Date taken out.	For what purpose.			Initials of clerk taking the paper or of attender.	Date returned.	Initials of attender.
	Department.	C. No.	Year.			

Educational (189) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 210-211

Dated 4th April 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

APPEAL BY THE MANAGER, CHURCH OF SCOTLAND MISSION COLLEGE.

Forwarding, with remarks, to the Government of India a memorial from the manager, Church of Scotland Mission College, appealing against the orders of this Government declining to increase the educational grant made by the Director of Public Instruction to the institution of which he is in charge.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
Ed	629	—	1896				
		GO 345	Pub 1/6/96				

Madras,
3rd March 1896

CHIEF SECRETARY
3 MAR. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

From
The Manager,
Church of Scotland Mission College,
Madras,

To
The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Madras,

Sir,

I have the honor to enclose a Memorial of this date addressed to the Government of India and I shall be obliged if you will submit it to the Government of Madras for transmission to the Government of India.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,
C. A. Paterson
Manager,
Ch. of Scot. Miss. College,
Madras

From

The Manager

Church of Scotland Mission College

Dated 3
Recd 3
Regd 4 } 3. 96

Subject

Forwds a memorial addressed to the Govt of I. re: the grants paid by the Madras Govt. to his college, for transmission to that Govt.

96: 20. 2. 96
51: 27. 1. 96
241: 10. 4. 94 EC
852: 20. 11. 93 EC
138: 17. 3. 87 Inc
Mem Rules B
Abstract Procs Madras Leg Council of 9-4-94.
Edn Commission Report
Pub Instn Reports 86-87. 90-91
91-92 & 93-94
177: 10. 5. 90 Marginals

CS./

For orders.

As to whether this may be referred to D.P.I. for remarks.

It contains some new matter wh. did not appear in the letter to this Govt.

9/3.96

Note for CS.

With reference to his diff dated 10/3. The new matter is contained in paras 10–19.

In para 26. Mr. Paterson summarises his prayers. Of ~~these~~ Nos. 1. 2. and 5 are new & have not apparently been put before this Govt. before. Perhaps instead of sending the papers to the D.P.I. this Govt. might point this out to India & suggest that Mr. Paterson should be told to apply to this Govt. first.

Paras 10–19 relate to three

---

was no case for the interference of the
G. of I. he dropped the matter)

In the present case we sh
forward the Memorial – as i
cannot well be brought und
Sec III. 137.(12) of the B Memorial
rules — pointing out that
is an appeal against the
discretionary authority vested
in the Pr. govt. ~~[illegible]~~ as to
the distribution of the prov
grant for Education – a dist
which is limited by the fund
available & the competing
claims of the educational
stitutions. That the prayers
the memorial in so far as
have ~~first~~ formed the subject
prior application to this Govt
are in the opinion of H.E. w
fully answered in the D.P.I.
letter & the G.O. which are
closed : that the ~~petition~~ papers
in paras 10–19 be (summar
the specific points) being new
not having formed the subj
of application for redress by
Govt. do ~~are~~ not notice) –

---

ect payment of a sum of Rs. 88
ing scholarships awarded on
results of Examns of 93 & 94 &
not sanctioned by D.P.I.

13.3.96

there any precedent
for forwarding an appeal
to the Govt. of India against
this Govts. administration of
Educational grant.
which is wholly provincial
& the distribution of wh. is
at the discretion of the
Govt.

Please see the Govt of I.'s letter recorded in G.O. no 49 Edn. dated the 7th March 1882.

This Govt seems to be bound to send the memorial to the Govt of I.

No reply to G.O. $\frac{49}{7-3-82}$ can be traced; the matter seems to have been dropped by the Govt of I.

TR. 24/3. (It is not improbable that ~~[illegible]~~ the Home Sec's letter in 1882 was off his own bat – he new matters & to other things. Specific prayers in them are:—

para 14. That India will ask the Govt. to cancel the orders making drawing compulsory in High school

para 15. That India will tell Govt. [illegible] not to make subjects compulsory [illegible] aided institutions unless they will give managers sufficient aid to enable them to teach them.

~~para 16. that~~ Other paras contain new allegations rather remote from the prayers in the petn. but apparently designed to show that Govt. is never tired of bullying private institutions.

para 16. says that although petr. was obliged by the Educl. Rules to ope[n] a new fifth form in 1892 he got no grant for the teacher.

para 17. That Govt. charges Rs 10.6.0 for a piece of waste sandy ground used by petr. as a play ground, & that a San. certificate cost Rs 20 fee + carriage allowance to the ~~sanitary~~ Dist. Surgn.

para 19. 20. That the D.P.I. does

[illegible] applications [illegible]

mmending this appeal; and

[illegible] up by saying that Govt.

[illegible] no recommendation

[illegible] make in the Memlsts

behalf. Please draft as above

11/3

Mr. Patersons

memorial to India

about his grants in aid

E.G.;

(for orders)

Mr. Patersons
memorial to India
about his grant in aid

Es.

(for orders)

[G./24.]
1,000—15-11-95.

Edl. DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in proceedings :—

Again G.O., dated 27th. Jan. 1896, No. 51. Edn.
Again G.O., dated 189 , No.
Current No. 785 of 1896, dated , from
" " , 189 , " , "
" " , 189 , " , "

Despo.

Please submit the letter ~~LX~~ before despatch.

JG
30/3/96

30/3/96

A.G.H
2.4.96

ORDER. No. 210 d/4 April 96

The memorial will be forwarded to the Govt. of India.

Ap 20.3.96

(F.O.)

25/3/96

To memorialist
despo 7/4/96

Letter No. 211 d/4 April 96

To Sec. to Govt. of India. Home D.

memorial & G.O.
No. 51 of 96
Despo 7/4/96

Sir

I ~~have~~ am directed to forward herewith a memorial from the Manager of the Church of Scotland Mission College, Madras, appealing against the order of this Govt. dated 27th. Jan 1896 No. 51, copy of which is enclosed, declining to increase the Educational grant made by the D.P.I. to the institution of which he is in charge.

2. I am to ~~point out~~ state that

those portions of the memorial in which ~~an appeal is preferred against~~ a revision of the orders already issued is asked for constitute an appeal against the discretionary authority vested in the Govt. of this Presidency as to the distribution of the provincial grant for education – a distribution which is limited by the funds available, & ~~by~~ is based upon a consideration of the competing claims of the very many educational institutions which apply for aid.

FROM ORDERS.

C. S.

~~The memorialist's prayers in these portions of the his petition and I am to say that in the opinion of the H.E. the G. in C. the prayers made in these are~~ These points of the memorial are, it is believed, fully answered by the D.P.I.'s letter dated 5th Nov. 1895 No. 11818, copy of which is enclosed, on the former memorial to this Govt., & ~~by~~ in this Govt.'s order thereon, ~~already alluded to~~ cited above.

~~besides others~~,

2. A considerable portion of the memorial is, ~~however~~ further, taken up with discussions upon questions of educational policy (e.g. paras 10–13, 16–18, & 20) ~~upon~~ into which it appears to be unnecessary now to ~~discuss~~ enter, & with requests which have not yet been made in the first instance to this Govt. Instances of these latter are to be found in para 14, (where the Govt. of India is requested to cancel this Govt.'s order making the teaching of drawing compulsory in High Schools) ~~&~~ & para 15, (where it is asked that this Govt. may be instructed to ~~order~~ make a subject compulsory in an aided institution only when it is prepared to ~~proportionately~~ increase sufficiently the aid given to the manager ~~thereof~~); ~~& para 19, (in which the request is made that certain grants to which the memorialist believes himself to be entitled may be paid over to him.)~~ ~~I am to~~ With respect to these ~~prayers~~ & other points I am to say that inasmuch as they have not as yet formed the subject of any petition for redress directed to this Govt. they do not appear to call for notice in forwarding the present memorial.

3. In conclusion I am directed to say that H.E. in C. has no recommendation

Note.
He made this request or rather included it in para. 16 of his Memorial to this Govt. 2 months.
See para 2 (5) of D.P.I.'s reply d 5 Nov. 95. Better omit
S.V.R.

to make in favour of the memorialist.

Wt 25-3-96

S.J.E
25/3/6

Appeal about grants by Manager C.S.M. College

(from orders).

~~G. S. Forbes Esq~~
(1) Hon. Mr Rose
(2) Hon. Mr Bliss
(3) H.E. the Governor

When given for copying ______
When copied ______
When [illegible]*ined* ______
When signed ______
189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.