

31/8  
Educational (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 523

DATED 29-8-96

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

Informing the A.G. that the express  
Sanction of the Secy of State to the  
terms & conditions of Mr. R. A. Mel-  
son's reengagement as Principal  
of the Law College has not been  
applied for as, in the opinion  
of the Govt. the Secy of State's  
sanction to them has already  
been conveyed by implication  
in his despatch No 7 Public  
(Educ.) & 25? July/95.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

Current No 833

*Garrett Audit* DEPARTMENT.

FORT ST. GEORGE,  
Accountant-General's Office,

31<sup>st</sup> July 1896.  
No. 2020

From *F. C. Harrison Esq.*  
Off. ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL TO THE  
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS,  
To THE *Chief Secretary to Government,*  
*Educational Department.*

SIR,  
With reference to Government  
G Order No 265 Educational dated the  
28<sup>th</sup> of April 1896 appointing *M<sup>r</sup> R. A.*  
*Nelson* as Principal of the Law  
College for a further period of 5  
years on the terms, and under the  
conditions referred to and specified  
in paragraph 5 of Government  
F Order No 167 Educational, dated

26 March '96, I have the honour  
to enquire whether the above terms  
and conditions have been approved  
by the Secretary of State and if  
so to request that a copy of the  
Secretary of State's despatch may  
be furnished to this office.

I have the honour to be,  
Sir,  
Your most obedt. Servt;

J. L. Stanish  
Off. Accountant General.

NR 3/7

Educational (189 ) DEPARTMENT.

From Current No 833

THE ACCOUNTANT-GENERAL,

MADRAS,

To

THE Chief Secretary  
Educational Dept

No. 2020

Dated

Received

Regd-7.

Ind - Nil

No.

Subject.

Enquiry of the terms  
and conditions of  
Mr R.A. Nelson's app't  
as principal Law  
College for a further  
period of 5 years  
has been approved  
only by the Secy. of State

Cor.-5,000-4-6-96

Ed-265: 20-4-96 his  
- 167: 26-3-96 Sec  
- 69: 5-2-96 his  
- 844-8: 28-10-95-  
- 163: 2-3-93-  
- 863: 13-12-92-  
- 360: 18-5-91 Sec  
- 583: 15-8-90 his  
- 634: 7-11-88 Sec

Has the order allowing  
the connection between Mr. Nelson  
the Law College to practice been  
communicated to the A.G.?

Does not the re-engagement of  
Mr. Nelson need sanction?

17/10/96

Order  
and  
draft

The A.G. will be informed  
that Mr. Nelson has been re-engaged  
on the terms and under the conditions  
set out in his original agreement  
with the S.G.S. and that the concession  
has been allowing him to practice in the  
Courts in Madras City, being such  
as this Court is competent to make  
the sanction of the S.G.S. to his  
re-engagement is not necessary.  
Therefore

7/11/96  
14.8.96

Note.

The permission to draw exchange  
compensation allowance does not affect  
the terms of the agreement. Mr. Nelson was  
drawing the allowance until March 96  
when it was stopped by the ruling of  
the Govt of I. contained in G.O. no. 167  
Fined 14<sup>th</sup> March 96.

To  
The A.G. 2020

21/7/8

G.  
25 A.  
1,900-21-3-96

C. No. 833.

Educ. DEPARTMENT

FOR ORDERS.

Refer to the note written, dated 17.8.96.

It is submitted that the Acc<sup>l</sup> Genl  
has been sent <sup>purport</sup> of S. of S's despatch  
sanctioning the continuance of the  
Law College on present footing for  
5 years more. Please see go. 69.8.  
5.2.96

2. As to the question whether  
Mr. Nelson's re-engagement needs sanction  
it is submitted that the Financial  
Dept. says that it knows of no account  
rules under which sanction is necessary.  
Apart from rules, the matter seems to  
stand as follows:-

In the original agreement between  
the S. of S. & Mr. Nelson Exchange  
compensation was not mentioned, &  
practice, other than Chamber practice,  
was prohibited.

In this Govt's despatch to the  
S. of S. No. 3. Ed. 7.6.95 this Govt proposed  
the continuance of the present status

of the college for another five years, & clearly contemplated keeping on Mr. N. as its principal. The S.M.'s letter enclosed therein also particularly said that some compensation in the shape of private practice to Mr. N. should be stipulated for if the S. & S. was pleased to re-engage him.

H. M.

# FOR ORDERS.

The S. of S. despatch in reply (in G.O. 847-8 Ed. 28.10.95) said merely that the proposal was sanctioned. This seems to convey approval to the proposal to re-engage Mr. N. & to allow him private practice of ~~business~~ <sup>deemed necessary</sup>. The Govt. of India was in favour of allowing him private practice, - please see telegram in G.O. 583.22.

The modification about Ex. Compens. Allowance does not seem to enter into the present question. The A. Gent. allowed it to Mr. Nelson on the terms of his original agreement & cannot complain of this Govt. making the grant of it quite clear when re-engaging him. - Perhaps to set the A. Gent. quite easy of mind if the two Despatches might be sent him with an explanation as above.

25/8/96

19.8.96

Yes

27/8/96

[G. 24.]  
1,000-15-11-95.

Ed. DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings:—

Re engagement of Mr. Nelson

|                   |        |                |
|-------------------|--------|----------------|
| Again G.O., dated | 189    | , No.          |
| Again G.O., dated | 189    | , No.          |
| Current No. 133   | of 189 | , dated , from |
| " "               | " 189  | , " " "        |
| " "               | " 189  | , " " "        |

ORDER No. 523, D. 29<sup>th</sup> August 1896.

The Act. Gent. will be informed that the express sanction of the S. of S. to the terms & conditions of Mr. Nelson's re-engagement as Principal of the Law College set out in para 5 of G.O. 167. Ed. 26.3.96 has not been applied for for the reason <sup>as in</sup> that in the opinion of the Govt. <sup>the S. of S.</sup> sanction to them has already been conveyed by implication in his despatch No. 7. Public (Educational) dated 25<sup>th</sup> July 1895.

A copy of that despatch & of the letter from the Govt. No. 3 to which it is a reply will now be furnished to the Act. Gent. The A. Gent. will observe that the letter & its enclosures clearly contemplated the re-engagement as Principal of Mr. Nelson & the grant to him

2. The only alterations in the original terms sanctioned in G.O. 167. Ed 26.3.96 were the grant of the privilege to take private practice, & the sanction to his drawing Arch. Compensat. Allowance.

+ in G.O. 847/8 28/10/95

+ printed.

of the privilege of practicing, if  
 the continuance of the College on  
 the former status was sanctioned.  
 The Govt. of India has also expressed  
 the opinion that it is ad-  
 vantageous to allow Mr. Nelson to  
 practice. The Sec. of State's despatch  
 of the 25th July raises no objection  
 to this proposal & thus sanctions  
 it by implication.

3. The modification of the  
 original agreement by the grant  
 of Tech. Camburs. allowance  
 does not appear to require more  
 express sanction than has already  
 been accorded to it by the  
 general orders of the Govt. of  
 India on the question.

C. S.

W. 22.8.96 (from orders)  
 29/8/96

To Secy. Genl. (Q + O)  
 with copies of the despatch  
 & letter quoted. } Dated  
 3/9/96

FROM ORDERS.

When given for copying 1/9  
 When copied 4/9  
 When examined 11/9  
 When signed 21/9 } 1896.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO O. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH  
 IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -  
 A = Copy in full.  
 B = Not to be copied  
 P = Copy abstract only.  
 Q = Copy heading only

23-3-05 ✓

28-6-11 ✓

12-4-12 ✓

The  
The  
The  
an  
pr  
of  
to  
ch  
or  
d  
d  
s  
b  
s