Educational (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 43

Dated 22nd Jany 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

EXEMPTIONS.

Sanctioning certain temporary -- from the Examination rules to certain unpassed candidates in the Kurnool district.

Application for the appointment of unpassed candidates in Kurnool District.

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose employment sanction is sought.	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, *i. e.*, whether acting or *sub-protem.*	The period for which the appointment is to last.	Period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	Is this a first application or one for renewal of a previous sanction? In the latter case quote the previous Board's Proceedings and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	Is no passed candidate available, and have any steps been taken to procure one?	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
P. V. Krishna Row Naidu. Age 24 years Examinations nil	Abkari gumastah Ramallakota tal-uq. Acting	More than 3 months	For 3 months from 1st May 95	First application	No passed Candidate available in spite of advertisement issued	
B. Swami Row. Age 34 years. Examinations nil	4th Gumastah Ramallakota Tq Acting	- do -	- do -	do		
D. Narayana Row Age 20 years Examinations nil	2nd clerk. Sty Submagistrate's office. Pattikonda Acting	- do -	20-3-95 to 19-6-95 (3 months)	do		

Kurnool Collector's Office,
9-10-1895. } Submitted to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue with refce to B.P. no 284 dated 9-8-95

for Collector.

no 12

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C	488		1896				

BOARD'S GEN. REG. № 2792 OF 18
LAND REVENUE.

Application for the appointment of unpassed candidates in Kurnool District.

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose employment sanction is sought. 1	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, *i.e.*, whether acting or *sub-protem.* 2	The period for which the appointment is to last. 3	Period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination). 4	Is this a first application or one for renewal of a previous sanction? In the latter case quote the previous Board's Proceedings and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted. 5	Is no passed candidate available, and have any steps been taken to procure one? 6	Remarks. 7
R. N. Veerabadra Pillai 20 years old Examinations nil	10th English accountant Sub. Protem	Lasts till March 1896	From 1st Aug 1895 till March 1896 or till replaced by a passed Candidate.	First application	none	
V. Masum Khan 25 years, Examinations nil	5th Vernacular Accountant	Lasts till 31st January 1896.	From 25th Septr 95 till 31st January 96 or till replaced by a passed candidate	Do	none	

Kurnool Collector's Office,
9 – 10 – 1895.

[illegible] Naidu
for Collector.

3
Fol- 2013/5/5

Application for the appointment of unpassed candidates in Kurnool District.

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose employment sanction is sought. 1	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, *i.e.*, whether acting or *sub-protem.* 2	The period for which the appointment is to last. 3	Period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination). 4	Is this a first application or one for renewal of a previous sanction? In the latter case quote the previous Board's Proceedings and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted. 5	Is no passed candidate available, and have any steps been taken to procure one? 6	Remarks. 7
Balayya Age 31 Examinations nil	6th Gumastah Nandikotkur Sub Protem	One year from 30th May 95	For 3 months from 26th June 95 till replaced by passed Candidate	First application	Divisional officer reports that no passed Candidate was available though notice was published	

Kurnool Collector's Office,
9 - 10 - 1895.

Submitted to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Rev. for sanction with reference to B. P. no 2847 9-8-95

[illegible]
for Collector.

Rec'd Coml N. 2792

Coml 2078/95

1355

Application for the appointment of unpassed candidates in Kurnool District.

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose employment sanction is sought.	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, *i.e.*, whether acting or *sub-protem*.	The period for which the appointment is to last.	Period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	Is this a first application or one for renewal of a previous sanction? In the latter case quote the previous Board's Proceedings and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	Is no passed candidate available, and have any steps been taken to procure one?	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7
N. Subba Row. Age 29. Examinations nil.	5th Gumastah. Nandyal Sub Protem	Not known - Permanent incumbent is in a temporary arrangement in the Forest Department	For 3 months. From 4th July 95 or till replaced by passed Candidate	First application	Divisional officer says that no passed Candidates were available though notice was published.	
C. Seshayya. Age 19. passed lower secondary examination	8th Gumastah Nandyal Sub Protem	Permanent vacancy	For 3 months. From 25th July 95 till replaced by passed Candidate.	do		
D. Sreenivasa Row. Age 23 Middle school II class	5th clerk. Hd Asst Collr's office Sub Protem	6 months	For 3 months. From 13th July 95 till replaced by passed Candidate	do		
V. Subba rayan. Age 19. Lower secondary examination	Pound Gumastah. Nandyal Sub Protem	not known. Pound gumastah is on other duty as a Forester in Forest Dept	For 3 months. From 13th July 95 or till replaced by passed candidate	do		
C Seshayya chetty. Age 22 middle school 2nd class	6th Gumastah Nandyal Sub Protem.	Not known. Permanent incumbent has absented without leave and his case is pending before Hd. Asst. Collector	For 3 months From 22nd July 95 or till replaced by a passed Candidate	do		
B. Venkoba Row Age 23 middle school 2nd class.	Hd Gumastah Kalva Dy Tahsildar's office	Permanent vacancy	For 3 months - From 1st Aug 95 or till replaced by a passed Candidate	do		

Kurnool Collector's Office, 9 - 10 - 1895. } Submitted to the Secretary to the Commissioner of Land Revenue for sanction with reference to B.P. No 284 d/ 9-8-95

M. Venkata Naidu
for Collector.

2283/95

2

4/
Encl - 20/8/95

5
[illegible]
1
1399

1399

3,000
9-9-95.

Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

Dated 28 November 1895.

No. 3204 ~~Mis.~~ Routine.

READ Applications for the appointment of certain unpassed candidates received from the Collector of Kurnool, dated 9 October 1895.

Resolution

Submitted for the sanction of Government with reference to Government Order No. 584, Educational, dated 11 August 1893.

(True Extract)

Secretary

To
The Chief Secretary to Govt, Educational Department
with 4 statements.

Esd

CURRENT

1399

1895.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue),

MADRAS.

To

CHIEF SECRETARY
-2 DEC. 1895
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Educational
Department

Recd 2/12
Regd 3/12 Educ 4

Proceedings, dated 28 November 1895,
No. 3204 ~~Mis.~~ Routine.

Subject.

Submitting for the sanction of Government application for the appointment of certain unpassed candidates in the Kurnool District.

---

584 : 11 - 8 / 3
577 : 17 - 7 / 3

I don't understand why the dates are altered fr those given by the Collr. For instance, he wants (1) exempted fr the 1st May not the 30th April & he wants (4) exempted ~~[illegible]~~ fr 1.8.95 to ?. 3.96. to the

JG
18/12/95

Order mis No. 43 d/ 22 Jan 96

The following temporary ~~[illegible]~~ exemptions from the Exam-rules are sanctioned:-

(1) P. V. Krishna Ráo fr. 30-4-95 to 31-7-95
(2) B. Swámi Ráo fr 30-4-95 to 31-7-95
(3) D. Náráyana Ráo fr. 19-3-95 to 19-6-95
(4) R. N. Virabadra ~~Pillai~~ fr. 31-7-95 to 31-~~12~~ 1-96
(5) N. Masum Khan fr 24-9-95 to 31-1-96
(6) Bálayya - fr 26-6-95 to ~~31-12~~ 25-9-95
(7) N. Subba Ráo fr 3-7-95 to ~~31~~ 3-10-95
(8) C. Séshayya fr 24-7-95 to ~~31-12~~ 24-10-95
(9) D. Srinivasa Rao fr 12-7-95 to ~~31-12~~ 12-10-95
(10) V. Subbaráyan fr 12-7-95 to ~~31-12~~ 12-10-95
(11) C. Séshayya chetti fr 21-7-95 to ~~31-12~~ 21-10-95
(12) B. Venkóba Ráo fr 31-7-95 - to 31-10-95

2. The Govt notes that no explanation has been furnished of the delay in submitting these applications and that, in some cases, it is reported that no steps ~~are reported~~ ~~to~~ have been taken to procure passed candidates.

3. The periods of exemption granted in this ~~Pro-~~ order will not be extended unless very cogent ~~[illegible]~~ reasons for so doing are shown ~~to the contrary~~.

(From order)
WF 22.1.96
WF 11.12.95
JD 17/12/95

7/12
7/12 Note. Probably the difficulty is real however. There's very little but primary education in any of the Ceded Districts WF 11.12.95

To
The Bd of Rev (LR) 1 Ao
Desp[illegible] 12-1-[illegible]

This seems all right
JG

Note for H.M., with refce to his note within -

The dates of commencement of the ag. appointments given by the Collector were altered for the following reason.

Apparently ~~In the English Language~~ the word 'from' excludes ~~the date~~ and the word 'to' includes the date following. On this principle the period given by the Collector in the case no 3. D. Narayana Ráo - viz - from 20-3-95 to 19-6-95 will not be three months, but one day less than three months. It was therefore presumed that the appointment began on the 20th March & the person was exempted from ~~the~~ 19th March. This presumption was ~~accordingly~~ extended to all the dates of commencement given by the Collector.

2. In the case of no 4 Virabadra Pillai, the date of termination of his appointment has not been given ~~as~~ It was therefore proposed to exempt him up to the 31st Dec, a period of five months exemption being considered

Sufficien

Sufficient in the first instance.

(3) In the remaining cases viz, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, & 12, the dates of termination have not been given. It was presumed that the appointments in these cases continued & the persons concerned were ~~not~~ exempted till the 31st Dec. 95. The presumption may not be correct in all cases; it is therefore submitted that the periods of exemption in these cases may be restricted to three months.

That the presumption is not correct in all cases is shown by Cuo 1425, wherein it is requested that B. Venkoba Rao no. 12, may be exempted from 31-10-95 to 30-4-96.

TR 19/12
JP 28/12/95
W 20-12-95

Exemptions from Exam^n. rules.

Note for HM.

What is the use of limiting the exemption to the 31 Dec^r 95? It is now the 9 Jan 96.

JG

~~Resubmitted to H~~

9/1
R-10/1

Yes
JG
20/1/96

Note for HM.

With reference to his note ~~dated~~ written.

It is submitted that the ~~note~~ draft order was written on the 7th Dec. in pursuance of the C.S. on the 25th idem it was proposed then to limit the Exemptions to the 31st Dec.

R. N. Virabadra Pillai may now be exempted up to 31st Jan. 1896, & Cases Nos. 6 to 12 exempted for three months as the Collector suggests.

W 14-12-95 JP 7/1/96

The dates have been altered in red ink. If H.S. initial the draft, it will now issue.

TR 21/1

Exemptions from Examn. rules

Note for H.M.

Issue

When given for copying ________ }
When copied ________ } 189 .
When examined ________ }
When signed ________ }

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT NO.

ENCLOSURES TO C. NO

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only