Educational (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 411

Dated 7th July 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

PETITION.

Declining to interfere in the matter of the --- from M.K. Subba Rao, Manager, Anglo-Vernacular Upper Primary School, Madanapalle, appealing against the order of the Director of Public Instruction withdrawing the privilege of recognition from his school.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No	Department.	Date.
		✓					

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
	7IC4600857	✓					

No 3

CURRENT No. 18

To

His Excellency the Governor
in Council, Fort St George
Madras.

The humble appeal of M. K. Subba Rau, manager Anglo Vernacular Upper Primary School, Madanapalle Cuddapah District
Most respectfully showeth:-

That at the beginning of 1895 the parents of the American Mission Primary School pupils in Madanapalli stopped their boys from going to that School, on account of the attempted conversion to christianity of a brahman minor pupil and send them to local Hindu schools of which there were three, one under the appelant's management and the other two being pial schools were managed by their respective teachers Malliah and Siddappa.

That the guardians of

the said minor, moreover, launched a civil suit through the appellant as First Grade Pleader against the Missionary Manager who thus being roused to a high pitch of vengeance, complained to the Director that the appellant and Malliah (whose school was, in March last amalgamated with that of the appellant), had in January and February 1895, contrary to the interschool rules, admitted into their schools some of the Mission School pupils without the necessary Leaving Certificates and wisely omitted Siddappa who played no active part in the Civil Suit against the Missionary.

That the Director after enquiry and perusal of the records, was fully satisfied that the Missionary Manager previous to the transgression complained of, had admittedly been guilty of similar violations which were also violations of the terms of a solemn agreement which he had entered into with the said Malliah and Siddappa and so concurring with the Inspector's recommendation, warned both the appellant and the Missionary Manager (Vide Pro. of the Director of Public Instruction No 12707/28-11-95)

12712 [illegible]

That probably on the misrepresentation of someone hostile to the Hindu School who being quite confident that the worst that the Director would do, could not in the least, affect the Mission school as it had become defunct long ago, seems to have cunningly suggested the withdrawal of recognition from both the schools, the Director, to the great misfortune of the Hindu School, reopened the matter and without the knowledge of the appellant, with one stroke of pen, unconditionally withdraws the privelage of recognition from both the Hindu schools and the mission school and stops their ~~Certif~~ying Memoranda.

That these proceedings are ~~un~~ harsh and it is inadvisable to give effect to them on the grounds

(1) they were passed without notice to the affected appellant

(2) the power of granting and withdrawing the privelage of recognition from Primary School having been delegated to the

nspector of Schools, hence the matter having been finally disposed of and communicated to the persons concerned, the Director could not himself reopen the matter.

(3). they cannot have any retrospective effect so as to create on the appellant pecuniary obligation to refund the grants already obtained.

(4) the transgression of which the appellant is not guilty, being avowedly the first one, the severest punishment is cruel, especially on the face of the strong justifying circumstances

(5). The withdrawal tends to dissuade the Primary Examination pupils from attending the only English School in such a large town as Madanapalli which being situated in a district highly backward in point of Education, deserves all concessions

(6) the withdrawal nullifies the results in the late Primary School Examination which are the brightest in the whole district, and ~~the~~ deprives the appellant of a probable grant of Rs150.

(7) the appellant maintaining the institution with a large sacrifice of his wealth and health with thoroughly altruistic motive the withdrawal damps the kinder spirit of benevolent enterprise and throws cold water on their charitable intentions

(8) the injury hurled on the innocent public is many times more severe than the good that the Proceedings No 13808/21-12-95, is intended to confer

That the Director when moved to cancel his Pro. No 13808/21-12-95, on the above grounds, treating this motion as a fresh application for recognition in his letter No 780/30-1-96 requires the same to be sent through the proper channel which plainly means that the brightest results in the late Primary School Examination should be null and void, and the appellant should lose Rs150, for the recognition order cannot be got before the end of this month, (the latest date for the publication of the results) and that the matters for the next year would be no whit better as the present application, if construed as a fresh application for

Encl 780/30-1-96
[illegible] 1233

of Schools ... the

recognition would certainly be out of time.

The appellant most submissively prays, therefore, that His Excellency be graciously pleased to cancel the Pro. No 13808/21-12-95 of the Director of Public Instruction and to continue the recognition of the Anglo Vernacular of the Upper Primary School under the appellant's management at Madanapalli.

He begs to remain
His Excellency's most obedient
and humble servant,
M. K. Subba Rao
Manager
A. V. U. P. School
Madanapalli.

8-2-1896 }
Madanapalli }

CHIEF SECRETARY
14 FEB. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

[G. / 9]
4,000—28-1-96.

Current No. 187

Edl: DEPARTMENT.

From M. R. R.
M. R. Subba Rao
Manager
Anglo Vernacular
Upper Primary School
Madanapalli

N

No. 3

Dated 8th
Received 14th
Registered 15th
} Feb: 1896

Enclosures

SUBJECT.

Appealing against the order of the Director of Public Instruction refusing to "recognise" his School.

Order
R

The petr. should submit ~~copies of the Director's Pros. referred to by him, viz: 12707/28-11-95, 13808/21-12-95 &~~ ~~780/30-1-96~~ a copy of the correspondence which has taken place between him and the D.P.I.

Recd L No 421
d/ 25.2.96

Referred to the D.P.I for remarks.
21.2.96

712
19/2

To D.P.I
The ~~[illegible]~~ pto Desp[illegible]
25/2

Extra Current No 63 of 96
Edl Govt
d/ 13-2-96

No. 2
8-2-96

COLLECTOR'S OFFICE
13-FEB.-1896
CUDDAPAH

CURRENT No. 241 Jo of 1896
Pehr d/ 13.2.96

13/2

The Secretary of State
for India in Council
through the Collector of Cuddapah

My Lord

May it please your Lordship to take notice of the following.

The Anglo-Vernacular Upper Primary School under my management at Madanapalle had been enjoying the privilege of recognition under the Madras Educational rules and was receiving grant. In 1895 on the complaint of Mr Rev. L.B. Chamberlain the Manager of the Mission School that I and Mallayya (the manager of a pial school here) had admitted into our schools some pupils of the Mission School without the leaving certificates the Director of Public Instruction in his Pro: No 12712/28-11-95 warned both the Mission

C. Cuddapah No. 681

OF

~~Reference~~ / Disposal No. 727 / Rev 96

Cuddapah Collector's Off.

20th Feb

Enclosures. 1

Apd 26th February

From

A. C. Tate Esq
Ag Collector of Cuddapah

To

The Chief Secretary to the Governmen
Educational Departmen

Thro' The Director of Public Instructio

~~Sir,~~

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a notice under Sec. 424 of the Civil Procedure code received on 13th instant from the Manager of the Anglo Vernacular School at Madanapalle, of his intention to sue Government for a declaration that the said School is entitled to the privilege of recognition being continued under the Educational rules.

2. I have not hitherto received or heard of a similar notice and presume that this is the

school manager and myself and thus the matter ended but, 3 weeks after, the Director to the great misfortune of my school reopened the matter and without any further explanation or knowledge with one stroke of pen entirely withdraws the privilege of recognition and asks me to refund the grants already drawn (Vide Pro: No $\frac{13808}{21-12-95}$ of the D. P. I)

The subsequent Proceedings are ultra vires, inequitable, harsh and liable to be set aside in a Court of Law. If effect is given to these Proceedings there would be no recognised English Primary School in the whole town of Madanapalle.

Under Section 424 of the Civil Procedure Code I give notice that a civil suit for a declaration that the Anglo Vernacular Upper Primary School at Madanapalle under my management is entitled to the privilege of recognition being continued & that I need not refund the grants already drawn for 1895, and for the cancellation of the Pro. No $\frac{13808}{21-12-95}$ of the Director of Public Instn and for the recovery of the grants for the Primary Exam class

8th February
1896

M. K. Subba Rao
Manager, Anglo Vernacular Upper Primary School
Madanapalle
& District Court Pleader.

school manager and myself, And thus the matter ended but 3 weeks after, the Director to the great misfortune of my School reopened the matter and without any further explanation or knowledge with me stated of him entirely withdrawn the privileges of recognition and asks me to refund the grants already drawn (Vide Pro: No ~~1X~~ 13808 21-12-75 of the D.P.I.)

The subsequent Proceedings are altogether, inequitable harsh and liable to be set aside in a Court of Law. If effect be given to these Proceedings there would be no recognised English School in the whole Town of Madanapalle but Primary one of the Civil Procedure Code Under Section 424 I give notice that a civil suit for a declaration that the Anglo Vernacular Upper Primary School at Madanapalle under my management is entitled to the privileges of recognition being continued & that I need not refund the grants already drawn for 1875, and for the cancellation of the Pro. No 13808 21-12-75 of the Director of Public Instruction and for the recovery of the grants for the [illegible] [illegible]

M. K. [illegible]
Manager
Anglo Vernacular Upper
Primary [illegible]

8th February
1876

Reference ~~Reference~~ / Disposal No. 727 / Rev 76

Enclosures. 1

Cuddapah Collector's Off
20th Feb
Rpd 26th February

From

A. C. Tate Esq
Ag Collector of Cuddapah

To

The Chief Secretary to the Government
Educational Department

Thro' The Director of Public Instruction

~~Sir,~~

Sir,

I have the honor to forward herewith a notice under Sec. 424 of the Civil Procedure code received on 13th instant from the Manager of the Anglo Vernacular School at Madanapalle, of his intention to sue Government for a declaration that the said School is entitled to the privilege of recognition being continued under the Educational rules.

2. I have not hitherto received or heard of a similar notice and presume that this is the

R. 2806/96.

1896.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT.

FROM

THE Offg COLLECTOR OF Cuddapah

TO

THE Chief Secretary to the Government, Educational Department.
Thro' the Director of Public Instruction Madras

Dated 20th }
Despatched 26th } February 1896.
Received

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION 28 FEB 96

Disposal No. 727/Rev 96

ENCLOSURES.

SUBJECT.

Forwarding a notice under Sec 424 Civil Procedure Code from the Manager of the A. V. School at Madanapalle

Encl 6 DC No 681 Edl

6/Enclo. to D.P.I.'s No. 5637/96

---

proper way for me to deal with it.

I have the honour to be
Sir,
Your most obedient
Servant

For Collector

Copied 25/2
Ex'd 25/2

Cuddapah No. 681

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras, 23rd May 1896.

No. 5637.

FROM

THE HONORABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.SC., LL.D.,
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,

TO

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

In returning herewith the petition of M. R. Ry. M. K. Subba Rau, Manager, Anglo-Vernacular Upper Primary School, Madanapalle, appealing against my order withdrawing the privilege of recognition from his school, referred to me for remarks with your memo No. 421, Educational, dated the 25th February 1896, I have the honour to submit the following report:—

2. In his letter dated 22nd March

Ed. Cor. 15 (b) 1,500—21-1-96.

No 308; Rev L. B. Chamberlain, manager of the American Arcot Mission schools, Madanapalle, complained to the Inspector of Schools, Central Circle, that many of the pupils of the American Mission School had been dragged away from school and admitted into M. R. Ry. M. K. Subba Rau's school without the required leaving certificates. The Inspector first directed the Sub-Assistant Inspector of Schools Madanapalle Range to make an enquiry into the Rev L. B. Chamberlain's complaint, and subsequently made a personal enquiry himself into the matter on the 12th September last. Having satisfied himself that M. R. Ry. M. K. Subba Rau had admitted into his school several pupils from the Mission School without the necessary leaving certificates, the Inspector recommended

that

that I should take immediate and severe notice of M. R. Ry. M. K. Subba Rau's conduct with a view to putting down the ever recurring irregularities in his school (Vide the enclosed copy of the Inspector's Endorsement No 558 Cn d/ 26th September/9

From the correspondence submitted to me by the Inspector, I found that there had been violations of the interschool rules on both sides, M. R. Ry. M. K. Subb

Rau having admitted into his school some five pupils of the Mission scho without proper leaving certificates an the Headmaster of the Mission scho having admitted into the Mission some× free scholars from M. K. Su Rau's school without the required -ficates. The explanations furni by the managers concerned for t violation of the inter school rul

× number ~~no.~~ not given)

Because its case is not under consideration

W.F.

not satisfactory; but in consideration of the backward state of education in the district and in the belief that these irregularities had not been going on for a long time, I thought that a warning would suffice. I accordingly warned both the managers in my Proceedings No. 12712 dated 28th November 1895, (Copy enclosed) that any further breach of the rules would be ery seriously noticed. But on my learning subsequently that such irregularities had been going on for years the part of the managers of the tive schools at least, and that evious warnings had no effect, ppeared to me clear that a mere ning would not meet the case. efore, withdrew the privilege of gnition not from M. K. Subba chool alone but from the Mission

from whom?
Obviously Mr. Chamberlain
W.

no previous warning appears to have been given.

The correspondence shows it had. W.

Mission school also. (Vide the enclosed cop of my Proceedings No. 13808/21·12·95.) It is true that the mission school had by that time been temporarily closed owing to the pupils having been taken away to join the rival school; but the formal withdrawal of recognition was nevertheless a punishment and will entail a fresh application for recognition being made when the present religious excitement dies away and the missi school is again resorted to.

Vide endorsement
W.

3. On referring the petition h -with returned to the Assistant Insp for a full personal enquiry and re he has submitted a report which herewith submit in original for peru and favour of return. From t statistics furnished by the Assist Inspector, Government will be p to observe that in 1893, as many

To collect evidence after condemnation

Govt. wants all evidence W.

as

students from recognized schools were
admitted without leaving certificates
into M. K. Subba Rau's school; in 1894
26 students from recognized schools
were so admitted; and from the 1st
January up to 11th March 1895 - a period
of only 70 days, as many as five students
from recognized schools were admitted
into the school without leaving certi-
ficates. Mullaiya, setting at naught
the express orders of the Inspector contain-
ed in para 4 of his Memo recognizing
the school ~~Copy enclosed~~ - that the least
fringement of the interschool rules
ould entail loss of recognition,
mitted into his school without
ving certificates so many as 17
dents from recognized schools in
10 students in 1894 and 8 students
n the 1st January up to 11th March
The former school was recognized
17th May 1893 and the latter
on

This is the time when new admissions take place—

Mallayya's School is no longer in existence, & these remarks are irrelevant.

Mallayya's school was amalgamated with Subba Rao's & so the remarks are relevant.

There was no amalgamation vide para 6 of the Asst I's report. Mallayya's School was closed.

Petr. himself speaks of it as an amalgamation.

on the 15th idem. Both these Schools were amal-
gamated under the management of
M. K. Subba Rau on the 11th March
1895, and, as will appear from the
Assistant Inspector's report, Mullaiya
- a man not less guilty than M. K. Subba
Rau in the matter of violating the
rules - was himself taken as an assistan
into the amalgamated school. From th
date of the amalgamation of the two
schools up to the 28th November 189
- the date of my first order warning
the manager - ten students from
recognized schools were admitted i
the amalgamated school withou
leaving certificates. Moreover, be
the date of my Proceedings of the
November 1895 which containe
warning, and the 21st December
date of my Proceedings withd
recognition, M. K. Subba R

the 3rd December admitted into his school, without leaving certificates, two pupils from recognized schools. In these circumstances, Government will, I trust, agree with me in thinking that the manager, M. K. Subba Rau, has small cause to complain of unjust treatment at my hands. He is a pleader and an educated man and as such he might have been xpected to set an example of scrupulous adherence to the rules. Instead of this, he has furnished me ith the most glaring instance of e violation of the rules which have met with since I became rector.

M.R.Ry. Subba Rau has given ritten notice to the Secretary tate for India in Council, r section 424 of the Civil dure Code, of his intention to sue

sue Government for a declaration that his school is entitled to the continuance of recognition under the Educational Rules. I beg to submi the same to Government together with the Collector's letter thereon, No 727, dated 20th February 1896. The manager has apparently given this notice as a threat to the Department.

5. As a certifying memorandum for the school ~~was~~ was issued by the Assistant Inspector previous to my Proceedings withdrawing ~~its~~ recogni and as the manager might now f it difficult to refund the amount have instructed the Assistant I -spector not to insist upon the re of the grant

I have the honour
Sir
Your most obedt. S

D Dun

Director of Pub.

Educational

From Current No. 681 Edl

The Director of Public Instruction, Madras

To

The Hon'ble the Chief Secretary to Government
Fort St. George

DESPATCHED
23 MAY 96

No. 5637

Dated 23rd
Despd 23rd } May 1896.

Recd 25th
Regd 27/5

Enclos. 6

Subject

ubmitting with remarks
etition of M.R.Ry M.K.
Rao, manager, A V.
Primary School,
lanapalle appealing
st the Director's order
rawing the privilege
gnition from his
l-Fund

[G.]
[25 A.]
1,000—21-5-96.

187 Ed DEPARTMENT.
C. Nos. 681 of 96.

FOR ORDERS.

The details wh. have been submitted show that the DPI was amply justified in so withdrawing recognition. I do not think he could compel [illegible] to refund grants already paid, but he seems to have wisely determined that this shall not be insisted upon.

JG
4/7/96

In the beginning of 1895, there was a case of attempted conversion to christianity of a Brahman youth by the americ missionaries in Madanapalle and the american Mission Primary sch in that place was in consequen boycotted - Hindu parents takin away their boys from the schoo and sending them to non-miss or Hindu schools. M. K. Sub Rao, manager of the Hindu Primary School in Madana is a Pleader and conduct case brought against the sionary by the Guardian o Brahman youth. There the Missionary (Mr. L. B. Complained to the In that Subba Rao was into his school pupil leaving certificates and asked that immediate and severe notice might be taken of his conduct. The I. of S., Mr Logan, who held a personal enquiry into the matter on the 12th Sept 95 agreed with the Complainant, Mr Chamberlain, that Subba Rao's conduct was reprehensible and that immediate and severe notice should be taken of it. vide copy of Mr. Logan's End no 588 C d 26th Sept. 95. But the D.P.I. to whom the papers were submitted found that both Mr Chamberlain & Subba Rao had been guilty of admitting into their Schools pupils without eaving certificates and warned tem both that any repetition the irregularity would be erely noticed – vide Pro. of the . no 12712 d 28th Nov. 95.

this time the Mission School ceased to exist and the apparently on the representation Chamberlain that this larity had been going on long time cancelled the entence of his Pro. no. 12712 d

FOR ORDERS.

H. E. THE GOVERNOR.

THE HON'BLE MR. H. W. BLISS, C.I.E.

THE HON'BLE MR. J. GROSE, C.I.E.

Art 14. Ch. II of the Madras Ed. Rules.

d 28th Nov. 95 and withdrew the privilege of recognition from the Schools – vide Pro. of the D.P.I. No. 13808 d 21st Dec. 95. This the Director did without any further enquiry and without obtaining an explanation of the matter from the Manager Subba Rao. The mission school having ceased to exist, the withdrawal of recognition affects the Hindu Primary School only. Subba Rao appeals against this withdrawal requests that it may be cancelled vide Cuo. 187.

The Director's remarks on the appeal are contained in Cuo. 68.

The D.P.I. appears to have condemned Subba Rao on the strength of the representation made by some person whose name he does not want to close. The statement that Rao committed the irregu after repeated warnings borne out at all. The A. 3rd Div. clearly says that no warning was ever given, not vide para 7 of his letter no : March 1896. The act

× There is no ground for this insinuation. What does it matter who gave the information? JG

This is not altogether correct. The C. Schoolmaster is said to have given warnings. Any way the rule is a rule & well known HB

The Secy says that ~~the~~ Assist Inspector + Sub Assist Inspector gave no warnings. The rule is a well known rule JG

D.P.I. in forwarding the Govt.
reference to the Aptt-Inspector
with instruction to examine
the registers of Subba Rao's
School and to collect cases of
previous irregularities apparently
to justify his Pro. no. 13808. d
21st Dec. 95 is indefensible and is
calculated to bring discredit on
the dept. The D.P.I. had already
condemned Subba Rao & he
must have had sufficient evidence
for doing so; if he had, there
was no necessity to collect further
evidence & if he had not, his
Pro. no. 13808 d 21st Dec. 95 was
not justified. Further the
resh evidence collected by the
Aptt I. can not be admitted
s the manager might be able
explain them away & his
planation of them has not been
ried. For these reasons the
I. might it is submitted be directed to cancel his
no. 13808 d 21-12-95. The with-
l of recognition for six months
to be sufficient punishment
bba Rao's irregularity.

Withdrawal of recognition

H.M. It seems to me quite defensible & in no way calculated to bring discredit on the dept. JG

(For orders)

rubbish

There may have been & no doubt was a necessity to get details to lay before Govt. JG

"Explanation was impossible JG

G.
25 A.
1,000—21-3-96.

Educ. DEPARTMENT.

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

Nor do I think it right JG

The office has written a note on this paper which takes the petr's side with a warmth which appears un-natural, & which I do not like. — There are however two sides to every question and it is submitted for perusal. —

The D.P.I. is, & should be, the authority who should decide whether a school should be recognized or not, and a manager punished or not for breaking the rules, & it is submitted that, on principle, it is inexpedient that Govt. should interfere with his discretion in such matters of detail except on the very strongest grounds.

In this case it is impossible to have much, if any, s

the Missiona

the scholars who was entrusted to his care, & afterwards tried to damage ~~to~~ his opponents by tale-bearing against them in truly native fashion, when he was equally guilty himself. But at the same time, however the Educ. authorities got the hint that irregularities were going on, it is perfectly clear from these papers that they were going on, & that for several years the rules about leaving certificates had been persistently & almost openly disregarded. Whether or not there had been warnings does not seem to matter: the rule was there & it had been persistently broken.

The D.P.I. considered that this deserved notice. His only means of punishing a disobedient manager is to withdraw his school from recognition, & this was done. It is difficult to say that the punishment was excessive.

It is accordingly submitted that there are no grounds strong enough to warrant Govt. in taking the unusual course of interfering to the Sub... ...bba Rao's ... ...cretion in this

---

Withdrawal of recognition

from a school in

Madnapalle. –

[G. / 25 A.]
1,000—21-3-96.

FOR ORDERS.

~~H. E. THE GOVERNOR.~~

~~THE HON'BLE MR. H. W. BLISS, C.~~

THE HON'BLE MR. J. GROSE, C.I.E.

...o doubt he knew them
... all schools on an ages

DEPARTMENT

C. No.

FOR ORDERS.

It seems a matter for regret that Mr D. having once disposed of the matter should have again reopened it and inflicted the penalty that he did. It would have been far wiser I think * no matter what came to his notice subsequently to have taken care that the threatened warning was given to the two managers and seen that they were distinctly warned that any repetition of their offence would involve the possible removal of recognition.

He was distinctly within his powers in what he did though that I consider unwise. If the Sub. did not know the rules – he does not ~~[illegible]~~ assert that he did not – it was his business to know them

dist

according recognition. That he may not have been warned towards his ways is no valid defence; there were the rules which were known well enough and there can be no doubt that he knew about them.

He has not a leg to stand on in a Civil Court and I regard his notice of an action as mere bounce.

Had it not been for the notice I would have suggested that the Director should have been requested to reconsider his orders. As it is it appears to me that if he did restore his former order it would be regarded only as the result of the fear of consequences and that other persons to whom recognition was refused would be always pestering Govt. with threats of suit if the reversal of the orders of the Educational dept. was not insisted upon.

L.C.
1/7/96

Withdrawal of recognition

FOR ORDERS.

H. M.

distinctly improper "bounce"

O.S./ order No. 411, d. 7 July 1896.

The petr. ~~should~~ will be informed that the Govt. declines to interfere in his behalf.

(f.o.)

W.F. 4.7.96.
5/7/96

To D.P.I. (a.o.)
To Petr. (a.o.)

with Encls. Desp. 13.7.96

K.P./ Please see H.M.'s notes within & your note, & don't let me have more of this sort of thing.

W.F. 4.7.96. L.S.

Education Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT, MADRAS.

Memorandum. No. 421

PUBLIC INSTRUCTION 26 FEB 96

Read the following. —

From M.R.Ry. M. K. Subba Rao, Manager, Anglo Vernacular Upper Primary School, Madanapalle, dated 8th February 1896.

Referred to the Director of Public Instruction for remarks.

(By order)
W. Francis
Ag: Under Secretary.

Fort St. George.
25th February 1896.

To
The Director of Public Instruction

Withdrawal of recognition

R.R. 2683/96.

From,

Govt. Edl.

No. 421
D/25-2-96.

Refd. for remarks letter from the Manager of Anglo Ver. Up. Pry. School, Madanapalli, requesting to cancel D's Pros. No. 13808/21-12-95 to continue the recognition of the above school.

Encl I to C No 681 Edl

From.

M. K. Subba Rau.
Manager A. V. Primary School
Madanapalle.

To

D. Duncan Esquire M. A.
Director of Public Instructi
Madras.

Thro', The Asst. Inspector of Schools,
Bellary.

Sir,

With refferrence to your Proceedings No 13808/21.12.95 I beg to bring the following to your kind Consideratio

In the town of Madanapalle, there is a high School and only one English Primary School which feeds the former. Both these are under the manage-ment of Private Hindu gentlemen. In January 189 there existed besides these, a Mission primary School in which English was not however taught to Primary Examination boys so as to make them eligible for admission into the first Form. After completing their Studies in the highest Standard (4th) of the Mission School, the boys sought their admission into the lower Standards of the Hindu Primary Schoo At the beginning of 1895, the parents of boys Studying in the Mission School Stopped them from going to that School on account of the attempted conversion to Christianity of a Brahman lad by name Audiseshayy Hence the Collapse of the Mission School. At this Juncture Just as a drowning man lays hold on each Straw for his deliverance, the missionary Manager Complained to you that as the Manager of the Hindu Primary School had admitted certain pupils of his School without leaving certificates, the privileg of recognition of the School Should be withdrawn f a year.

Withdrawal of recogn

ter referrence to the reports and other papers
rwarded by your Subordinates who held a
rinute enquiry you were pleased to pass final orders
your proceedings No $\frac{12712}{28\text{-}11\text{-}95}$ deciding that the managers
f both the Schools are warned both being found equally
uilty. The proceedings were duly communicated to
e managers and thus the matter came to an end.

To the great misfortune of the Hindu Primary
School the matter was reopened probably on the
nisrepresentation of some person hostile to the
nterests of the Hindu School who might have suggested
that as the managers of both the Schools were in the
vrong, the recognition should be withdrawn from
both of them; Such a suggestion in effect affecting
only the thriving Hindu School and not the mission school
which has long ceased to exist.

The mission school was neither examined for results
grants nor is it sending up pupils for the ensuing
Primary Examination so that the withdrawal of recognition
and the withholding of the certifying memorandum
are only nominal so far as the mission school is concerned.
The case is just the reverse with respect to the Hindu School
which has been examined for results grants and
is sending up (30) pupils for the Primary Examination to be
held this month and is educating as many in the other
division of the Primary class: your Proceedings No $\frac{13808}{21.12.95}$
entirely withdrawing the privilege of recognition from
the Schools takes those pupils by surprise many of whom
Study in the Primary class with the S[illegible]ct of
ver the technical bar of passing the P[illegible] getting
amination
to make them eligible for employ[illegible] inferior
could not do without
Government Service. This they [illegible]red attendance.
recognition and without the requ[illegible] the withdrawal of

It is these Primary boys that [illegible] the other pupils
recognition affects most, secondly. The loss in the
and lastly the manager financially while in the
first and second cases is irrepar[illegible]mpensated but so
third case [illegible] manager may be C

---

long as your proceedings remain(ed) undisturbed there
is no hope. The proceedings in question are highly ha
and cruel, and the following are some of the grounds
on which they may be summarily cancelled.

1. Having once disposed of the matter in your proceedings No $\frac{12712}{28\text{-}11\text{-}95}$ you could not reconsider the question.

2. The subsequent proceedings inflecting great punishment were passed without notice to the party to be affected thereby.

3. The Proceedings cannot be made to have a retrospective effect by stopping the certifying memorandum which has been already cashed. I cannot be burdened with any pecuniary liability to refund the money as the Assistant Inspector of Schools in his Memo No $\frac{145}{11.1.96}$ suggests.

4. The punishment is apparently in excess of what the Complaint asks for, who has not come to you with clean hands.

5. The transgression of which I plead I am not guilty being avowedly the first one, the severest punishment cannot be inflicted especially in the face of such justifying circumstances.

6. The powers of grant and withdrawal of recognition of Primary Schools having been deligated to Inspector of Schools, your order in excess of his recommendations will be inconsistent with the rules.

7. I have been maintaining this Institution with a great sacrifice of my wealth and health with thoroughly altruistic motives and in the event of the withdrawal of the recognition, though I continue to maintain, the Primary Examination pupils will not be willing to study and Madanapalle will be devoid of an English Primary School which is a sad desideratum for such a thriving town which being situated in a district which is back

Withdrawal of recogn

point of education, deserves every encouragement at your hands the final authority ~~of~~ on Educational matters.

I therefore most respectfully request that you will be pleased

(1) to take into your clear consideration the above facts and the cruel manner in which the proceedings work as regards the Primary boys.

(2) to confirm the recognition of the Hindu School by cancelling your Proceedings No $\frac{13808}{21.12.95}$

(3) and pending the final order on the subject to issue urgent and necessary orders, for admission of the pupils to the ensuing Primary Examination to be held on the 25th of this Month so that the pupils may not suffer for a fault which cannot be imputed to them.

I have made this last request specially to you as, according to the legislation now in force, I cannot elsewhere obtain redress till 2 months have expired from the date of my giving intimation thereof to the proper authorities which I have this day done. There have been ~~immemorable~~ innumerable precedents where pupils from unrecognised Schools were admitted for Primary Examination and recognition is made a condition only for the publication of the results.

Begging to be pardoned for this trouble.

I beg to remain

Sir,

Your most obedient Servant

M. K. Subba Rao.

Manager

& District Court pleader.

22nd Jany 1896
Madanapalle

Office of the Inspector of Schools
Central Circle,
Madras,
15 May 1893.

No. 3811

Official Memorandum

Reference.

Officer. Assistant Inspector, 5th Division.

No. 1623

Date 15th April 1893.

Submitting Recognition Report on Mallaiya's Result school, Madanapalle.

The Inspector of Schools recognises Mallaiya's Result school, Madanapalle, Madanapalle Range, as an Upper Primary School, under the Educational Rules.

2. The teacher should if possible, pass the Lower Secondary Examination.

3. Another qualified teacher should be appointed before 31st December next, or recognition will be withdrawn.

4. The inter school rules should be strictly observed; the least infringement of these rules will entail loss of recognition.

To The Asst Inspector of Schools, 5th Dn.
Manager.

(Signed) John Bradshaw
Inspector of Schools,
Central Circle

(True Copy)

Office of the Inspector of Schools
Central Circle
Madras
18 May 1893

No. 2338
of 1893

Memorandum

Reference.
Office Assistant Inspector 6th Division
No 163
Date 13th April 1893
Submitting Recognition [illegible] the Mallayya Pandit School, Madanapalle.

The Inspector of Schools recognises the Mallayya Pandit school at Madanapalle Boys as an Upper Primary school under the Educational Rules.

2. The teacher [illegible] of pass the [illegible] Examination.

3. Another qualified teacher should be appointed before 31st December next or recognition will be withdrawn.

4. The code rules should be strictly observed. The least infringement of these rules will entail loss of recognition.

(Signed) John Bradshaw
Inspector of Schools

List of boys admitted into Mr. K. Subba Rao's School from recognised Schools for whom leaving certificates are not forthcoming.

Serial no	Admission No	Name of pupil	School from which the pupil came	Date of admission
		In 1893		
1	60	K. Adiseshanayadu	L. F. School	6.2.93
2	65	D. Venkataguruappa	Nadimichurla	8.2.93
3	66	B. Narayanachari	do	do
4	67	P. Sreeramulu	do	do
5	68	K. Raghavachari	Sholinghur	23.2.93
6	72	G. Sreeramulu	Mission School	8.3.93
7	73	Y. Raghavendra Rau	L. F. School	16.3.93
8	75	S. Sreerangachari	Mission School	20.4.93
9	76	H. Narayana Rau	Papanapalli	6.6.93
10	78	Sundaram	Voyalpad	do
11	80	G. Hanumantha Reddi	Normal School	16.6.93
12	81	Pedda Subba Reddi	Ghattu	1.7.93
13	82	M. Venkata Subba Reddi	do	do
14	84	K. Vyasachari	Tirupathi	4.7.93
15	90	S. Seshachari	Prodotore	[illegible]
16	91	A. Venkatayadu	Sidhout	7.8.93
17	92	K. Subba Rau	Mission School	2.9.93
		In 1894.		
18	98	R. Venkata Subbarayadu	Kadiri	15.1.94
19	99	Venkatanayakulu	do	do
20	100	N. Venganna	Mission School	31.1.94
21	101	G. Ramakristnaiya	Madanapalli	1.2.94
22	102	Narasimhachari C.	do	do
23	104	C. Vedachelam	Mission School	do
24	105	K. Sreeramulu	Madanapalli	do
25	106	R. Subbarayadu	Mission School	do
26	107	Nayakulu	do	do
27	108	S. Dhansing	L. M. School	7.2.94

rni relating to

Serial No	Admission No	Name of pupil	School from which the pupil came	Date of admission	Remarks
28	109	A. Nagesa Raw	Madanapalli	7.2.94	
29	110	N. Gopal Reddi	Madanapalli	9.2.94	
30	111	C. Venkatasami	A. M. Pry School	14.2.94	
31	112	M. Gopal Nayadu	Madanapalli	16.2.94	
32	113	K. Raghavachari	C. M. S. School	1.3.94	
33	114	C. Venkatramaiya	Madanapalli	8.3.94	
34	115	V. Seetharamaiya	F. C. M. Pry School	do	
35	116	K. Gurumurthi	Madanapalli do	12.3.94	
36	117	T. Ananthaiya	C. M. S. School	26.3.94	
37	118	Y. Ramaswamaiya	L. F. School.	29.3.94.	
38	119	D. Bheema Rau	Municipal School Cuddapah	12.4.94	
39	120	J. Seetharamaiya	Patchayappa's High School Madras	28.5.94	
40	121	B. Veddanna	A. Mission	6.6.94	
41	123	K. Rama Kristna Reddi	L. F. School	25.6.94	
42	124	N. Kristna Rau	Royachoti	do	
43	125	M. Narayana Reddi	L. F. School	2.7.94	

From 1st January to 11th March 1895

Serial No	Admission No	Name of pupil	School from which the pupil came	Date of admission	Remarks
44	132	V. Siddaiya	Vozalpad	2.2.95	
45	133	D. V. Venkatanarayana reddi	Dorigal	do.	
46	134	D. C. do	do	do	
47	138	B. Venkata Reddi	Mudinedu	14.2.95	
48	143	J. Surappa	Madanapalli	1.3.95	

From 11th March 1895 to 18th March 1896.

Serial No	Admission No	Name of pupil	School from which the pupil came	Date of admission	Remarks
49	206	Ananthaiya	Sholinghir	11.3.95.	
50	215	Gurumurthi	Chinthaparthi	13.3.95	
51	217	Ramappa	Vempalli	do	
52	224	Venkoba Raw	Hindu School Kadri	2.7.95	
53	231	Narasimhulu	Tarikonda	8.7.95	
54	256	Venkatarangaam	Pry School Cuddapah	9.9.95	
55	262	Kristnasami	do Bellary	22.10.95	
56	265	Sombaiya	Ananthapur	do	
57	269	Jeevaji Raw	Royachoti Normal School	do	
		sti Raw	Mission School Bangalore	18.11.95.	

Serial No	Admission No	Name of pupil	School from which the pupil came.	Date of admission
59	277	Sama Raw	Vangadani G. M. S.	3.12.95
60	278	Kristnamurthi	do	do
61	283	Sreeramulu	S. R. Tippasamudram	5.2.96.

ASSISTANT INSPECTOR O.
THIRD DIVISION.

form relating to

...ist of pupils admitted into the M. K. Subba Rao's School from unrecognised Schools for whom leaving Certificates are not forthcoming.

Serial No	Admission No	Name of pupil	School from which the pupil came	Date of admission
		In 1893.		
1	50	A. Subbasastri	Pial School.	4.1.93.
2	51	V. Narasimhasetti	do	5-1.93
3	52	G. do	do	6.1.93
4	53	G. Veerappa	do	do
5	56	Venkatarangam	do	23.1.93
6	57	Balakrishna Rao. C.	do	1.2.93
7	58	T. Ranga Rao	do	do
8	59	G. Venkatasubbaiya	do	do
9	61	S. Kristna Rao	do	7.2.93
10	62.	Vedanthachari. M.	do	do
11	63.	R. Parasuramulu	do	do
12	64	M. Govinda Rao	do	do
13	69	S. Rama Subbaiya	do	1.3.93
14	70	A. Kuppusami	do	do
15	71	S. do	do	do
16	74	O. Obila Reddi	do	6.4.93
17	77	M. Ramanjulu	do	do
18	79	P. Ananthachari	do	15.6.93
19	83	Toppa Reddi N.	do	1.7.93
20	85	G. Venkatareddi	do	10.7.93
21	86	M. Pedda Venkatareddi	do	11.7.93
22	87	M. Chinna do	do	do
23	88	M. Chinna Subbi Reddi	do	do
24	89	T. Siddiramulu	do	18.7.93
25	93	S. Madar sahib	do	3.10.93
26	94	T. Sreenivasachari	do	do.

...orm relating to

Serial No	Admission No	Name of pupil	School from which the pupil came	Date of admission	Remarks.
		In 1894.			
27	95	Venkata Rau	Pial School.	8.1.94	
28	96	E. Sama Rau	do	10.1.94	
29	97	K. Venkaturayadu	do	do	
30	103	P. Subbarayadu	do	1.2.94.	
31	122	N. Ramachendrareddi	do	25.6.94	
32	128	K. Chinna Veerareddi	do	24.9.94	
33	129	R. Peddu Veerareddi	do	1.10.94.	
		From 1st March to 11th March 1895 –	nil		
		From 11th March 1895 to 18th March 1896.			
34	202	R. Erram Reddi	Ummal Sahib School	11.3.95.	
35	203	A. Gurumurthi	do	do	
36	225	K. Venkata Reddi	Pial School	2.7.95.	
37	271	G. Ramaiju	Pry School	18.11.95.	
38	281	H. Seshagiri Rau	do	10.1.96	
39	285	M. Narayana Rau	Private School Royachoti,	6.2.96	

ASSISTANT INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS, THIRD DIVISION

C

List of boys admitted into Mallaiya's School from recognised Schools for whom leaving Certificates are not forthcoming.

Serial No	Admission No	Name of pupil	School from which the pupil came.	Date of admission	
		From 1st January 1893 to 11th March 1896			
1	119	Abdul Khader	Siddappa's School Madanapalli	6.1.93	
2	120	Venkata Subbaiju	Mission School do	9.1.93	
3	121	Venkamma (Girl)	do Girls' School do	do.	
4	122	Seshagiri Rau	Siddappa's School do	do	
5	124	Venkatasami	Mission School do	do	
6	129	Ramanna	do do	20.1.93	
7	131	Syed Sahib	do do	28.1.93	
8	132	Bade Sahib	do do	do	
9	133	Narayana Rau	do do	do	
10	137	Mohadeen Sahib	do do	16.2.93.	
11	135	Narayanasami	do do	do	
12	138	Ramaiju	do do	19.2.93	
13	139	Achappa	do do	do	
14	142	Veerappa	Subba Rau's do	do	
15	143	Gopalappa	Mission School do	do	
16	145	Mahadeen Sahib	Siddappa's do	do	
17	166	Nagesa Rau	Mission do	18.5.93	
18	182	Hyder Beg	Siddappa's do	8.7.93	Leaving cert. [illegible]
19	190	Ramanujulu Nayadu	Subba Rau's do	2.1.94	
20	191	Rama Rau	Mission do	5.1.94	
21	192	Kristna Rau.	do do	do	
22	193	Kasim Sahib	Siddappa's do	do	
23	194	Siliman Beg	do do	do	
24	195	Venkatramaiju	do do	31.1.94	
25	196	Nachappa	Ghattu School	1.3.94	
26	197	Subbaiju	Sd. Kotha Kota	8.3.94	
27	198	Narayana Charlu	Voyalpa		

to the

form relating

[illegible]cords

No	Admission No	Name of pupil	School from which the pupil Came	Date of admission
8	206	Sidda Ramayya	Subba Rao's School Madanapalli	3.4.94
	216	Pullaya	Pry school Cuddapah	1.10.94 √
	234	Sutharamayya	Siddappa's School Madanapalli	4.2.95
1	235	Krishnachari	Mission School do	do
2	236	Narasimhacharlu	do	do
3	239	Narayana	do	5.2.95
4	240	Gurusami	do	6.2.95
35	243	Sutharamanna	Ponnutapalam	do
36	245	Sripathacharlu	Mission School Madanapalli	28.2.95
37.	246	Rama Rau	do	do.

A

Name of Schools	Period	Admissions			Details of new admissions					
		Re-	Fresh	Total	Having leaving Certificate	Having no leaving Certificates: From recognised schools	Having no leaving Certificates: From other schools	Having no leaving Certificates: Total	From no schools	Total
M. K. Subba Rao's School	Calendar Year 1893	8	45	53	"	17	26	43	2	45
	Calendar Year 1894	15	35	50	2	26	7	33	"	35
	1st January to 10 March 1895 (days previous to the date of amalgamation)	8	16	24	7	5	.	5	4	16
	Total *	31	96	127	9	48	33	81	6	96
	11 March 95 to 17 March 1896 (From the date of amalgamation up to the previous day of enquiry)	22	155	177	89	13	6	19	47	155
Mallayya's School	1st January 1893 up to 10 March 95 day previous to the date of amalgamation	6	123	129	8	35	-	35	80	123

* Total before amalgamat

ASSISTANT INSPECTOR OF SCHOOLS,
THIRD DIVISION

No. 389 D. Office of the
of Schools,
Bellary 24 March 18

From,

M. R. Ry.
T. SOMASARA RAU PANTULU GARU,
Assistant Inspector of Schools, ~~Fifth~~ 3 Division.

To,

The ctor of Public Instructi
Madras.

Sir,

In reference to your Memora
No. 2860/10.3.96 recd here on the 14th
but was seen me only on the 16th
return from Vo; and, 15th being
And as well public holiday
New year's day) being me to go
at once and submit full informa
Some points with regard to Mr
Subba Rau's weekly M
after examining register
with the Sub Asst. Insp
I have the honor to stat

2. I left my o
for Madanapalle
enquiry and Exat

Chariar
days in full (18 21st). The
lowing information is given and
mitted.

M.R.Ry Subbahan School.

(a) During 1893 new admissions were
45 (No. 50 to 94) and readmissions.
Of the former 17 and 6 were admitted
without leaving certificates res-
pectively from recognised and non-
recognised schools & 2 were private
admissions.

(b) 1894 readmissions were 15, and 35
admissions (95 to 129). For
latter number 2 leaving certi-
ficates were forthcoming while the
had none

mencement of 1895 up
arch 1895 date of the
ation of two schools
been admissions on the
(to 145) and 8
ons. Only 7 pupils
leaving certificates and

---

visits as was the case with him with
other schools.

[illegible] record is

five had them not, the remaining
four being admissions from no schools.

(d) From the date of amalgamation and
up to the date of enquiry total admissions
are 177 of which 22 are readmissions.
The 155 fresh admissions can be
distributed as follows.

89 admissions with leaving certificates
19 admissions without leaving certificates.
(13 from recognised and 6 from non-recognised
schools)
47 admissions from no schools.
155

4. **Mallayya's school.** In Mallayya's
school from 1893 up to the date of amalgamation
there were 129 admissions (119 to 247). Of these
43 were from recognised schools and only
8 of these produced leaving certificates.
Of the remaining 86, readmissions are 6
and 80 came as private candidates
from no schools whatever. These statistics
for both the schools have been thrown
in the accompanying statement (Exhibit A)
[illegible] form relating to the
[illegible]

Chariar

5. The details of the pupils for whom no leaving Certificates are forthcoming are also given in the Exhibits B, B and C as desired in instructions i, ii and iii of your Memo.

6. The schools of M.R.Ry M.K. Subba Rau and M.R.Ry Mallayya were respectively recognised in Nos $\frac{3944}{17.5.93}$ and $\frac{3811}{15.5.93}$ of the Inspector of schools. There was no further recognition after the amalgamation as it was not a real amalgamation. Only most of the boys of Mallayya's school after it was closed joined M.K. Subba Rau's schools during different dates and Mallayya himself was taken as an Asstt.

7. No verbal warning seems to have been given by the Assistant Inspector or Sub Assistant Inspector to any of these schools at any time, but the Inspecting visits as was the case with him with other schools.

8 The only written order on record is one from the Inspector's office finding place as para 4 in No $\frac{3811}{15.3.93}$ recognising Mallaiya's school (Copy enclosed)

9 Then comes the order conveyed in your Proceedings No $\frac{12712}{28.11.1895}$.

10. On receipt of your Proceedings No $\frac{13808}{21.12.95}$ stopping the issue of the certifying memo to the Mission and native schools at Madanapalle, I requested in my No $\frac{145}{11.1.96}$ the Manager of the Hindu school to whom countersigned certifying memo had already been sent, to refund the money if drawn.

11 I submit letter of M.R.Ry M. K. Subba Rau dated 24-1-96 as desired by him

12 His appeal petition to Government is also returned.

I have the honor to be,
Sir
Your most obedient Servant
Asstt Inspector of schools
3 Dec

C. C. Gunther.

From

The Assistant Insp. of schools
3 Division

To

The Director of Public Instrn.
Madras.

Dated 24 March 1896

No 389 D.I.

Submitting remarks called for on the enquiry held re appeal petition of Mr. Mry M.K. Subba Rau

Encls. to ~~D.P.I.'s~~ letter No. 5637/96

(2) Encl to C No 6815 E.

Bellary
26th September 1895

No. 558 cu

Submitted to the Director of Public Instruction.

2. On the 12th instant, the undersigned held a personal enquiry into the complaint of the Rev. L. B. Chamberlain against Messrs. Mallaiya and M. K. Subba Rau.

From the correspondence it will be seen that both Mallaiya and Subba Rau have admitted to Mission school pupils into their schools without leaving certificates.

3. The undersigned agrees with the Rev. L. B. Chamberlain in thinking that their conduct is most reprehensible and that the only way to put down these ever recurring irregularities is to take immediate and severe notice of their misconduct.

sd/ J. J. Logan
Inspector of schools,
ag. in Central Circle

True copy

D Duncan
Director of Pub: Instruction.

C. C. Lowther.

F. Xavier

ncl. 4. W.C. No 681

Encls. to D.P.I.'s
letter No. 5637/96

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Dated 28th November 1895.

No. 1271/2

REFERENCE.—

Officer.— Ag Inspector of Schools, Central Circle.

No.— 558 cn

Date. 26th September 1895

Subject.

Submitting report on the charges brought against Mallanja & Subba Rau's Schools by the Rev L. B. Chamberlain.

~~To~~

~~The~~ Inspector of schools, C C & others.

From the papers submitted with the Inspector's endorsement No 558 cn dated 26th September last, it is clear that M. K. Subb Rau violated the inter-school rules in having admitted into his school some of the pupils of the mission school without proper leaving certificates. It is also apparent that there has been a violation of the rules on the part of the Rev. Mr. Chamberlain in that the Head master of the Mission school permitted some pupils to attend school pending formal enrolment and admitted in the school without leaving certificates some pupil 12/95

Ed. Cor. 1 (a) 5,000—29-11-95.

of

Instruction.

From

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE INSPEC OF SCHOOLS,

Circle, and others.

No.

Dated
Despatched
Received

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Encl. 5. to G.O. No 681 Edl

5/ Enclo. to D.P.I.'s
letter No. 5637/96

Shavear

were only free s

in that school. Bo

parties have, it woul

thus appear, violated th

interschool rules - The

authorities of both the

schools must under-
-stand that any furth

breach of the rules w

be very seriously notic

sd/ D. Duncan
Director of Publi
Instructi

(True copy)

D Duncan

Director of Pub: Instn

&c.

Proceedings of the Director of Public Instruction.

Dated 21st December 1895.

No. 13808.

REFERENCE.—

Officer.—

No.—

Date.

Read again Proceedings No. 12712 / 28-11-95

On reconsideration the Director resolves to cancel the concluding sentence of Proceedings No. 1271 dated 28th November 1895 and to direct the withdrawal of the privilege of recognition from both the mission and native schools.

2 The issue of certifying memoranda to these schools should therefore be stopped -

sd/ D. Duncan
Director of Pub: Instn

(True copy)

D Duncan
Director of Pub: Instruction.

To

The Inspector of schools,
C.C. & another.

From

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,

To

THE INSPEC OF SCHOOLS,
Circle, and others.

No.

Dated
Despatched
Received

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Encl. 3. DC. no. 68 Rd

3/c

---

iven for ying
n copied } 189 .
ined

G.O., No. DATED

CURRENT No.

OSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

OF GOVERNMENT.

EMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.