

Educational (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 172

Dated 27th March 1896

INDEXED.

[Despatch Abstract.]

LOWER SECONDARY EXAMINATION.

Recording a letter from the Commissioner for Government Examinations explaining the omission of certain figures noticed by Government in the statements that accompanied his report on the --.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

CURRENT NO. 358

No. 658

OFFICE OF THE COMMISSIONER
FOR GOVERNMENT EXAMINATIONS,
Madras, 14th March 1896.

From

THE HON'BLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc.,
COMMISSIONER FOR GOVERNMENT EXAMINATIONS,
Madras,

To

THE HON'BLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
Fort St. George.

SIR,

With reference to para 4 of G.O. of the 24th October 1895, No 843, Educational, reviewing my report on the examinations under my control held in 1894-95, I have the honour to submit that there is no inaccuracy in the statements relating to the Lower Secondary Examination. The total 6,175 shown under 'Examined' for 1894-95 in the table given in section (i) of para 4 of my report is the total of the following classes of candidates:-

- (1) those that appeared for the full test
- (2) those that appeared for the compulsory subjects and one optional subject
- (3) those that appeared for the Compulsory Subjects

Subjects only
and (4) those that appeared for one or more
optional subjects only

It will thus be observed that the first
three classes of candidates alone
brought up a first language and
they number 5,487, which is the total
referred to by Government. The fourth
class, numbering 688, brought up one
or more optional subjects only, and
were not examined in a first language.
These 688 are included in the figures
shown in the table given in section (iii)
or in section (iv) of para 4 of my report.

2. As regards the one Hindu female
candidate who brought up English
as her second language, I beg to say
that as English is not ordinarily a
second language and the candidate
in question brought it up as such
under the special concession allowed
to certain female candidates, I
regarded it altogether as an exceptional
case and did not think it neces-
sary to include her in the statement

furnishing

furnishing particulars regarding
the second languages brought up.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
your most obedient servant,

D. D. D. D.
Commissioner

843: 24. 10-95 origl.
868: 11- 11 95-86
764: 94-86

When given for copying _____
When copied _____
When examined _____
When signed _____ } 189.

From
THE HON. MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc.,
COMMISSIONER FOR GOVERNMENT
EXAMINATIONS,
Madras.

To
THE HON. THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
Fort St. George.

Order No. 172 dt 27 March 96
mis

Recorded.

W. B. S. 96

843/95 origl.

CHIEF SECRETARY
15 MAR. 1896
Dated 14 }
Received 15 } INT OF March 1896.
Rept 16/3
ENCLOSURES. Nil
Subject.

Explaining why certain figures were omitted from certain statements relating to the Lower Secondary Examination contained in his annual report for 1894-95 reviewed in G.O. of the 24th October 1895, No 843, Educational.

From
Plain text of Exam report
+ copy of exam report
No

712
25/3.

To the C.G. Es 960
30/3
dis 31/3/96

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189 , DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT A A A A A A A

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.