Educational (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 218

Dated 7th April 1896

INDEXED.

[**Despatch Abstract.**]

ALBERT VICTOR TECHNICAL INSTITUTE.

Declining to interfere with the refusal of the Commissioner of Government Examinations to admit the applications of certain candidates -- for admission to the next Government Technical Examination

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

[G./9]
000—28-1-96.

Gazette file

Current No. 44

Edul DEPARTMENT.

From The Manager
A. V. Technical Institute
Trichinopoly

No 679 d/ 26 March 1896

7th April 1896

Referred to the Commissioner for Govt. Examination for very early report.

(By order)
Francis
Ag Under Secretary

No. 798.

Dated 23/3

Received 25/3

Registered 25/3

TR
25/3.

Enclosures 1

SUBJECT.

Requesting to issue orders to the Secretary Govt Technical Exam^{ns} to accept as a special case the application of a few Candidates from the Institute for the ensuing Exam^{s}, which he refused for late submission

List of Candidates for the ensuing Government Technical Examination from Albert Victor Techl Institute Trichinopoly

No	Names	Building materials & Construction	Machine Drawing	Hydraulics & Irrigation works	Earth works & Road making	Surveying & Levelling	Building Drawing & Estimating	Mensuration	Practical plane & Solid Geometry	Carpentry	Book-Keeping	Comml Correspondence	Banking	Practical Telegraphy	Electricity & Magnetism	Shorthand	Hygiene	Animal physiology	Commercial Geography	Inorganic Chemistry	Amou Rs
1	S. V. Ramakrishna Iyer	I	I	I	E																13 „ „
2	K. Chinnasawmy naidu			I		I															8 „ „
3	N. R. Sesha aiyar	E			E	I	I	E													11 „ „
4	T. S. Mahalinga Sastri				E			E	E												3 „ „
5	R. Ramachandra Row						E	E	E												3 „ „
6	S. Sundaram aiyar					E		E													2 „ „
7	V. Jagannatha Row							E	E	E											3 „ „
8	N. Naurambanatha mudaliar										E	E									2 „ „
9	Samuel Pillay										E	E									2 „ „
	A. M. Sankara Iyer										I	E									5 „ „
11	D. Ansirvadam Pillay											E		E	E						3 „ „
12	A. R. Ramasawmy Iyer										I										4 „ „
13	K. G. Krishna aiyar										I		E			E					6 „ „
14	C. Srinivasan										E	E									2 „ „
15	P. S. Ramachandrarow												I								4 „ „
16	S. T. Arunachella aiyar															E					1 „ „
17	P. Punchapikesa aiyar													E	E						2 „ „
18	V. Krishnamachari													E	E						2 „ „
19	A. Ellappa aiyar													E	E						2 „ „
20	V. Panchapagesa Iyer																I	E			5 „ „
21	S. Aiyasawmy Iyer																I				4 „ „
22	V. Srinivasa aiyar												I						I		8 „ „
23	V. Sundrasa aiyar													I	I					I	12 „ „
24	A. S. Arunachellam aiyar	I			I																8 „ „
25	S. Venkatarama aiyar												I				I				8 „ „
	Total																				123 „ –

Secretary to accept the application of candidates [illegible]

Case

CHIEF SECRETARIAT
25 MAR 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS
9
10

Very urgent

Albert Victor Technical Institute,
Trichinopoly 23rd March 1896.

No. 798

414

From
THE MANAGER,
A. V. Technical Institute,
TRICHINOPOLY.

To
The Chief Secretary
to the Government of Madras
Madras

Sir,

I have the honor to inform you that I have sent up for the ensuing Technical Examination about 60 candidates whose fees come to Rs 212.

Those whose names appear in the accompanying list are candidates for the ensuing Technical Examination. The application fee of most of them had to be sent on the 31st January the last day for remitting application fees — and it was not accepted by the Treasury Officer on the ground that it was sent after 12 O'clock. The fees were at once sent by Post, but the amount was returned by the Secretary. My letters to him to accept the fees as a special case were not favourably considered, though I have explained the cause of late submission in detail.

Nearly half of those mentioned in the list are teachers of the Institute whose qualification in technical subjects is indispensably necessary for Government recognition and aid. As the School is now depending upon grants on the results of examination, the appearance of the students in the list is also very necessary.

Under these circumstances I have the honor to request that the Government will be pleased to issue orders to the Secretary to accept the application of candidates as a special case

case in the same way as, I hear, the Government has done
in the case of certain candidates for Type-writing.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
V. Srinivasa aiyar
Manager

90 Enc
208 Pub
18-2-96

CURRENT No 460

No. 841

Office of the Commissioner
for Government Examinations,
Madras, 31st March 1896.

From

The Hon'ble Mr. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc.,
Commissioner for Government Examinations,
Madras,

To

The HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
Fort St. George.

Sir,

With reference to endorsement[×] No. 679 dated 26th March 1896, forwarding to me for report a petition from the Manager of the Albert Victor Technical Institute, Trichinopoly, I have the honour to state that the applications of his pupils for admission to the ensuing Technical Examinations were refused because they were not submitted within the appointed time, and I did not consider the Manager deserving of any special indulgence, as this was not the first time he had failed to submit his application in time.

× herewith returned

80 $\frac{45}{25-1-93}$ Ed

In Article 18 of the Notification regulating the Government Technical Examinations, it is laid down that candidates must send

in

Miscellaneous
Form No. 17—300—22-10-95.

in their applications for admission not later than the 31st January for the April Examinations. In addition to this, there is also the notice to candidates annually published in the Gazette in the months of December and January, which announces the date by which applications have to be submitted. There can be no excuse, therefore, for any failure in this respect. If candidates, or if the Managers and Heads of schools to which they belong, fail to comply with the requirements of a Government notification which has been in force for years and with the provisions of which they may be expected to be acquainted, they must take the consequences of their own negligence. In the present instance, the Manager of the Albert Victor Technical Institute has merely repeated his conduct of last year and of the year before. The facts are these. In the usual course, 64 applications were received from the Albert Victor Technical Institute and these were accepted. On the 3rd February, three days, that is, after expiry of the time allowed, a post card was received from him in which he stated that a money order would be sent for Rs 80. No applications for admission were sent and no particulars were given in regard to the candidates whom he wished to be admitted to the Examination.

On

On the same date, there came from him, not a money order for Rs 80, but a registered cover containing a currency note for Rs 50, which sum was returned because, as a rule, no fees are received in this office. Again he wrote on the 11th February, forwarding a list of 27 candidates, whose fees amounting to Rs 129, he requested permissio to remit. Permission was refused. On the 3rd March he repeated his request, and he was again informed that his request could not be complied with. He addressed me again on the 23rd March — this was the fourth time — and requested that he might be permitte to remit the fees of 25 candidates, amounting to Rs 123. It will be seen from all this that the Manager himself was not sure how many additional candidat he wanted to send up. One of the reasons why he says the applications of his candidat should be accepted is that 'nearly half of those mentioned in the list are teache of the Institute whose qualifications in technical subjects is indispensably necessary for Government recognition an aid.' This, I submit, is the stronger reason why he should have sent i

the

the applications of these candidates within the appointed time, for he must be expected to have known that, if these applications were to be refused on the ground of their being submitted too late, he would lose the Government grant. And I would also add in this connection, that both last year and the year before, shortly before the commencement of the Examination, he represented to me that the great majority of the pupils whose applications he had forwarded had not paid up their fees, and requested that the defaulters might be excluded from the Examination.

2. The Manager of the Albert Victor Technical Institute has apparently no idea of the trouble involved in arranging for large public Examinations and expects that the office registers and nominal lists will remain open for his convenience. Anxious as I am to encourage candidates to come up for the Technical Examinations, and glad as I would be to allow them every facility for doing so, there are limits beyond which I cannot go in accepting late applications without running the risk of upsetting the arrangements for the Examination. I think it is necessary

to

to make the manager understand that, if rules and regulations are approved by Government and duly notified, it is intended that they should be conformed t

3. I have the honour to request that Government will be pleased to pass orders declining to interfere with the discretion of the Commissioner in a matter of this kind. The Syndicate holds the Registrar of the University responsible for carrying out the arrangements prescribed in the By-laws for the University Examinations and it uniformly declines to interfere with the discretion of the Registrar in the matter of late applications. I would ask Government to be pleased to mark in some way its disapproval of appeals like the one under reference, which, if listened to, can only weaken the hands of the officers responsible for the conduct of the Examinations

I have the honour to be

Sir,

Your most Obedient Servant,

D Duncan

Commissioner.

No. 468 Educl

~~Government Examinations~~

From

The Hon'ble Mr. D. Duncan,
M.A., D.Sc.,
Commissioner for Government
Examinations,
Madras.

To

The Hon'ble the Chief Secretary
to Government
Fort St. George.

CHIEF SECRETARY
-1. APR. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

841

Dated 31st } March 1896.
Received }

Recd 1/4

COMMISSIONER FOR GOVERNMENT EXAMINATIONS, MADRAS.
DESPATCHED
31 MAR. 1896

Enclosures to C. No
414 and encl

Subject

Returning, with remarks, the petition of the manager, Albert Victor Technical Institute, Trichinopoly, and recommending that his request may not be complied with.

TB
1/4

Memo: 679: 26-3-96
in C.No. 414/96
R 208: 18-2-96 Pub

E

Read C.No 414 & this.

HM.

JG
6/4/96

Order
mis No 218 d/ 7 April 96

The manager of the Albert Victor Technical Institute Trichinopoly will be informed that his request is inadmissible, and that the Govt is not prepared to interfere except on the strongest grounds, which do not in this case exist, with the discretion in these matters of the Commr for Govt Examns.

TR
4/4.

W 4.4.96

JD
4/4/96.

Note.

It is clear that the Manager's difficulty is to get his students to remit their fees in time.

W 4.4.96

To
The Manager A.V.T.I. q.A.o. }
– Com. for G.E. q.A.o. } Despd 9/4/96

7/4

When given for copying ______ }
When copied ______ }
When examined ______ } 189 .
When signed ______ }

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only