

Educational (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 365

Dated 21-6-98

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Sanctioning the supply of the
"Indian Journal of Education" to the Joint Training
Schools, Calicut & Coimbatore, and of the "Educational
Review" to the Asst. Inspector of Schools, Ponnani-
Kistna division, beginning with the volume
for the current year 1898.

21/6/98

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
801:	27.9.97		
R 269:	2.3.97		
R 268:	1.3.97		
465:	10.6.97		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Current No 708

CURRENT No 708

No 6010

From

The Honourable Mr. D. Duncan, M.A. D.Sc. L.L.D.,

Director of Public Instruction, Madras.

To

The Chief Secretary to Government,

Financial Department, Ootacamund.

Sir,

I have the honour to request the sanction of Government for the supply of the Indian Journal of Education beginning from 1896 to the Government Training Schools, Calicut and Coimbatore and the Educational Review beginning from 1896 to the Assistant Inspector of schools, Godavari-Kistna Division. The charge will be met from the contingent allowance of the office or institutions concerned. Owing to an oversight on their part, the officers concerned failed to apply for sanction in time.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

D. Duncan

Director of Public Instruction.

Agst
NOTE FOR THE SECRETARY.

Please see the Secy's remark
on cno. 708 below. The fact is
this. ~~The fact~~ The officers in question
were getting the periodicals
from 1896 without the sanction
of Govt. The A.G. has apparently
objected to pass the charge; hence
the sanction of Govt is now
applied for. It is not a case
of getting back numbers of
the periodicals; it therefore
seems necessary to sanction the
purchase from 1896.

7/2
14-6-98 The Secy says that it is
unnecessary to help the
proprietors of the Periodicals
to get rid of back nos.
I don't see why it is necessary
to sanction the purchase
from 1896. The sanction
should have been applied for
before ^{going the order} *16.6.98*

Please see the copy, remark
 the two 708 letters. The first is
 this. The second is a copy of the
 were dated the 1st of January 1898.
 from 1898 without the sanction
 of Govt. The 2nd is a copy of
 objected to have the change, but
 the sanction of Govt is now
 applied for. It is not a case
 of getting back members of
 the Committee; it is therefore
 deemed necessary to sanction the
 franchise from 1898.

The Secy. says that it is
 necessary to call the
 Proprietors of the Town and
 to get rid of the 708 letters.
 I have been asked to write
 to have the 708 letters
 from 1898. The Committee
 will have been asked to
 have the 708 letters
 from 1898.

When given for copying _____
 When copied _____
 When examined _____
 When signed _____

READ AGAIN G.O., No.
 189 ,

2nd copy to 708 made
 27/6/98
 signed 28/6/98
 DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
 A = Copy in full.
 B = Not to be copied.
 P = Copy abstract only.
 Q = Copy heading only.