

Educational (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 212

Dated 4th April 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

EXEMPTION REFUSED.

Refusing to exempt Ramaswami Nayudu, a third class draughtsman, from the examination rules in view to his employment in the conservancy establishment.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
CN ^o 1292/97							

Letter from the Executive Engineer,
North Arcot Division No. 646 dated 18th
S.E.
January 1894.

With reference to G.O. No 3221W, dated
12th December 1893, forwarded with your
Endorsement No. 4 dated 9th January 1894,
I have the honor to point out that
by para 5 of G.O. No 890, Educational
dated 5th December 1893, Superintendent
Rungaswami Naidu is practically
entirely barred from further promotion.
He is employed in an out of the way
place as a channel superintendent.
His time is fully taken up with his
legitimate duties and it is quite
impossible for him to prepare for and
pass an examination in subjects which
are for the most part entirely unnecessary
for him.

2. I have already in this office
No. 449 dated 17th October 1893 given
reasons for claiming exemption
for this man. Now that Ranganadh
Mudaliar has been removed from
the District I have only 3 men who
are at all qualified as Superintendents
and Rungaswami Naidu is one of them.
He is still doing the work of a man
who is usually paid Rs 27 and he is on
Rs 10. I would respectfully

request that for these reasons the decision given in para 5 of the above order may be reconsidered. If further promotion is refused to this man I consider that a grave injustice will have been done to a hard working and valuable public servant.

3. I would also point out that the above remarks apply in a much less degree to Draftsman Kothandarama Mudaliar who is expected to pass in subjects which did not form part of the syllabus when he was in College, some of which subjects such as perspective and free hand drawing are of no practical use to him as a draftsman in an ordinary Division.

Endorsement thereon by the Supd. Engineer
IV circle No. 43 Dated 29th January 1894

Copy - forwarded to the Chief Engineer P.W.D. with a request that under the circumstances Rungaswami Naider may be exempted from passing the prescribed examination.

As regards the concluding para of the Division officer's letter, the undersigned considers it a hardship to make these draftsmen pass in such subjects as the free hand drawing and perspective subjects which did not form part of the syllabus when they were at the college and which it is not

not now possible for them to learn in the absence of opportunity for special instructions; such subjects are not essential for practical efficiency in draftsmanship.

Letter from the Executive Engineer, North Arcot Division No. 527 dated 13th December 1895.
S. S.

With reference to your Endorsement No. 423 dated 30th September 1895 and the foregoing correspondence regarding the exemption of Rungaswami Naider from passing the necessary Educational Tests to enable him to be promoted as a Superintendent, I have the honor to inform you that the Superintendent in despair of ever getting promotion in this Department is applying for a post of minor Divisional Overseer.

2. Before forwarding his application to the Collector I have the honor to request that the decision of the Chief Engineer in his Memo. No. 847 D dated 23rd August 1894 may be reconsidered as I should be sorry to lose this man who understands the work which

But whenever he gets the exemption he will remain a draftsman

Endorsement thereon by the Supdy.
Engineer IV circle No. 504 W dated
17th December 1895.

Submitted to the Chief Engineer P.W.D.
for favorable consideration with reference
to his No 1061 D dated 25th September 1895.

P. W. memo. No 151C dated 10th January
1896

1896
If the Suptg. Engineer will submit
an application in the form presented
in S.O. No 2620 W dated 24th October
1895, on behalf of Rangaswami Nayudu,
the question of his exemption will be
referred to the Educational Department
for consideration.

(True copies)

R. W. L. G.

Head to back

P. W. Secretariat

APPENDIX.

APPLICATION for exemption from Examination Rules of unpassed candidate for employment in the office of

Name, age and educational qualifications of the unpassed candidate for whose employment sanction is sought.	The post in which it is proposed to employ him and nature of the appointment, i.e., whether acting or sub. <i>pro tem.</i> or temporary.	The period for which the appointment is expected to last.	The period for which sanction is now sought (with dates of commencement and of termination).	Is this a first application or one for a renewal of a previous sanction? In the latter case quote the previous order granting sanction and state for how long the candidate has been already exempted.	What steps have been taken to procure the services of a passed candidate and with what result.	Recommendation of the Head of the Department.	Remarks.
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
<i>mye Kain</i>	<i>Superintendent Conservancy</i>			<i>First Permanent application</i>	<i>None. The candidate has been long employed as a Supt. & has given satisfactory service. It is now intended to give him a permanent sanction.</i>		
<i>3rd Class</i>	<i>Permanent</i>						

OFFICE OF THE
Executive Director

Dated 20th January 1896.

To the Chief Secretary to Government, Educational Department.

Signature _____

Designation Executive Engineer

No 1, 3

42

Submitted to the Chequamegon P.D. with

reference to his (Mr.



Amundsen

Engg, Engineer to Bridge.

890 : 5-12-93 in abt
and Pub. Service Notice

986: 22.12.94
825: 21.6.95
753: 5.10.94
462: 23.6.94
284: 25.4.94

890: 5.12.93
516: 17.7.93
406: 19.6.93
330: 16.5.93
304: 8.5.93
622: 6.8.91
284: 1.7.89



P. W. CURRENT No. 1048

[G.]
[25.]
690-7-5-95.

Ed DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 171796.

Edl: Deptt
Recd: 3.2.96
CURRENT NO. 171
No. 786C of 11th February
1896

Transferred to the
Educational Department
for favourable
consideration

Mr. Pamballu Col. Mr.
Jt. Secy. to Govt. W.D.

Recd 12/2/96
Recd 13/2/96
C.M.S.
S.L.S.

Application for Exemption
from Examination Rules of
Unpassed Candidates for
Employment in the Office
of North Arcot Division

To
The Educational Dept.
with copies of letters
from the Suptg. Engineers
to Circle No. 43W of 29-1-94
+ 504W of 17-12-95 + etc.
Encs No. 151 C of 10-1-1896

Third class

FOR ORDERS.

In this it is requested that Ranga
Swami Nayudu, a 3rd Class Draughtsman
may be exempted from the exam. rules
in view to his employment in the Con-
servancy establishment.

Govt. declined to exempt this man
in L.O. no. 304 Ed dated 8th May '96.
Considering that he was eligible for
employment as a Draughtsman.

In connection with this man Major
Smart raised the general question
whether a man in possession of a
Draughtsman's or Surveyor's certificate
should not be permitted to receive
promotion in the Conservancy establish-
ment irrespective of his having passed
the general education tests prescribed
for appointment to posts carrying pay
of Rs 15 + upwards. The Chief Eng.
supported Major Smart's proposal and
Govt. accepted it and restricted

privilege to men who possess a first or second class Draughtsmans or Surveyor Certificate and who have passed the Middle School or the Lower Secondary Exam.

vide Govt 890 & 462 Ed.
5-12-93 23-6-94

With reference to Rangaswami Nayudu Govt said that he should qualify for promotion in the Conservancy establishment by obtaining a higher class Draughtsmans certificate. vide para 5 of G.O. no. 890 Ed. 8-5-93.

It is now said that Rangaswami Nayudu is employed in an out of the way place; that his time is fully taken up with his legitimate duties and that it is almost impossible for him to prepare and pass for a higher class Draughtsmans certificate. It is also said that in despair of getting promotion in the Conservancy establishment he is trying to go out as a minor Irrigation overseer. The Executive Engineer does not like to lose his services and requests that he may be exempted from the exam. rules. In view of these circumstances the exemption may perhaps be granted in a special case.

For orders. I confess I

cannot see there is any sufficient case for promotion as a Draughtsman

Exemption from exam. rules.

FOR ORDERS.

H. M.

Order No 212 d/4 April 96

No sufficient reason is shown for reconsidering the order of Govt no 304 Ed. 2. 8th May 93

True H. M.

But there is no guarantee that if the exemption is given the man will stop with him.

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189.

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO 'C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.

A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only