Educational (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 708

Dated 13. 11-96

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing Veeraraghavaiyar, Revenue Inspect District of Madura, that his requests to be exempted from passing the Reve and Account Tests or to be permitted to appear for the Account Test once more cannot be grante

wd
13/11

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C No 659/97							

0/18 —5,030—7-9-93.

(1896.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

Current Number. 5234

Duplicate copy of petn

From

S Veeraragavadyar

Rev. Inspr:

Dindigul Tq

Madura Dt

424/96

R409/96

358
441/95

Dated 14-7-96

Received 21-7-96

Enclosures

846/95

No.

260/95

641/94

SUBJECT.

Requesting permission to appear for the Account Test Exn: this year

Govr R

of 1 [illegible] As [illegible]

Prior recent Go. on the appearance of Jaganatha Row, the papers perhaps put up the GOs permitting Some Rev. Ins. who have passed the account tests to sit for the higher [illegible] [illegible]

P.T.O

Refd
S.R.7.

Educational Depr

ABSTRACT.

Ed C 409: 30-6-96 Routine
260: 1-4-95 424: 4-7-96 ab 358: 3-5-95
641: 30-8-94 846: 25-10-95
441: 24-5-95

Current No 925/2

Endt R No. 1469 S/

Transferred to the Educational Department

A L Arundell
as Secy to Govt 27.7

C.R.
25 July 96.

Ootacamund
27th July 1896

Recd 31/7/96

[Edl.]

(Duplicate)

21 JUL 96

CHIEF SECRETARY
18 JUL. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

HIS EXCELLENCY,

THE RIGHT HONORABLE,

THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,

MADRAS.

Thro' the Sub-Collector & Collector of Madura.

PETITION
0556
REVENUE DEPT.

May it please Your Excellency.

The Memorialist most humbly begs to submit the following facts for Your Excellency's kind consideration and favourable orders.

1. That the Memorialist is a permanant 2nd Class Revenue Inspector in the Dindigul Taluk Madura District passed his Revenue Test Lower grade in 1892. In accordance with the concession granted by Government for 1895, he went up for the account Test to complete the Revenue Test but was not fortunate enough to pass the examination, as he had heavy work legitimate to his office as Revenue Inspector to do and the late grant of the leave to study for the examination.

2. That as a Revenue Inspector of 18 years standing and as a servant of Government of 30 years service, his energy and studious habits have altogether forsaken him on the occasion and so he could not get through the examination within the time allowed by G. O. to him and other candidates as a concession.

618
18-8-94

3. That in consideration of his long service in the Government without the least speck in the whole period of his service, begs that Your Excellency will most graciously be pleased to grant him the concession to go in for the Account Test this year also (1896), as his not passing the same is a serious block for his prospects though for a minor portion of his career, and makes him lose Rs. 10 per mensem from the small pay due to him as a Revenue Inspector.

4. That with full hopes of success to a request made to this benign Government by an officer of 30 years service, he shall as in duty bound ever pray.

11th July
1896

S. Veera[illegible] Iyer
Revenue Inspector
Dindigal Taluk
Madura District

(W-B
next Page)

P. T. C.

[Edl. / 5]

Edl

With due reference to order on his memorial from your Excellency's benign government, in R No 409. d/ 30th June 1896, your Excellency's humble memorialist in submitting a "duplicate" copy of his memorial most humbly begs to state that he has also transmitted a copy of the same through the Head of the office, and he now comes to understand that it is lying idly with the District authorities. —

It is a fact too well known to your Excellency that the humble memorialist, by his situation as a Revenue Inspector working in a corner of the District, will have very few opportunities of personally — acquainting himself with the District Heads, (viz — Collector &c, and as such no notices are taken of such weepings and lamentations. The District officers only have a tendency to patronize their own staffs, (viz, clerks &c moving with them every now and then, and in the case of local officers of your Excellency's memorialist's stamp, discharging onerous duties from outside, and who are in the estimation of the Board & Government considered to be the "executive Staffs of the Revenue Department, no grievances are even heard of, and are simply left to die out of thirst — for want of redress. —

As the application time for the ensuing Special Test, is drawing to a close being this month, (July) and as the prospects of your Excellency's poor memorialist have all been blocked up on this account, he craves your Excellency in Council will be graciously pleased to grant him the concession prayed for in time in consideration of the long and laborious services he has rendered in the Deptt, and leave his prospects and eligibility wholly unaffected.

For which the humble memorialist shall,
as in duty bound, ever pray. —

S. Veeraraghava Iyer
Rev. Inspector

[Edl. 5] 500—29-7-96.

Educational (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 980

From

Revenue Department

Order

No. 1555 2.

Dated 10" August 1896
Received 15" —"—
Registered 15" —"—
Enclosures 2
Spare copies.

SUBJECT.

Transferring petition from S. Veeraraghava Iyer, Revenue Inspector, Madura District, praying that he may be allowed to draw the full pay of a 1st Class Revenue Inspector without passing the Account Test.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

8

His memorial

SECY. GOVT. MADRAS
1 AUG 95
REV. DEPT.

UNDER SECRETARY
JUL 95

PETITION
0578
REVENUE DEPT.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABLE

THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,

MADRAS.

Through, the Sub-Collector and Collector of Madura

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

The Memorialist most humbly begs to submit the following facts for Your Excellency's kind and favourable consideration.

That the Memorialist is a permanent 2nd Class Revenue Inspector in the Taluk of Dindigul, Madura District, and has further put in a service of ~~six~~ seventeen years in the same (17 years) appointment, out of his whole superior service of nearly 29½ years in the Revenue Department.

That, in the year 1890, when the New Scheme regarding the appointment of Revenue Inspectors was introduced, the Memorialist was selected and appointed as 2nd Class Revenue Inspector, as a mark of satisfaction he has been giving in the discharge of his duties on condition of his passing the Revenue Test within a period of two years. That accordingly the Memorialist passed the Examination in the year 1892, and was thus qualified and entitled to draw the pay due to the appointment in persuance of the New Scheme. (Vide Enclosures Nos. I and II). That further the humble Memorialist by his promotion to a Sub-protem of Permanent 1st Clsss Revenue Inspector's place under the said New Scheme, has almost become entitled for the pay prescribed for the said class of Revenue Inspectors.

That on the Memorialist's passing the Revenue Test in the year 1892, order was issued by Mr. L. C. Miller, the then Sub-Collector, allowing the Memorialist to draw the full pay due to his office, but this order was not given effect to by the Tahsildar, on the ground that the Memorialist has not passed the Civil Account Code, in passing the Revenue Test. (Vide Enclosure No. III). That by the said objection the Memorialist has been forced to suffer a loss of pay of Rs 10 per mensum.

That the said curtailment of pay will be found unjust specially in the case of this Memorialist for the following reasons:—

That numerous incumbents appointed on similar conditions both in this and other Districts, have been allowed to draw their full pay on passing the above Test without Civil Account Code, while the case of this poor Memorialist has only been dealt with hardship, even though he has completed the Test prescribed for Tahsildars and Deputy Tahsildars.

That the requirement of passing the Account Test cannot be considered as a bar to allow him to draw his full pay, as the Memorialist has in appearing for the Revenue Test, Lower grade in the year 1890, when the Civil Account Code was one of the subjects prescribed for the Test, passed the said Civil Account Code, although failed in the examination in another subject, viz. Revenue Regulations. (Examination Registered No. 1858.)

That when the Memorialist again appeared for the Revenue Test in the year 1892, the Memorialist omitted to appear, also for the examination in Civil Account Code, as the passing of the same was then in the option of the candidates, because of the New Edition of the Civil Account Code not having been ready so as to form the Test Book for the Examination.

That Your Excellency might from the above be pleased to see, that this humble Memorialist has not been altogether disqualified to draw his full pay from the date of passing the Revenue Test (the condition imposed upon), merely for the want of a separate certificate in Civil Account Code, which has become constituted as a separate Test, only a year after his passing off the Revenue Test.

That the Memorialist further begs to add that by the said retrenchment of his pay, he is subjected to a very great hardship and that this Memorialist was so far back as the 28th May 1888, appointed by E. Turner Esq, the then Collector, as the permanent 1st Class Revenue Inspector of Palney Hills on Rs. 40, in consideration of his long and meritorious services. (Vide Enclosure No. 4.)

That Your Memorialist therefore most humbly begs to submit that in consideration of the above circumstances, and his services as a Revenue Inspector over a period of seventeen (17 years) years, Your Excellency in Council will most graciously be pleased to direct the grant of the retrenched pay from the date of his passing the required Revenue Test, Lower grade, as well as to allow him to continue to draw the same, and thus protect him.

For which the humble Memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

S. Veeraraghavaiyar
Rev Inspl 2nd C

ENCLOSURES.

Cir. No. 148. / 6—9—90.

No. I.

From
The Sub-Collector of
MADURA.

To
The Tahsildar of
Periyakolam Taluk.

On our recommendation to the Collector that the marginally noted Revenue Inspector is capable of passing the Revenue Test and therefore he may be retained in the same post even during this Revision pending the result of the next Revenue Test, a letter

---

His memoria

has been received sanctioning the above, but stating that he should continue to draw the present rate of pay till he passes the Test. He should be informed of this.

(Signed) P. RAMACHANDRA ROW,
Ag. Sub-Collector.

(True translation)

Note.— The subsequent order sanctioning his retention for the year 1892, has not been communicated to him.

II. Order of the Government in No. 260 / 4-4-91., Revenue, communicated in B. P. No 265 / 27-4-91

2. As regards the fourth question, the Government considers that those Revenue Inspectors of the old class who cannot pass the Tests now prescribed, but who are still deserving of promotion, should rise on the old scale, which was the prospect before them when they accepted their appointments: those who succeed in passing the new Tests will of course be entitled to the new rates of pay.

III. Order to the Tahsildar of Periyakolam, No. 1729 / 1—5—93

The increased rate of pay can be allowed from the date of the Revenue Inspector's passing the Revenue Test. Enclosures returned.

(Signed) L. C. MILLER,
Ag. Sub-Collector.

IV. Memo. No. 2069.

The appointment of Veera Ragava Aiyar as Revenue Inspector, Palni Hills, on Rs 40, ordered in this office No. 1767 / 28-5-88 is cancelled. No 1767.

3. The claims of Veera Ragava Aiyar will be considered on the occurrence of another vacancy in the plains.

(Signed) P. RAJAGOPALACHARI,
For Collector.

N. R. PRESS, DINDIGUL.

P.T.O.

BACK APERS.

1/Encl to Edl C no 980

With due reverence to rder passed on his memoria in R No 302, dated 1st February 1896, your Excellency's humble memorialist in submitting a copy of the memorial marked as "Duplicate", most respectf begs to state that he has also transmitted a copy through the proper channel on the 25th January 96, and subsequently learning that the same is lying with the District authorities without proper steps being taken, another copy was submitted to the Collector through the Sub. Collector on the 30th May 96. —

It is a matter too well known to your Excellency that the humble memorialist by his situation as a Revenue Inspector working in a corner of the District, will have very few opportunities of personally acquainting himself with the District heads, as the Collector &c; and hence no notices are taken of such weepings and lamentati

your Excellency's poor memorialist further begs leave to add that the District officers have a tendency of patronizing only their own staffs, perfor:ing duties under them directly; while in the case of local officers of your Excellency's memorialist stamp discharging onerous duties from outside who are in the estimation of the Board and Governme considered to be the Executive Staffs of the Reven Department, no grievances are even heard of, and as such are obliged to weep bitterly for their fate. —

The memorialist regr[illegible] [illegible] the District administrative officers have not been moved to pity in his Case, even to the extent of Submitting memorials addressed to your Excellency and while so, it is left for your Excellency's benign and impartial consideration what piece of redress he could expect from their hands, and if the rule that even such memorials should invariably pass by the Head of the office or Department be strict enforced, under your Excellency's benign administration; he most respectfully begs to bring to your Excellency's kind notice, that seldom such grievances and lamentations ~~will~~ reach the ears of your Excellency in Council for redress being gran

That the poor memorialist, therefore most humbly begs to submit that it will be in Consonance with your Excellency's benign and just administration of Government in all its Departments to take into consideration the Civil Account Code he has already passed in the year 1890, in consideration of the laborious services he has rendered in the Department, or to exempt him from passing the same as is favored in the Case of many other officers of the various Departments under this benign Government, and thus protect him.

For which, the humble memorialist shall,
as in duty bound, ever pray.

26th July,
1896

S. Perera Gunetilleke
Rev Inspr 2nd C

(1896.)

REVENUE DEPARTMENT. **ABSTRACT.**

Current Number. 5519

From

S. Veeraragava Iyer,
Revenue Inspector,
Madura District.

Endorsement No. 1555 R.

Transferred to the Educational Department.

Lionel Davidson
12.8
Ag. Under Secy. to Govt.

Ootacamund,
10th August 1896.

Recd 15/8/96

Dated 26th July 1896.
Received 1st August 1896.
Enclosures

No.

SUBJECT.

Praying that he may be allowed to draw the full pay of a 1st Class Revenue Inspector without passing the Account~~ant~~ Test.

To

The Educational Dept.

P.T.O.

11.8

~~Public Works~~ Educational Depa (1896) Department.

CURRENT No. 1214

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
9. OCT. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

Order

From The Collector of
Madura

Dis **No.** 3355 of 96

Dated 2d October 1896
Received 12
Enclosures — "
Spare copies

DESPATCHED.
7 OCT. 96

SUBJECT.

Submitting memorial of Veeraraghavier Revenue Inspector for exemption from passing Tests (Account Code)

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Edl. Cire	980/96.	} 7·8	13-11-96 ✓
— „ —	925/96.		
	424 Mis	Edl.	11-7-96
	409 Reve	— „ —	30-6-96
	846 — „	— „ —	25-10-95
	2424 — „	Reve	18-6-95
	260 — „	Edl.	1-4-95 ✓
	953 — „	— „ —	12-12-94
	708 — „	— „ —	25-9-94 ✓
	641 — „	— „ —	30-8-94 ✓
	618 — „	— „ —	18-8-94 ✓
	260 S.C.	Reve	4-4-91

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

Council No. 102 R.P. of 18 6
Date 4. 2. 96.

To

HIS EXCELLENCY THE RIGHT HONORABL..

THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,

MADRAS.

Through the Sub-Collector, and Collector, of Madura.

MAY IT PLEASE YOUR EXCELLENCY,

The Memorialist most humbly begs to submit the following facts for Your Excellency's kind and favourable consideration.

That the Memorialist is a permanent 2nd Class Revenue Inspector in the Taluk of Dindigul, Madura District, and has further put in a service of sixteen years in the same appointment, out of his whole superior service of nearly 29½ years in the Revenue Department.

That in the year 1890, when the New Scheme regarding the appointment of Revenue Inspectors was introduced, the Memorialist was selected and appointed as 2nd Class Revenue Inspector, as a mark of satisfaction he has been giving in the discharge of his duties on condition of his passing the Revenue Test within a period of two years. That accordingly the Memorialist passed the Examination in the year 1892, and was thus qualified and entitled to draw the pay due to the appointment in persuance of the New Scheme. (Vide Enclosures Nos. I and II). That further the humble Memorialist by his promotion to a Sub-protem 1st Clsss Revenue Inspector's place under the said New Scheme, has almost become entitled for the pay prescribed for the said class of Revenue Inspectors.

That on the Memorialist's passing the Revenue Test in the year 1892, order was issued by Mr. L. C. Miller, the then Sub-Collector, allowing the Memorialist to draw the full pay due to his office, but this order was not given effect to by the Tahsildar, on the ground that the Memorialist has not passed the Civil Account Code, in passing the Revenue Test. (Vide Enclosure No. III). That by the said objection the Memorialist has been forced to suffer a loss of pay of Rs 10 per mensum.

That the said curtailment of pay will be found unjust specially in the case of this Memorialist for the following reasons:—

That numerous incumbents appointed on similar conditions both in this and other Districts, have been allowed to draw their full pay on passing the above Test without Civil Account Code, while the case of this poor Memorialist has only been dealt with hardship, even though he has completed the Test prescribed for Tahsildars and Deputy Tahsildars.

That the requirement of passing the Account Test cannot be considered as a bar to allow him to draw his full pay, as the Memorialist has in appearing for the Revenue Test, Lower grade in the year 1890, when the Civil Account Code was one of the

ed in passing in
the

subjects prescribed for the Test, passed the said Civil Account Code, although failed in the examination in another subject, viz. Revenue Regulations. (Examination Registered No. 1858.)

That when the Memorialist again appeared for the Revenue Test in the year 1892, the Memorialist omitted to appear, also for the examination in Civil Account Code, as the passing of the same was then in the option of the candidates, because of the New Edition of the Civil Account Code not having been ready so as to form the Test Book for the Examination.

That Your Excellency might from the above be pleased to see, that this humble Memorialist has not been altogether disqualified to draw his full pay from the date of passing the Revenue Test (the condition imposed upon), merely for the want of a separate certificate in Civil Account Code, which has become constituted as a separate Test, only a year after his passing off the Revenue Test.

That the Memorialist further begs to add that by the said retrenchment of his pay, he is subjected to a very great hardship and that this Memorialist was so far back as the 28 May ~~13th June~~ 1888, appointed by E. Turner Esq, the then Collector, as the permanent 1st Class Revenue Inspector of Palney Hills on Rs. 40, in consideration of his long and meritorious services. (Vide Enclosure No. 4.)

That Your Memorialist therefore most humbly begs to submit that in consideration of the above circumstances, and his services as a Revenue Inspector over a period of sixteen years, Your Excellency in Council will most graciously be pleased to direct the grant of the retrenched pay from the date of his passing the required Revenue Test, Lower grade, as well as to allow him to continue to draw the same, and thus protect him.

For which the humble Memorialist shall, as in duty bound, ever pray.

25th January 1896. S. Veeraragavan Iyer
Revenue Inspector

ENCLOSURES.

Cir. No. 148.
6—9—90.

No. I.

From
The Sub-Collector of
MADURA.

To
The Tahsildar of
Periyakolam Taluk.

Veeraragava Iyer

On our recommendation to the Collector that the marginally noted Revenue Inspector is capable of passing the Revenue Test and therefore he may be retained in the same post even during this Revision pending the result of the next Revenue Test, a letter

---

has been received sanctioning the above, but stating that he should continue to draw the present rate of pay till he passes the Test. He should be informed of this.

(Signed) P. RAMACHANDRA ROW,
Ag. Sub-Collector.

(True translation)

Note.— The subsequent order sanctioning his retention for the year 1892, has not been communicated to him.

II. Order of the Government in $\frac{\text{No. 260}}{\text{4–4–91.}}$, Revenue, communicated in B. P. $\frac{\text{No 265}}{\text{27–4–91}}$

2. As regards the fourth question, the Government considers that those Revenue Inspectors of the old class who cannot pass the Tests now prescribed, but who are still deserving of promotion, should rise on the old scale, which was the prospect before them when they accepted their appointments: those who succeed in passing the new Tests will of course be entitled to the new rates of pay.

$\frac{\text{No. 1729}}{\text{1—5—93}}$

III. Order to the Tahsildar of Periyakolam.

The increased rate of pay can be allowed from the date of the Revenue Inspector's passing the Revenue Test. Enclosures returned.

(Signed) L. C. MILLER,
Ag. Sub-Collector.

IV. Memo. No. 2069.

The appointment of Veera Ragava Aiyar as Revenue Inspector, Palni Hills, on Rs 40, ordered in this office $\frac{\text{No. 1767}}{\text{28–5–88}}$ is cancelled.

3. The claims of Veera Ragava Aiyar will be considered on the occurrence of another vacancy in the plains.

(Signed) P. RAJAGOPALACHARI,
For Collector.

S. Veeraragava Iyer
Rev Inspector

N. E. PRESS, DINDIGUL.

B/27.96

~~Submitted to the Collector of Madura for favourable consideration~~

Ag Sub Collector

En. 2
Ed C No 1214

[G. / 75]
4,000—13-8-95.

Curs 925 & 980.

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

These curts are only duplicates. The originals submitted thro' the Collector have not been received yet. These may lie over for some time more.

TR
5/9.

(N. B.
next Page)

a in passing in

the

P 16-7-96

Current No. 1653 R.A. of 1896
Date 25/7/96

To

HIS EXCELLENCY,

THE RIGHT HONORABLE,

THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,

MADRAS.

Thro' the Sub-Collector &
Collector of Madura

May it please Your Excellency.

The Memorialist most humbly begs to submit the following facts for Your Excellency's kind consideration and favourable orders.

1. That the Memorialist is a permanant 2nd Class Revenue Inspector in the Dindigul Taluk Madura District passed his Revenue Test Lower grade in 1892. In accordance with the concession granted by Government for 1895, he went up for the account Test to complete the Revenue Test but was not fortunate enough to pass the examination, as he had heavy work legitimate to his office as Revenue Inspector to do and the late grant of the leave to study for the examination.

2. That as a Revenue Inspector of 18 years standing and as a servant of Government of 30 years service, his energy and studious habits have altogether forsaken him on the occasion and so he could not get through the examination within the time allowed by G. O. to him and other candidates as a concession.

618
18.8.94

3. That in consideration of his long service in the Government without the least speck in the whole period of his service, begs that Your Excellency will most graciously be pleased to grant him the concession to go in for the Account Test this year also (1896), as his not passing the same is a serious block for his prospects though for a minor portion of his career, and makes him lose Rs. 10 per mensem from the small pay due to him as a Revenue Inspector.

4. That with full hopes of success to a request made to this benign Government by an officer of 30 years service he shall as in duty bound ever pray.

16" July 1896.

S. Vedaranamaiyer
Rev. Inspr. 2nd Cl.

(N. B.
Next Page)

... the passing in

A copy of the Memorial has been Submitte through the Tahsildar of Dindigal in No 164 dated the 4th Instant, and a Duplicate Copy of the Same Submitted to Government.

Veeraragoomul Gov
Rev Inspector

Rec. 1
Ext C No 12 14

P. 16-7-96

Current No. 1653 R.M. of 1896
Date 25/7/96

To

HIS EXCELLENCY,

THE RIGHT HONORABLE,

THE GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL,

MADRAS.

Thro' the Sub-Collector & Collector of Madura

May it please Your Excellency.

The Memorialist most humbly begs to submit the following facts for Your Excellency's kind consideration and favourable orders.

1. That the Memorialist is a permanant 2nd Class Revenue Inspector in the Dindigul Taluk Madura District passed his Revenue Test Lower grade in 1892. In accordance with the concession granted by Government for 1895, he went up for the account Test to complete the Revenue Test but was not fortunate enough to pass the examination, as he had heavy work legitimate to his office as Revenue Inspector to do and the late grant of the leave to study for the examination.

2. That as a Revenue Inspector of 18 years standing and as a servant of Government of 30 years service, his energy and studious habits have altogether forsaken him on the occasion and so he could not get through the examination within the time allowed by G. O. to him and other candidates as a concession.

-618
18-8-9

3. That in consideration of his long service in the Government without the least speck in the whole period of his service, begs that Your Excellency will most graciously be pleased to grant him the concession to go in for the Account Test this year also (1896), as his not passing the same is a serious block for his prospects though for a minor portion of his career, and makes him lose Rs. 10 per mensem from the small pay due to him as a Revenue Inspector.

4. That with full hopes of success to a request made to this benign Government by an officer of 30 years service he shall as in duty bound ever pray.

11th July 1896.

S. Vedaraghava Iyer
Rev. Inspr 2nd Cl

(N. B. next Page)

Ed C W 12/14

Dis No. 3355 0'96

Current No 1214

Submitted to the Chief Secretary to Government, Educational Department, Madras.

2. The memorialist has passed only the General Test and Revenue Lower Examinations. He will be 52 in November, and has 30 years' service. There seems to be no grounds for granting the Concessions asked for.

3. The delay which is due to a careless mislaying of papers in my office, is regretted.

Madura Collector's office
Dated 2d October 1896

J. Twin.
Collector

Rev- 1
Ed C No 1214

Hon. Mr Gose C.I.E

[G. / 25 A.]
1,000—21-3-96.

Petition from a Rev Inspector for
Exemption from the Rev. test

Ed DEPARTMENT

C. Nos. 925, 980 & 1214 of 96

FOR ORDERS.

B.

Cnos 925 & 980 contain duplicate copies of the petitions in cno 1214.

The petitioner Viraraghava Aiyar is a Rev. Inspector of the old class. The new scheme whereby the pay of the Rev. Inspectors was raised and they were required to pass the Rev. Lower including account code and the Survey test was introduced in 1890. According to para 2 of G.O. no. 260 Rev. D 4th April 1891, Revenue Inspectors of the old class who cannot pass the tests newly prescribed should remain on the old scale and those who succeed in passing the new tests will be entitled to the new rates of pay. The peti- has not passed any of the new tests. He appeared for the Rev. test in 1890 but failed to pass it. He again appeared for it in 1892 – this time without the account code copies of which were not available then – and succeeded in passing in the Rev. subjects but failed to it by passing in the Account Code within the time allowed by G.O. no. 618 Ed. d 18th Aug. 1894 - that is within 1895. His partial success in the Rev. test in 1892 is therefore of no value now. He states that when he appeared for the Rev. test in 1890 he passed in the Account Code and he requests that this pass may be taken into consideration and that he may be exempted from passing the Rev. & account tests or that he may be permitted to complete his partial success in the Rev. test in 1892 by appearing for the Account test once more. There appears to be no reason for granting these requests.

He also requests that increased pay may be granted to him from the date of his passing the Rev. test in '92. This is a matter for consideration in the Rev. dept. But, he has not passed the Rev. test and his request is inadmissible. The collector, it will seen, does not recommend the grant of any of the petr's requests. The following order is accordingly proposed:-

Order mis. No. 708 d/ 13-11-96

The petr. will be informed that his requests can not be granted.

10/11/6

7A
30/10

A

FOR ORDERS.

H. M.

J.S.
11/11/96

---

hen given for copying 13/11
hen copied
en examined } 17/11
en signed
189 .

D AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

SURES TO C. No

DER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

E OF GOVERNMENT.

EMENT WITH

ES NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.