Educational (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 187

Dated 31st March 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

APPLICATION FOR AN ASSISTANT ENGINEER'S PLACE.

Rejecting the application of V.T.Srinivasa Iyengar for an Assistant Engineer's place.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

3/525 14

To

His Excellency the Right Honorable
Beilby Baron Wenlock, G. C. I. E.,
GOVERNOR IN COUNCIL, MADRAS.

The humble memorial of V.T. Strinivasa Aiyengar,
B.A., B.C.E., *Supervisor D. P. W., Nellore District,*

Most Respectfully Showeth :—

1. That he was a student of the College of Engineering, Madras, standing second in the theoretical examination for Engineers held in December 1889, and has since undergone successfully a practical course for two years. He prays that the Government may be pleased to confer on him one of the appointments in the Assistant Engineer Grade in the D. P. W., of this presidency.

Not in the file

2. That under the proceedings of the Government of India, dated 13th December 1884, 1443 G., one Assistant Engineer is always selected from the students of the College of Engineering, Madras, every year, and to the most successful of the students the post is guaranteed.

3. That on the change of the Curriculum introduced in 1886, by which the course of study was extended from two to five years, three such Assistant Engineer's posts fell vacant, as there were no passed students to select from during the years 1888, 1889 and 1890.

4. That on the application of the students of the college, to guarantee one appointment to the College in addition to the usual appointment, the Government of India, in their order No. 2523 G., dated 21st December 1887, decided that the additional vacancy might be filled up by the second best of those, who should pass in 1888, the appointment being made in April 1889. It is clear that, on the date of the order, the Government of India contemplated only one such additional vacancy falling, as it was stated that the course extended from *two* to *three* years, while the fact that the course of study was extended from two to *five* years and not from two to three was overlooked. Memorialist begs leave to submit that the principle of the question operated against persons, in the standing of the memorialist, evidently by mistake, because the difference in the number of the appointments to fill up was three instead of as supposed by Government only one.

printed in G.O. 97 11-1-92

5. That the application of the principle enunciated by the Government of India was sound in itself, (viz) that the student, who would have been selected, if there had been an examination in 1888, to fill up the guaranteed post for 1888, should be the second best in the next year, but it did not go further for the reason given in the last para.

6. That if they had contemplated the falling in of three vacancies, which in fact occurred, memorialist has little doubt that the Government of India would have passed a similar order for the two other vacancies.

7. That the memorialist represented these facts to the Government of Madras, but they decided that they adhered to the principle stated in their order No. 260 W., dated 7th May 1889, which sets out, that there is no ground for making additional appointments from the College, and that the students do get as many as before, only two years later. But considering the facts, that if there had been a regular examination each year, all these vacancies would have been conferred on the College students, and that the vacancies had fallen in abeyance, because no selections had been made for the years 1888, 1889 and 1890, which selections ought to have been made from the College students, memorialist respectfully submits that these vacancies are to be considered as due to the College students, and that they have a preferential claim to them.

L

8. That the principle of conferring appointments conditionally on the results of the theoretical examination, as was done in Order No.260 dated 7th May 1889, does not seem to be in keeping with the orders of the Government of India, which require the nominees to have passed through the courses fixed by the College most successfully, and to have taken the degree of B. C. E. According to the rules now in force, no candidate is considered fit to receive a diploma (college) unless be completes the practical course *successfully* which forms a part of the course of study fixed for the Engineers. Further, the University does not confer the degree of B. C. E., until the successful candidates in the theoretical examination produce a certificate from the College in token of having undergone the practical course successfully. Thus the nominees are neither A. C. E's nor B. C. E's at the time of their nomination.

Not in the file
R

9. That the Government of Madras, on a subsequent petition presented by memorialist, passed an order that he had no *claim* for an appointment in the Engineer Grade—No. 1223 W. of 13th April 1892. But he begs to be excused for submitting that he still considers he has a claim according to the principle recognised by the Government of India in their Order No. 2529-G. dated 21st December 1887 as shown in para 5, and for praying that the principle may be interpreted in the light explained above, as it is in consonance with the views of the Government of India. Under this principle, 13 vacancies intervene between the years 1885 and 1897, when the Provincial Scheme comes into force, but only 11 of them would be filled up, the two others remaining in abeyance and lost to the College for ever.

10. That the memorialist craves leave to submit that, since entering the department, he rendered exceptional service in the investigation of new projects, and that he has been specially recommended on several occasions by his immediate superiors.

Not in the file

Under the foregoing circumstances, memorialist trusts that the Government will be pleased to take into favourable consideration the peculiar hardship involved in the fact now submitted, (*viz*) loss of two guaranteed appointments to the College. He accordingly prays that the Government will be gracious enough to reconsider their previous Order No. 1223-W. of 13th April 1892, and bestow on him one of those two guaranteed appointments still at the credit of the College.

For which act of kindness and justice, memorialist will ever pray.

V. S. Srinivasa Ayengar
Superintendent

1A
No. 809
8th JAN 96

[illegible]
671/96

6
Ed. Depts.
1
116

Letter from M. R. Ry. V. T. Srinivasa Iyr
Supervisor, to the Executive Engineer, Nellore
Division, dated 27th December, 1895.

Reference No. 707 S, dated 5th November '95

I beg to bring to your notice that I have shown in para 9 additional grounds for presenting this memorial and I therefore request that you will be good enough to forward the same.

2. While the course of Engineers was chang from two to five years no Selections wer made during the years, '88, '89, & '90.

3. The Government of India on the application of Students of my previous batch, decided that the appoin-ment which should have been made in 1888 will be given to the Second Student of that batch.

4. Hence the appointment that would have been made in '89 had the old curriculum were in force ought, I think, according to the principle recognized by the Government of India, to have been conferred on the 2nd Student

Of

28—500—15-6-94.

the Succeeding batch (i.e.) the petitioner.

No. 364 S.E. / 9-1-96 Forwarded to the Superintending Engineer, II Circle.

Sd/. R. A. Srinivasa Iyengar
Executive Engineer,

No. 528 M. / 21.1.96 Forwarded to the Chief Engr. Public Works Department for favourable consideration.

Enclos 2.

2. Mr. V. T. Srinivasa Iyengar is fully qualified for the ~~[illegible]~~ position of Assistant Engineer, and is a very capable and hardworking man.

3. Provided his appointment to the Engineer establishment will not interfere with the promotion of any deserving Upper Subordinate to the rank of Assistant Engineer, Provincial establishment, the undersigned strongly recommends the promotion of Mr. V. T. Srinivasa Iyengar.

4. The argument that two appointments as Assistant Engineer have been lost to the College appears to be

Perfectly true.

W. C. Baddeley
Major R. E.
Superintending Engineer
II Circle

28—500—15-6-94.

K.P. 4-2-96

41 : 28-1-91
481 : 7-7-90.
260 : 7-5-89.
89 : 3-2-88.
120 : 28-2-89 S.C.
107 : 25-2-89.
384 : 2-7-88.
98 : 7-2-88.

585 : 31-10-87.
586 : 31-10-87.
744 : 18-11-90.
549/50 : 31-7-90.

From

The Sup'g Engineer

Second Circle

To

The Chief Engineer
P. W. Department

Dated 21st January 1896

Encl 2

No. 528 ML

M.R.Ry. V. T. Srinivasa Iyengar Supervisor — Forwarding the application of — for an Asst Engineer's place, with recommendation

1223W
92

P. W. CURRENT No. 671

Encls 2

Ans: 23. 1/1656

Ed

No. 498 C d/ 29th January 1896

Transferred to the Educational Department, with reference to G.O. No. 260 Edl: dated 7th May 1889 and G.O. No. 71 Edl dated 28th January 1891.

D. McW. Campbell Lt: Col. R.E.
Joint Secy to Govt: P.W.D.

CHIEF SECRETARY
30 JAN. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

CHBD
2/1/96

Recd 30/1/96

Encls 2
(Ed)

CURRENT No. 429
Edul

REMINDER.

No. 498/1 C

Government of Madras.
PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

E

To
The Educational Deptt:

E.C. 11/1/96

No. 498C dated 29.1.96

Transferring application of V. T. Srinivasa Aiyangar, Supervisor, for an Asst: Engineer's place.

Madras, 25th March 1896.

The undersigned has the honour to invite attention to the { ~~Order~~ Memo. } noted on the margin, and to request the favour of an early reply.

D. McW. Campbell Colonel R.E.
Joint ~~Under~~ Secretary to Government, P.W.D.

Recd 26/3..
Regd 26/3

500—14-5-95.

25—500—15-6-94.

K.R. 4-2-96

E

Educational Deptt

25 March 96

CHIEF SECRETARY
26. MAR. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

116/96
C 23/3

No. 635 C

Government of Madras.

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT.

MEMORANDUM.

UNDER SECRETARY
8 FEB 96
PUBLIC WORKS DEPT.

THE undermentioned documents are forwarded to the Under Secry: to Govt. Edl. Deptt. with reference to his ~~letter~~ No. 116/96 dated 31th January 1896 –

Original G. O. No. 1223 W. dated 13th April 1892 –

~~(By Order.)~~

CHEPÂK, MADRAS,
5th February 1896

C. H. E. Knolton

Under Secretary to Government, P. W. D.

To

The Under Secry: to Govt.
Educational Deptt. –

28—500—15-6-94.

[G./25]
1,000—5-11-95

Ed DEPARTMENT

C. No. 116 of 96.

FOR ORDERS.

This petn must be rejected.

J S
27/3/96

Read Curs 116 & 429 of 96

Order No. 187 d/31 March 96
mis

The petr's request cannot be granted.

(from orders)

W. 30.3.96

Ld 30/3/96

1/3.

The P.W.D. G.O with a copy of the petn

Copied 1/4.
Despd 2/4

The Petr. belonged to the batch of Engineer Students of the College of Eng. who completed their theorectical course in Dec. 89. In 1890 he applied for an Astt Engineership on the ground that the raising of the College Course from two years to five resulted in the loss of three Astt Engineer appointments to the College and in G.O. no. 71 Ed. d 28th January '91, the Govt declined to entertain his application. The question raised by the petr was then fully considered. vide G.O. no 260 Ed. d 7th May 89 also.

After completing his practical course, he again applied for an Astt Engineership in 1892 and his application was considered in the P.W.D. In G.O. no.

no 1223 W. D 13th April '92, it was decided that the petr. had no claim for an Asst. Engineership and permanent appointment as a Supervisor 2nd grade was given him.

The addl. facts which the petr. says he has given in para 9 of his petn. do not in the least affect his case; his petn. may therefore be rejected.

The question of giving the petr. promotion for good service done and abilities displayed since his appointment as a Supervisor is one for consideration in the P.W.D.

18.3.96

21/3/96

7/2
4/3.

Petition from an old Student of the College of Engineering for an Asstt. Engineership.

From ~~FOR~~ ORDERS.

C.S.

~~H. E. THE GOVERNOR.~~

~~THE HON'BLE MR. H. W. BLISS, C.I.E.~~

~~THE HON'BLE MR. J. GROSE, C.I.E.~~

C 23/3
Q 27/3

---

given for copying ______
copied ______
examined ______
signed ______
} 189 .

AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

ENT No.

CLOSURES TO C. No

HE OF GOVERNMENT .. .. .. A A A A A A A

TEE OF GOVERNMENT.

EMENT WITH DITTO.

ERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.