

11/6/98
Educational (1898) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 228

Dated 11-5-88

INDEXED

10-10



11/5/98

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing R. Kaimah,
a lock up in the Koddavari Central
Division, that he is not eligible to hold
an appointment on Rs 15 or upwards
& that the Govt is not prepared to
relax the rules in his favour; and re-
questing the Council for Govt Exam^{ns}
to reverse Note (1) to the Public Service Noti-
fication so as to make it clear that
the holding of a permanent appoint-
ment before the 7th July 1894 does
not necessarily carry with it the
right to promotion to posts having
a pay of Rs 15 + upwards.

T-11578

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CNO 1134288

[6/6]
1,000-2-3-87.

Educational (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 557

224/93
1903/91
96/97
Put in file

From

Public Works Dept

No. 2231. C.

Order

The Govt is not prepared to comply with the request of the petitioner.

2. A copy of G.O. no 96 Ed D 16th Feb 97 will now be furnished to the Commr for Govt Enam, and he will be requested to revise note (1) to the Public Service Notification so as to make it clear that all who held permanent appointments before the 1st July 1894 are not eligible for promotion to posts carrying a pay of Rs 15 or upwards & classed as Superior in the C.S.R. The entry of a ~~shorter~~ date in the note makes it misleading & should be omitted.

Dated 23-4-98.
Received 25-4-98
Registered 25-4-98
Enclosures 3.

Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Transferring to the Ed Dept a petition from A. Ramiah, a lock Inspector of Madras Central D., with ref. to G.O. No 96, Ed, dated 16th Feb 97.

The PWD also
C.L.R. 940 with copy to 96 Ed
13.5.98
16.2.97

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	96	Est.	16-2-97
	17-a	-u-	13-1-97
	56-u	-u-	29-1-96
	224	origl -u	5-4-93
	903-a	-u-	4-12-91
	Public Service notifiy		

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

No 54 G. Dated 29th April

From The Executive Engineer
Godavari Western Dn

To The Superintending Engineer
First Circle

Sir

In reply to your No 218 G.D. dated 22 Instant, I have the honor to inform you that it is proposed to give R. Kamiah Rs 14½ about the minimum pay of class 5th class 1st Grade while he acts as Irrigation writer.

2. I have recommended Kamiah for the Acting post as he has about 3 years service on the temporary works establishment, the last work being as gunmaster on Reconstructing Dn Offici Chethipett And as such has come a great deal under my personal observation and the way he kept the accounts and his general intelligence convinced me that he would be a great acquisition in this office where there is a deficiency of practical hands.

I have &c

(Signed) B. H. Young.
Ex: Engineer
G. W. Dn



Order dated 5.4.93 No 224 Operational

In G.O dated 4th December 1891, No 903, Operational, a rule was laid down debarring from promotion, or Confirmation, as the case may be, all unpassed persons holding permanent or acting appointments to which the primary School Examination rules are applicable.

Upon reconsideration, however, the Government is disposed to question the expediency of regulating the promotion of servants drawing less than Rs 15 per mensem by rules which were not applicable at the time of their appointment to the public service.

Accordingly the Government has

3204/98



has resolved to modify the rules
 approved July 0 dated 4.12.91
 to go 3rd Educational and to amend
 the Public Service Notification so
 as to render it clear that the rules
 therein contained have no
 retrospective effect.



1898
 557

1898
 3204/98

Through proper channel.

1361
 7-3-98
 1339
 no 711 R
 submitted to

7/3/98

7-3-98

no 2044 R
 Forwarded



11-3-98

The Council in its resolution
 no 398 of 28th Oct-95
 under clause 3 of the 5th
 24th Jan'y 96 - May 96

To

The Chief Engineer
 P. W. Department
 Madras.

Most honored Sir

With reference to
 G. O. no. 687 W dated 9.3.97
 regarding examination rules
 communicated to me by the
 Executive Engineer, Godavari
 Central Division with his no 1
 dated 1.4.97 I respectfully
 beg to bring the following few
 lines for favourable re-
 consideration.

I beg to quote below note I to
 Public Service Notification
 revised up to 31.3.97 published
 at page 267 of the Fort Saint
 George Gazette No 14 dated
 4th May 1897.

"The rules contained in this
 notification shall in no wise
 affect the promotion of
 persons who held permanent
 appointments before they first
 came into force on 1st July
 1894 provided that their
 promotion was not already
 barred by any rules previously
 in force."

That I have fully satisfied

from these hard and fast
rules, for which out of
generosity I, as in only bound,
shall ever pray.

I beg to remain
Most honored sir
Your most obedt servt

R Kaniah
Lock Supt

11th April 98.

No 14

162

Forwarded for favourable
consideration

A. Changan
Engr

despd 3-4-98 2-4-98

No 18 G.D

5/4 98.

petition

Forwarded. The ~~petition~~

if in the words and
handwriting of petitioner
which there is no reason
to doubt, shows that

his services are worth

Rs 15/- per annum.

Station. Watten

Dated 5-4-98

Despd 7-4-98

Ex Engr

(I)

Education
Public Works (1898) Department.

CURRENT No. 3204



From W. De Morgan Esquire
Executive Engineer in charge I. C. W.

No 2231C d/ 23-4-98
Order Smt.

Transferred
to the Education Dept
with reference to No.
No 96 dtd date 16.
Feb 1897.

As Kaniah
under Smt Smt
Mud

No. 18 G.D

Dated 5th April 1898

Received 12

Registered

Enclosures 2

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Petition from R. Kaniah,
Lock Superintendent of Godavari
Central Division - Submitting the
— with his remarks to the effect
that his services are worth Rs 15/-
per annum as represented by him

o.L.S 34
R2 3/4

BACK PAPERS.

No.	Date.
687W	9-3-97
3316W	26-11-97
1234C	22-10-97
527W	7-3-95
810W	2-4-95
716C	8-2-96
830C	13-2-96
685W	24-2-96
2045C	23-4-96
1751C	30-3-96
1390W	25-5-97
2028C	8-4-97
687W	9-3-97
733C	5-2-97
1702W	8-6-96

FURTHER PAPERS.

It is not enough to have
an object with which to
fill the eye, it is not
enough to have the
eye filled with the object.

The meeting was 1 to the 10
to be revised with 1 to the 10
1 to the 10

8d

Put up G.O. No. 61 of 23.1.95

One more reason is related to music in the song seems to think that the

Subd. Hay Co
Feb
8-5-98

The pet. was informed by G.O. no. 96 of 16-2-97 that he was not qualified to get promotion to a 15 Rs post; in his present pet. he requests that if Govt. shall adhere to the view expressed in G.O. 96 of 16-2-97, he may be exempted from the Examn. rules. It is with ref. to this request that the G.O. is drafted.

If a change in the working is considered desirable, the G.O. may be altered as follows: - " The poli. will be informed

No.	Date.
697W	9-3-97
3316W	26-11-97
4234C	22-10-97
527W	7-3-95
810W	2-4-95
716C	8-2-96
830C	13-2-96
698W	24-2-96
2045C	23-4-96
1751C	30-3-96
1390W	25-5-97
2028C	8-4-97
697W	9-3-97
733C	5-2-97
1702W	8-6-96

that he is not eligible to hold an appointment on Rs 15 or upwards & that the Govt. is not prepared to relax the rules in his favour.

The existing note 1 to the P.S.V. has to be revised with ref to two points:

(1) To make it clear that all who held permanent appointments (such as persons, attendants, etc.) on the 1st July 1894 are not entitled to get promotion to posts on Rs 15 + upwards in the Superior Service &

(2) To make it clear ^{that} all the rules in the P.S. not ~~for~~ did not come into force on the 1st July 1894.

The Secy seems to think that the Commission is asked to omit only the date. Not at all. He is asked to revise the note in accordance with the rules as printed in G.O. 16-2-97, 13-4-97 & 29-1-96. & to omit the special date. (G.O. no 911 & 24-9-95).

7/3
5/7/98

[G. 75 A.]
300-8-3-97.

Cuo 557

NOTE FOR THE SECRETARY.

The pet's case was disposed of by G.O. no. 96 Ed. & 16th Feb. 1897. ~~He~~. The fact that he held a permanent appointment on Rs 12 on the 1st July 94 does not make him eligible for promotion to a post on Rs 15 or upwards. The meaning of note 1 to the Public Service Notification is that ~~the~~ persons who held permanent appointments on Rs 15 + upwards on the 1st July 1894 but who are not eligible, under the rules which came into force on that date, to hold such posts, are not debarred from promotion. The note may be made clearer on this point & the Commission for Govt. examns may be asked to do it. The entry of the date 1st July 1894 in the note is misleading as all the rules in the notifs did not come into force on that date. For example, the Primary Rules used in the case of inferior servants came into force on the 1st Jan'y 1897. ref note ~~in~~ in G.O. no 911 Ed & 29-11-95. flag. P. The date may therefore be omitted. A draft is accordingly ~~being~~ ^{submitted} for revision.

A.S.

No

I don't like the wording of the draft.

2. As Petrus contends he is qualified, it is not enough to say Govt. is not prepared to comply with his request.

2. Nor does the proposed omission of a specific date seem enough.

Cannot the Comm. be told to revise in accordance with G.O. 16.2.97.

13.1.97.
29.1.96. on B.P. 394
24.9.95. 28.10.95

Perhaps 23.1.95 - ?
But if you differ from us let me have your views again. Other wise please draft.

H.T.

25-9-8

⊗ That is what is intended by the draft as originally worded. To prevent mistakes a fresh draft is submitted, the para. addressed to the

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G.O., No.	, dated	189 .
Again G.O., No.	, dated	189 .
Current No.	of 189 , dated	, from
" "	" 189 , "	" "
" " 557	" 189 , " 25. 4. 98	" " P.W.D

ORDER. *W^o 2982115-88*
This

1. The petitioner will be informed that is not eligible to hold an appointment on Rs 15 or upwards and that the Govt. is not prepared to relax the rules in his favour.
2. Copies of the marginally noted G.O.s. ^{with} and of the papers out of which they arose will be forwarded to the Commissioner for Government Examinations and he will be requested to ~~re~~ revise Note (1.) to the Public Service Notification, ^{so} as to make it clear that the holding of a permanent appointment ^{necessarily} before the 1st July 1894 does not ^{carry} the right to promotion to posts ^{with} having a pay of Rs 15 ~~or~~ upwards and classed as Superior in the C.S.R. The entry of a specific date in the note gives rise to confusion ^{as} ~~and~~ all the rules under the notification ^{did not come into force simultaneously.}

* G.O. 96 Ed. 216.2.97
G.O. 17 Ed. 213.1.97
G.O. 56 Ed. 229.1.96
G.O. 782 Ed. 224.9.95
G.O. 61. Ed. 223.1.95

*Refer to G.O. 782 Ed. 224.9.95
need from 25/5/98.
G.O. 24/5/98.*

To The PWD - 940 para 1 only
" C.F.R. - 250
with copies of 5 G.O.s. & one printed notice
28.5.98

