

6
259-96-7-9

26-1-10.
Educational (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 794-5

DATED 30-12-96

INDEXED.

W 5
a/1

[Despatch Abstract.]

Addressing the Govt of India on the sub-
ject of the payment to Govt officers of
remuneration for conducting University
public exam^{ns}.

Letter only printed -
PRINTED IN G.O., dated

7.7.97 1897, No. 380.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
465 of 97	380	ES	7.7.97				

Educational (189) Department.

CURRENT No. 1320

From

The Accountant General,
Madras

Order

No. 3440

Dated 10 November 1896

Received 11 - 11 - 96

Registered 12 - 11 - 96

Enclosures

Spare copies

SUBJECT.

Suggesting a reconsideration of the Government Order N° 662 Educational dated the 26 October 1896 as the decision of the Government of India Resolution referred to does not avoid the necessity for the special sanction required under Article 91 of C.S.R. which should be obtained before the remuneration can be accepted.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date
	662	Est	26-10-96
	109	"	3-3-83
		etc. R.O. for	

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO 1320

FORT ST. GEORGE,

Accountant-General's Office,

10th November 1896.

No. 3440

DEPARTMENT.

From

F. C. Harrison Esquire,

Off. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,

To

THE *Chief Secretary to Government*
Educational Department,

SIR,

With reference to Government Order No 662
flag R Educational dated the 26th of October 1896 stating
§ 74(c)-4 that the sanction required by Article 91 (c) of
the Civil Service Regulations for Government
Servants accepting fees from the University is
already accorded in paragraph 7 of the Resolution
of the Government of India N^o 5 (Judicial)
dated 3rd February 1877 printed in Madras Govern-
ment Order N^o 96 Judicial dated [3rd February
1877] I have the honour respectfully to point
out that the question discussed in the
Government of India Resolution referred to was
whether Government Servants could or could not
be remunerated for conducting examinations.

flag S.
(15 March 1877)
8/7

It was decided that they could be remunerated
for conducting University Examinations ^{or} ~~as~~ _{in}
for any other legitimate labour of a non-
official nature. This decision does not avoid
the necessity for the special sanction re-
quired under Article 91(c) Civil Service § 74 (c)
Regulations which should be obtained _{4th edition}
before the remuneration can be accepted.
I would therefore respectfully suggest
a reconsideration of the order under
reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obed^t servant,

W Michael

For Off. Accountant General.

WR 7/11

(189) DEPARTMENT.

From

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,
MADRAS,

To

THE

No.

Dated

Received

No.

Subject.

G. 26
500-3-10-96.

C. No. 132007-96.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE MR. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. GROSE, C.I.E.

SUBJECT.

Acceptance of remuneration from
the University by officers of Govt.

FOR ORDERS.

flg R

If the view of the A.G. which appears to be technically correct is accepted, the sanction of the Govt of I to the acceptance of the remuneration by the officers concerned will be necessary, as the amount in many cases exceeds Rs 500. In the lists under cover of G.O. no. 662 Ed D 26th Oct-96, there are judicial & other officers and properly speaking the sanction of the Govt of I to the acceptance of remuneration by these officers should be sought by the administrative depts concerned. Also this sanction of the Govt of I will have to be obtained year after year as the University can not but continue to appoint some Govt officers as examiners. The

adopted in other provinces in the matter is not known. Perhaps the A.G. may be asked to state what it is?

We must apparently get the sanction of the G.O., requesting a general authority for passing such honoraria to public officers for University examinations.

This will obviate the necessity of each dept. addressing the G.O.

No public officer should undertake an exam. without the previous sanction, either special or general, of the Dep. to which he belongs

[Faint handwritten notes and signatures at the bottom of the left page]

10/12/96
25H 23.12.96

Office
Is this right?
no 7/12

[G]
118
390-16-11-00.

Ed Department.
C. No.

TELEPHONE MESSAGE

From

The Secy. Ed Dept.

To

The A.G.

Dated 19th March 1897.

(next page)

page 7

Your telephone message of yesterday regarding payment of remuneration to Govt officers who have served as University Examiners during 1896-97.

You may pass the remuneration in case of officers sums above Rs 500 without sanction of the authority referred to pending reply from the Govt of I. to G.O. no. 794 Ed-2 30th Dec. 96. Sanction of the Govt may be inferred in case of sums up to Rs 500

19/3/97
15/28
19/5/17

in other channels
a similar

Papers to be read in proceedings:--

Again G.O., dated 26th Oct. 1896, No. 662
Again G.O., dated 189, No.
Current No. 1320 of 1896, dated 11th Nov, from the A.G.
" " " 189, " " "
" " " 189, " " "

ORDER.
mis.

N^o 794 of 30-12-96

The Govt of I. will be addressed
(from orders)

To the A.G. (40) - N^o 795. 187
Letter to

The Secy to the Govt of I.
Home Dept.

Sir,

I am directed to address
you on the subject of ^{the payment to} Govt ~~exam~~
~~receiving~~ remuneration for conduct
University examinations and other
~~public~~ examinations. ~~proceeding~~

2. In para 7 of the Home Dept
Resolution No 8 & 3rd Feb. 1877
it is ruled that Govt servants
may conduct University exam-
inations and other examinations
a similar

500-28-10-96.

H.O.
189, the Governor.

21/12/96

A.S.H.
23.12.96

remuneration for their services. Accordingly Govt servants are in the habit of accepting examinations ⁱⁿ the University and of receiving ~~remuneration~~ ^{honoraria} therefor without obtaining any special permission for doing so. The A.G. objects to this practice and considers that Govt ~~officers~~ ^{officers} should previously obtain the special sanction required under ~~where~~ ^{where} art 91(c), C.S.R. In the opinion of H.E. the G-in-C the A.G.'s view of the question appears to be correct, but as it will necessitate frequent correspondence with the Govt of I. in the cases in which ~~whenever~~ the amount of remuneration to an officer exceeds Rs 500, I am directed to request that ^{if there is no objection} this Govt may ^{also given authority} be ~~authorized to~~ ^{also} sanction under art 91(c) the acceptance by ^{such persons} ~~Govt servants~~ of ^{honoraria} ~~remuneration~~ exceeding Rs 500 in amount ~~where~~ ^{where} such honoraria are offered for ~~an~~ ^{an} ~~exam~~ ^{exam} of University & other public examinations, which this Govt may have permitted them to undertake

§ 74(c) - 4th edition

§ 74(c) - 4th edition

ion given for copying 11
has copied 4/1 } 1897
then examined
then signed

AD AGAIN G.O., No. 132

DATED

RENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO G. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

SENT WITH DITTO.

UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
CAL.

2-6-93 ✓
17-2-11 BI ✓

8/10/64