473 : 17-6-91 "
and record papers

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Enclosure No. 2 to Letter No. 7/101 d/13/5/98

CURRENT No. ~~1041~~ 383

CHIEF SECRE
15 MAR 18
GOVERNMENT OF

FROM

T. S. Sengamalam
Ex 3rd year Burmah Civil
Hospital Assistant pupil.

To

His Excellency the Right Honorable
the Governer in Council
Madras.

My Lord

With reference to the letter of the Secretary to Government **M. No. 643** dated 10th March 1898 I beg to forward a printed copy of my petition to the Surgeon-Genral and his reply.

I beg to remain
My Lord
Your Excellency's most obedient servant
T. S- SENGAMALAM.

Tanjore
14 *March* 1898.

Maddi kera
Karnool District
16_5—97.

FROM

T. S. SENGAMALAM
Ex 3rd year remanded Burmah Civil pupil,

To

The secretary to the Surgeon-General with the Government of Madras.

MOST HONOURED SIR

I most respectfully and humbly begly, to submit the following few lines to your honour's kind and benign consideration.

I was dismissed from the Madras, Medical College by the surgeon-General for an alliged misconduct and the order of dismissal was communicated to me by the Principal of the Madras Medical College under his M. O. No. 2045 dated 2nd March 1897.

With a view of my appealing to Govt. against my dismissal, I applied to the Principal Medical College for a copy of his endorsement on the report from the Surgeon 1st District Madras dated 4th January 1897 No. 73 and he informs me in his No. $\frac{M}{168}$ dated 4th May 1897 copy (herewith submitted) that my requests cannot be complied with.

Now I am at a loss to know why I should not be permitted to submit an appeal to Govt. The only crime, I committed was "my going into the female out patient department before working hours" and this crime has been so much-exaggerated to my misfortune by the Hospital Assistant who was incharge of female out-patient dept. That the report made by the Surgeon 1st District brought on me dismissal which has entirely ruined my prospects.

I was entertained in the department on the 10th November 1892 as pre-Collegiate Burmah civil pupil at Tanjore and on completion of this course I joined the Madras Medical College on the 1st October 1893 and completed three years' course in the College At the College final class examination at the end of the summer session of 1896 I, unfortunately failed in more than one subject and I was therefore not allowed for the final Comittee examination and remanded for another year. While continuing my studies, in the beginning of March 1897 I was dismissed: From this it may be seen that I have now spent four years and some months in the department for no good at all. I am a poor man without any help having lost my father 5 years ago. As the charges brought against me, are too simple to merit, dismissal and as I have already completed 4 years' study including my pre-Collegiate course. I strongly believe that the Govt. will be pleased to consider my case favourably. Under the circumstances I pray that the Surgeon-General will be gracious enough to have a copy of the document applied for becaused to be furnished to me at his earlist convenience so that, I, may not lose time in submitting an appeal to Govt.

Maddikera
16—5—97.

I beg to remain
Most Honoured sir.
Your most obedient servant
T. S. SENGAMALAM.

Maddikera
Karnool District,
26th April 1897.

FROM

T. S. Sengamalam
Ex 3rd yeaar remanded Burmah Civil pupil.

To

The Principal
Medical College
Madras.

Most Honoured Sir.

I Most respectfully and humbly beg to request the favour of your kindly furnishing me at your earlist convenience, with a copy of your endorsement on the report No. 73 dated 4th January 97 from the Surgeon 1st District, Madras, with a view of my submitting an appeal to the Govt. against my dismissal from the College by the Surgeon-General.

I beg to remain
Most Honoured Sir
Your Honour's most obedient and humble servant.
T. S. SENGAMALAM.
Ex 3rd year remanded Burmah civil pupil.
C/o.
The sub-post master
Maddikera
Karnool District.

No. $\frac{M}{168}$

The request made in this application cannot be complied with.

4—5—97.

(Sd.) W. R. BROWNE M. D.
Principal.
Medical College.

No. 2750.

Officeof Surgeon-General with
the Government of Madras
Madras 28th May 1897.

To

T. S. Sengamalam
Late Burmah Medical pupil
C/o. Sub-postmaster
Maddi kera
Karnool District.

MEMORANDAM

You are informed with reference to your letter dated the 16th May 1897 that the Surgeon-General declines to interfere. No appeal lies to Government in this case.

(By order)
(Sd.) R. H. ELLIOT M, B.
Surgeon Captain
Ag. Secretary Surgeon-General
With the Government of Madras.

" SARVAKALAVATHI PRESS ,, TANJORE.

8
2od
3
634

Educational (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 634

Memo 825
29-3-98

SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT
14 MAY 1898
LOCAL & MUNICIPAL DEPARTMENT

Order

From
Surgeon Major General C. Sibthorpe
C.B., F.R.C.P.I.,
Surgeon General with the Govt.
of Madras.

No. 7/101

Dated 13th May, 98
Received 14th May, 98
Registered 17th May, 98.
Enclosures 4
Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Returning with remarks the appeal petition of Ex Burma Medical pupil T. S. Sengamalam.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

101.

Copy of the Proceedings of the Surgeon-General with the Gov of Madras, No.730, dated the 15th February 1897.

Read letter No.286, dated the 18th January 1897 from the Principal, Medical College, reporting the serious misbehaviour of 3rd year remanded Burmah Hospital Assistant Pupil T.S.Sengamalam in the Native Infirmary and recommending his dismissal as propose by the Surgeon, 1st District.

Read the pupil's explanation, dated 15th January 1897.

ORDER thereon- Burmah Pupil T.S.Sengamalam entered the female out-patient Department (against orders) of the Native Infirmary and presented an out-patient ticket for a female patient out of turn - and when spoken to by the 1st Class Hospital Assistant on duty, he tore up the ticket in a very insolent manner and in view of other patients and flung down the pieces ~~contemtuously~~ contemptuously saying "I don't care a damn for your medicine, you may keep it".

2. The pupil in his explanation gives a different version of the whole affair, but on the same morning when he was summoned before the Surgeon, 1st District, he appears to have admitted the charge except the use of the words "you may keep your medicine."

3. As this pupil has on six previous occasions been awarded punishments, the Principal, Medical College, joins in the recommendation of the Surgeon, 1st District, that he should be dismissed.

4. Under the foregoing circumstances and as the pupil appears to be a bad character, the Surgeon-General sanctions his dismissal and directs that his surety be called upon to refund the amount of the bond executed on his behalf as by his conduct he has violated its conditions.

(True Copy.)

R H Elliot

Surgeon-Captain,
Secretary, Surgeon-General
with the Government of Madras.

1898
Edl.
2
634

No 1242 VI. Madras,

29th April 1898.

To

The Secretary, Surgeon-General,
with the Government of Madras.

Thro'

The Prinicipal,
Medical College
Madras.

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
30 APR 1898
WITH GOVT. OF MADRAS.

T.S. Sengamalam was originally a Burmah stipended medical pupil; he was excluded from the Hospital Assistant's final examination of 1896, by the Principal Medical College, for failure in his class examinations at the College, and was remanded without stipend for a year;- at the Clinical Classes, Royapuram hospitals, he bore the character of being idle, careless and noisy, and disrespectful to his clinical teachers.

2. With reference to the insolent and insubordinate act which was the immediate cause of his dismissal, I have to state that I held a regular enquiry in my office into the incident on the same morning of its occurence ie. Wednessday 23rd December 1896, (I being then Superintendent of the Clinical Classes at Royapuram), on the occurence being reported to me, immediately after it took place, I sent a verbal message to the pupil to appear before me at the office at 9 o' clock, to which he is reported by the 1st Class Hospital Assistant A. Ramasawmy Moodr. to have replied "No I won't come". I then sent a written order to the pupil to appear, which he complied with.

3. Reference, para 4 of his petition:-

The extract from the students' report book as quoted by Sengamalam is correct; the entry is under date 23rd December 1896, the morning of the occurence, 1st Class Hospital Assistant A. Ramasawmy Moodr., again states to me distinctly, that the incident ~~re~~ as reported by me is accurate in all points and that the student's account as stated in his para 4 is false:

that no such conversation as he details took place, and tha no reference was made to an order by the Surgeon-General abo patients being represented by friends; no reference was made to his sister, but the student said, "I want medicine for thi man, here is the patient." The Hospital Assistant further states positively that as the student had just turned ~~away~~ aw from the prescribing table and was still in the prescribing room, and in view of the other patients there, he tore up the ticket into small pieces which he flung contemptuously down i that room saying simultaneously, "I don't care a damn for your medicine, you may keep it":- he then left and went to his work in the medical ward, and the Hospital Assistant came immediately to me and reported the matter, having the torn pieces of the ticket in his hand.

4. Turning now to para 5 of the student's petition and remarking on the clauses seriatum:-

"Firstly". 1st Class Hospital Assistant A. Ramasawmy Moodr. states positively that the incident occured after the Out-pati work had commenced, there being at the time about a dozen patie waiting in the prescribing room, and out-side sitting on the benches; - after 7 A.M. and nearer 8 o' clock than 7;- the student's presence in the Female Out-patient department at that time (not being on duty there), was therefore against an order that I had issued on this point;- the student ~~mere~~ was moreover, absent from his own place and work in the medical ward.

"Secondly".

The time and place of the incident of tearing up the ticket are dealt with in para 3 supra-

I asked the student if he had any witnesses, he stated that he had none; his statement that "he cited two witnesses, students Narasimulu Naidu and Manuel, who were not examined by the authorities" is absolutely false, so far as the enquiry held by me is concerned.

"Thirdly".

Nothing was stated at the time, by the student, to the effect that the man he had brought with him to the Out-patient department, represented a female patient; the student said "I want medicine for this man" :- any way the Hospital Assistan said "let the man sit down outside and await his turn, and you go away".

"Fourthly".

The word "quarrel" does not apply:- the student broke through rules, for which he was corrected, he then behaved in a most insolent manner to a very senior hospital assistant, who had previously been his clinical teacher, and for this he was promptly reported to me, and the consequent enquiry was held the same morning.

"Fifthly".

The incident occured on Wednessday the 23rd December 1896, and was enquired into the same morning, before the student left the hospital;- fortunately it was not postponed until Saturday, the usual day for dealing with reports against pupils for the week; such delay would have given the student an oppurtunity manufacturing witnesses: A certain proportion of pupils are on duty throughout holidays; Pupil Sengamalam was there on ~~that~~ the date specified.

"Sixthly".

The actual time does not seem very important; however, the Hospital Assistant states (vide supra), that it was "after 7 O' clock and nearer 8 O' clock than 7".

5. Reference on para 6. of the petition:-

The statements in this para are absolutely false, I hel a regular and impartial enquiry in my office on the very morning of the occurence, and I did not wait until the regular day for enquiry into reports against pupils ie. Saturday, because the behaviour of the student appeared to be so grossly disrespectful and insubordinate, that I considered it best to deal with it at once. As I have stated above, no witnesses were cited bythe student, who admitted the charge against him, except the word "damn".

6. Reference on para.8 of the petition:-

This para appears to be a fabrication throughout:- so far as I know, no petitions were sent to Government in connection with my teaching of the Clinical Classes, nor did Government animadvert on the subject, nor did I suspect the petitioner of being the writer of an anonymous petition of which I was and am unaware of the existence. I did however, subsequent to the dismissal of this pupil, draw the attention of the class to the occurence, and I held it up to them as a warning of the result of insubordination and misconduct.

[illegible]
7/9
17/5/88

Geo. L. Walker, M.D.
Surg. Lt. Col.
Ag. Surgeon 4th District

8
Edl
No. 1
634

[1]

CURRENT No. 634

No. 7/101

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
Ootacamund ~~Madras,~~ the 13th May 1898.

From

C.B.,
SURGEON-MAJOR-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, F.R.C.P.I.,
SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE ~~HON'BLE THE CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Educational DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

In returning the appeal petition of Ex-Burma Medical pupil T.S. Sengamalam forwarded with your memorandum No. 825 dated 29th March 1898, I have the honor to enclose for the information of Government a copy of my Proceedings No.750 dated 15th February 1897 which fully deals with the case. I particularly wish to draw attention to para 3 of the Proceedings from which it will be seen that the student has always been a bad character.

2. On receipt of the present reference, I forwarded it to Surgeon-Lieutenant-Colonel Walker for any further remarks he might wish to make. His report I enclose for the information of Government. He has fully met all the points raised in the appeal petition which contains several misstatements.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servnat,
C. Sibthorpe
Surgeon-Major-General,
Surgeon-General with the Government
of Madras.

Educl ~~Public~~ (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 747

Regd. file 13/6

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
10 JUN. 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

G.O.s on E.C. N 278 & 383/98

Ref 825 / 29-3-98

From

H. A. Stuart Esquire I.C.S.
Private Secretary to
H.E. the Governor

Order

No.

Dated 6th June 1898

Received 10-6-98

Registered 13-6-98

Enclosures.

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Transfers a petition dated 2 May 1898 from T. S. Sangam late Burmah Civil Medical pupil Medical College Madras praying that orders may be passed on his petition dated 25th February 1898 to enable him to rejoin the College on the 1st proximo.

By order

H. A. Stuart

Private Secretary

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT No. 747

To

His Excellency The Rt Honorable
The Governor in Council
"Stone House Hill"

The humble petition

of J. S. Senjamalam, late
Burmah Civil Medl Pupil,
Madras Medical College

Most Respectfully Sheweth

That your Excellency's humble Petitioner submitted to your Excellency an appeal on the 25th Feby last against his dismissal from the said Medical College for an alleged misconduct towards an Hospital Assistant

That your Excellency's humble Petitioner humbly begs to state that no reply has been received up to date and that he prays that your Excellency will be graciously pleased to give his Case your Excellency's

Excellency's favourable Consideration so that he may be enabled to join the College on the 1st July next the Commencement ~~of the~~ College winter term.

And Your Excellency's humble Petitioner, as in duty bound, will ever pray.

He begs to remain,
~~Your Excellency's Most Obt. humble Servant~~
T. S. Sengamalam.

Maddikera
(Kurnool Dt.)
29th May 98

~~Most Respectfully Yours~~

Address

C/o T. Vasudeva Pillai
Eda Street
Mannambuchavadi
Tanjore

[G. / 24.]
500—8-12-97.

DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No
Current No. 278 of 1898, dated 25-2-, from T. S. Sengamalam
" " 383 " 1898, " 14-3 , " do
" " 634 " 1898, " 13th May, the S. G.

ORDER

H. M.

A. S.
A short note shd be put up please as to the facts.
H
20.5.98

submitted
25/5/98

18/5/98

18.5.98

The Govt ~~is not prepared~~ declines to interfere in behalf of the petr.

The petr. - for
-- S. G. - for

FROM ORDERS.

C. S.

Ed - Dept -

4747

Cuos. 278, 383 & 634 of 98

Tam 14/6

O.M. - No. 50 M. D/ 11-6-98.

Para 3 of the Pro of the S.G., no 730 D/ the 15th Feb. 1897 states that ex-Burma medical pupil T. S. Sengamalam was awarded punishments on six occasions - The S.G. is requested to state what these punishments were and for what misconduct they were awarded.

(By order of the Secy)

S 98

10-6.

10/6/98

Note Despatches

Please return the file [illegible] ~~the~~ despatch.

10/6/98

To

The S.G.

Despd 13/6/98

11-6-98

Educational (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 846

CHIEF SECRETARY
-4 JUL. 1898
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

50 M
11 6 98

From Surgeon Major General C. Sibthorpe C.B. F.R.C.P.S.

Surgeon-General
with the Govt. of Madras.

No. 7/264

Order

Dated 4th July 1898

Received
Registered } 6th July 1898

Enclosures One

Spare copies ..

SUBJECT.

Forwarding copy of a Memorandum received from the Principal, Medical College, Madras, showing the punishments awarded to Ex Burma Medical Pupil Sengamalam.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[illegible] 9.7.98

Enclosure No. 1 to Letter No. 7/244 d/4-7-98

Memorandum showing punishments awarde
to Remanded 3rd Year Burma Hospital Assistan
Pupil T. S. Sungamalam.

No.	Offence	Punishments
1.	Long absence without leave from Hospital during the College vacation of Session 1893-94.	Fined 3 months' pay.
2.	Disorderly behaviour in Class.	Fined one day's pay.
3.	Absent from Muster 1-7-95.	Fined one day's pay.
4.	Absent from Hospital on 15, 22 and 23 Jany: 96.	Fined one day's pay and forfeited pay for 3 days.
5.	Absent from Roll Call at Hospital 27-4-96, and absent 28-4-96.	Fined one day's pay.
6.	Absent from Professors Examination in Midwifery June 1896.	Fined five days' pay

Absent also from Professor's Examination in other subjecte
Consequently Excluded from Final Examination and remai
without stipend.

Sd/: J. Maitland Md
Ag: Pl: Medl: Coll

23-6-98.

True Copy

1898
Educl Dept.
Enclos. No 1
To
C. No 846

[illegible] 9.7.98

[1] CURRENT No. 846

No. 7/244

OFFICE OF SURGEON-GENERAL
WITH THE GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,
~~Madras,~~ Ootacamund 4th July 1898.

From

SURGEON-MAJOR-GENERAL C. SIBTHORPE, C.B., F.R.C.P.I.,
SURGEON-GENERAL WITH THE
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE ~~HON'BLE THE CHIEF~~ SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
Educational DEPARTMENT.

SIR,

With reference to your Memorandum No 50 M, Educational, dated 11th June 1898, I have the honor to annex copy of a Memorandum received from the Principal, Medical College, showing the punishments awarded to Ex Burma Medical pupil, Sengamalam.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant,

C. Sibthorpe
Surgeon-Major-Genl.
~~Surgeon-General~~
with the Govt. of Madras.

Sbf c. 9.7.98
R 11.7.98

[G. / 25 A]
500-2-9-67.

Educational DEPARTMENT

C. No.s 278,383 & 634 of 98.

SUBJECT.

Petition from T.S.Sengamalam against his dismissal from the Medical College.

FOR ORDERS.

H.m.

To

The Assistant Secretary

The petitioner has been dismissed from the Medical College by the Surgeon-General for an alleged misconduct in the female out-patient department of the Royapuram Native Infirmary. Under rule 7 of the rules approved in G.O.No.885 Ed., d/ 21st December 1892, the Surgeon-General has the power to dismiss a student subject to appeal to Govt. flag G.

The official account of the misconduct is as follows:-

On the morning of the 23rd December 1896, the petitioner entered the female out-patient department of the Infirmary (against orders) and presented an out-patient ticket out of turn and when spoken to by the 1st class Hospital Assistant on duty, he tore up the ticket in a very insolent manner and in the presence of other patients and flung

down

down the pieces contemptuously saying " I don't care a damn for your medicine, you may keep it". The petitioner gives an altogether different version. According to him the altercation between him and the Hospital Assistant took place on the 19th December 1896 and not on the 23rd which was a holiday. The petitioner denies that he went into the out-patient department against orders; he states that he went there before 7 A.M. (that is, before his work in the hospital began) in order to get medicine for his sister. The standing order prohibits only students loitering or wandering during the hours of duty. The petitioner admits having presented the ticket out of turn. This he did because he could not wait till the turn came, having had to go to his work at 7 A.M. The petitioner also denies that he used the words "I don't care a damn &c" and that he tore the ticket before the Hospital Assistant and in the presence of other patients. Dr.Walker who was Surgeon of the 1st District in 1896 and who inquired into the matter on the date of the occurrence reports that the version of the petitioner is false. The Surgeon-General accepts the report of Dr.Walker.

(Intd) T.K.
25-5-98

To

The Secretary

Dr.Walker further states, that he held a regular enquiry into the occurrence that very morning; that he sent a verbal message to the pupil to appear before him at the office at 9 o'clock, and that 1st class Hospital Assistant A.Ramaswamy Moodaliar reported that the petitioner replied "No, I won't come". A written order was then sent. This reply, it is submitted, was a very gross piece of insubordination in itself and as the torn ticket was brought straight to Dr.Walker as soon as the original occurrence took place there is not the slightest ground for believing the petitioner's story or reversing the Surgeon-General's order.

A draft order declining to interfere on behalf of the petitioner is accordingly submitted.

(Intd.) S.G.R.
26-5-98

The notes above omit perhaps the most important point in the whole case as justifying the extreme punishment of dismissal - that is the fact that the petitioner had "on six previous "occasions been awarded punishments" - vide Surgeon-General's Proceedings d/ 15-2-97. Please ask the Surgeon-General to state what these punishments were and for what misconduct awarded.

(Intd.) G.S.F.
7-6-98.

Please see Cur. 846. below - flag H.

ak
7/7/98

[illegible]
8-7-98

H.M.

Flag H. shows a bad record for the Student.

Submitted that a careful comparison of para. 4 of the (printed) Petn. of Appeal with Dr. Walker's report set forth in para 3 of the same petn., can leave no doubt that the complaint of insolent behaviour was substantially well-founded. Indeed, the Student practically admitted to Dr. Walker everything but the oath.

It is suggested that the appeal may be dismissed.

HT
8 7 98

The gross insubordination of the petr. is clearly proved and in view of his previous bad record the punishment of dismissal is fully deserved. The appeal should be dismissed.

AA 11.7.98

[G / 24.]
500—30-3-98.

Ed DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. 278 of 1898, date 25th Feby. , from T. S. Sengalam
" " 383 " 1898, " 14th March , " do
" " 684 " 1898, " 13th May , " the S. G.
" " 747 " 1898 " 6th June " " the P. S.
" " 846 " 1898 " 4th July " " the S. G.

ORDER. No 437 of 16-7-98
Mis

Secl

The Govt. considers that the gross insubordination of the petitioner is clearly proved and that in view of his previous bad record the punishment of dismissal is fully deserved. The appeal is therefore dismissed.

AE
12.7.98

HT
14 7 98

To
the petr.
— S.G.
— D.P.I. } 900
Despd
19/7/98

en given for copying
en copied
en examined 16/7
en signed 18/7
1898.

D AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

ENT No.

LOSURES TO C. No.

OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

TTER OF GOVERNMENT.

EMENT WIT DITTO.

NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
N ORIGINAL.

N.B.—

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.

16-7