Educational (1896) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 101

Dated 24th Feb. 1896

INDEXED

[Despatch Abstract.]

JUVENILE OFFENDER.

Declining to accede to the request of a relative of -- Subramania Aiyar that the boy may be released from the Chingleput Reformatory in order that the thread ceremony may be performed within the age laid down in the Vedas.

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
C	1285		1896				
	701	Ed	11 11 96				

[G/9]
2,000—15-8-95.
A

Current No. 147 59

DEPARTMENT.

Ed

From

S. Krishnasami Ayyr
Clerk, Collr's Office
Salem

No.

Dated 15
Received 17 } 1.96
Enclosures (Ayd) 18

SUBJECT.

Requ^t that the petr's Cousin by name Subramanian now at the Reformatory School, may be discharged And made over to the petr.

Ref
Please peruse upon a copy of Reformatory school Rules

Note for Jt
25[illegible] 10 12 88 &c

The papers pertain where to what the juvenile in question; but that was from memory. There is nothing [illegible] the name [illegible]

2545 : 10 : 12 : 88 & c
409 : 22 : 2 : 95
2416 : 5 : 10 : 94
1277 : 25 : 5 : 94 Samba
£35 : 30 : 4 : 91 & c

Ex Dept.

Dft Jr. No 101 dy 24 Feb 96
Fair No
29/2/96

The Asst is not prepared to comply with the petrs request.

Wraigh
21/2/96

Note.

This appears to be the boy referred to in G.O. 2416 / 5.10.94 Jr

boy ordered to be confined in the Reformatory school for five years from 2.7.94 so he has 3½ years more to remain there. Petr. wants him released at once otherwise the Brahmachari is receiving the omission to perform the boy's thread ceremony within the age laid down in the Vedas will cost him much money.

Wraigh

Copies sent

To the Petr. [illegible]

See note of 18/2/96 on current no 95

Jt

19/2

Salem
16.1.1896

CHIEF SECRETARY
16 JAN. 1896
GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS

To

His Excellency the Right
Honorable, the Governor in Council
Fort St George
Madras

May it please your Excellency,

The humble petition of
S. Krishnasamy Iyer, Native of
Salem district, most respectfully
Showeth the following;

(1) Petitioner's Cousin and the only
son of his widowed aunt
was living in a village (Kothan
-bodi) in the Attur Taluk,
Salem District under the
protection of petitioner's
father. On some day
in December 1893,

unfortunately the boy was found missing and his sudden absence from home was a source of considerable anxiety and sorrow for the family. Immediately persons were sent after him to various places and alas no clue as to his whereabouts was discovered during the whole of 1894 and the first quarter of 1895. Petitioner's uncle having got some information at last went to the Town Submagistrate's Court Trichy in April 1895 and learnt that the boy was convicted of petty theft in Calendar cases Nos 208+209 94, sentenced to suffer five weeks' imprisonment in each, and

(12)

was sent to the Reformatory School at Chingleput. Petitioner's father addressed the Deputy Superintendent of Reformatory School on 13.5.95 and his reply gave some consolation to the boy's widowed mother sunk in despondency.

2 The Petitioner's cousin's sacred thread marriage or Upanayana ceremony which is the most important ceremony for a Brahman, has not yet been performed and the age limit fixed by the Sacred doctrines (The Vedas) is fast closing and Prayaschittam has to be done at a great cost, if the above ceremony is not performed soon.

3 Petitioner's father is a landlord and village Munsiff in

is a clerk on Rs 20. the Salem
Collectors office. Petitioner
learns from the Deputy Supdt
that the boys character
in the school is very satisfactory
The boy's name is Subramaniam
and he is pupil No 281.

4. The Petitioner therefore prays that
your Excellency may be pleased
to direct that the boy be
discharged from the school
before his time and made
over to Petitioner. Petitioner
is bound and hereby under-
takes to educate the boy
and look after him carefully.
The boy widowed mother
(Petitioners maternal aunt) is
living with Petitioner and under
his protection. For this act of
kindness the petitioner shall
as in duty bound ever

pray

pray.

Petitioner most respectfully
begs to remain
your Excellency's most obedient
servant.

S. Krishnasamy Dyer
Petitioner
15-1-96

Address
Clerk Collectors office Salem,

[G / 69]

1000—15-8-95.

Judl. Department.

CHIEF SECRETARIAT. MADRAS.

Memorandum. No. 2144

731—1—96.

Read the following

The D. Magistr. of Trichy is requested to forward to Govt. copies of the Calendars in cases Nos 208 & 209 of 94 on the file of the Town Sub Magistr. of Trichy.

From CS's order

W. 29/1/96

H. 28/1/96.

To The D. Magistr., Trichy.

W.S. 29/1

Desp 31/1

Undate
887

In the Court of the Town 2nd Class Magistrate of Trichinopoly.

Judgment in Calendar Case No 209/1894

Accused's Name :— Subramaniyan

Offence :— Theft after previous conviction
Sections 379 & 75 I.P.C.

Finding :— Guilty

Sentence :— Five weeks' Simple Imprisonment

The accused was charged by the Police under Sections 379 and 75 I.P.C.

2. The 1st Witness Narayanan Muthiriyan says that he left his bandy before the Court in North Chittrai Street Srirangam for repairs on the night of the 2nd April last and found it missing on the next morning; that when he was searching for it he was informed it was in the Police Station and that when he went there he found it. The price of the bandy is Rupees 3.

3. The 2nd Witness Krishna Iyengar

Iyengar states that he saw accused driving the bandy before the Court; that a Constable stopped him on the Tiruvanaikaval Road and asked to whom the bandy and the bullock belonged; that when he was questioning the accused he approached the Constable and informed him the bullock belonged to one Narasimha Iyengar; and that thereupon the accused was taken to the Police Station with the bandy and bullock before the Court.

4. The 3rd Witness Venkatachellam Constable No 585 speaks to the previous convictions of the accused as specified in Counts Nos II & III of the charge.

5. The accused pleads guilty to the charge and admits his two previous convictions.

6. The accused aged 12 years is wandering about the town. His native place is Salem. He was convicted on 20. 4. 94 for Criminal breach

breach of trust under Section 406 I.P.C in Calendar Case No 164/1894 and for theft in a building under Section 380 I.P.C in Calendar Case No 171/1894. Within an interval of eight days he has committed two more thefts in two places. He stole the bandy of the Complainant and a bullock of another on the same night. He is a Brahmin by caste and seems to be a clever rogue. I think his detention in the Reformatory School will produce better results. As the offence is clearly proved against him I find the accused guilty under Section 379 I.P.C for theft and as he is deserving of enhanced punishment under Section 75 I.P.C he is sentenced to suffer 5 (five) weeks' Simple Imprisonment.

7. Under Section 517 C.C.P the bandy before the Court was ordered to be restored to the 1st Witness Narayana Iruthriyan who is the owner thereof.

8. This Sentence to take effect after the expiration of the one passed in C. C. No 208/1894 on the file of this Court.

Particulars of previous Conviction

Date	Offence	By what Court	Sentence
20. 4. 94	Section 406 I.P.C.	Town 2nd Class Magistrate Trichinopoly	6 mts
Do	Section 380 I.P.C.	Do	Do

Signed / D. Raja Rao
Town 2nd Class Magistrate

/ True Copy /

Rallia Rama Sastri
Town 2nd Class Magistrate

In the Court of the Town Second Class

Magistrate of Trichinapoly.

Wodeate

Judgment in Calendar case no 208/1894

Accused's name — Subramania Aijan

offence — House-breaking by night with
intent to commit theft and theft
in a building Section 457, 380
and 75 Indian Penal Code.

Finding - guilty

Sentence - Five weeks' Simple imprisonment

1 The accused was charged by the
Police under Sections 457 and 380 Indian
Penal Code for house-breaking by night
with intent to commit theft and theft
in a building

2 The 18th witness Narasimha
Aijengar says that he tied his —
bullock

bullock within the temple in Srivaigam where rice is cooked and shut the door and slept inside; that when he got up next morning he found the bullock missing and when he was searching for it, he received information at about 10 o'clock in the noon his bullock was at the station and that he thereupon went there and found his bullock now before the Court. He says its price is 6 Rupees. It is of a small and stunt growth.

3 The 2nd witness Krishna Ayyangar identifies the bullock as belonging to Complainant. He further states that when he was returning from Thiruvanakoil, he saw accused driving the bullock; that a Constable prevented and asked him how he came by the bullock and handy; that when the

Constable

Constable was questioning him. he
approached and informed him the
bullock belonged to one Narasimha
Aiyengar and that the Constable there-
upon took the accused to the Station
with the bullocks and handy before
the Court.

4 The 3rd witness Venkatachellam
Constable No 585 Speaks to the previous
Convictions of the accused as Specified
in counts Nos II and III of the charge.

5 The accused pleads guilty to
the charge. He makes a clean breast
of the offence and admits his previous
convictions.

6 The accused aged 12 years is
wandering about the town. His native
place is Salem. He was convicted
on
Sil

4

on 20- 4- 1894 for Criminal breach of trust under Section 406 Indian Penal Code in Calendar case no 164/1894 and for theft in a building under Section 380 Indian Penal Code in Calendar case no 171/1894 on the file of this Court. Within an interval of eight days he has committed thefts in two places. He Stole a bullock of the complainant within the temple during night and on the same day Stole a Small handy to suit the bullock which was left outside in Chittrai Street Srirangam He is a brahmin by caste and seems to be a clever rogue. I think his detention in the Reformatory School will produce better results. As the offence is clearly proved against him

I

200

5/ I find the accused guilty under Sections 457 and 380 Indian Penal Code for house-breaking by night with intent to commit theft and theft in a building and as he is deserving of enhanced punishment under Section 75 Indian Penal Code, he is sentenced to 5 (five) weeks simple imprisonment.

7 Under Section 517 CPC the bullocks before the Court was ordered to be restored to the 1st witness Narasima Anjengar who is the owner thereof.

Particulars of previous convictions.

Date	Offence	By what Court	Sentence
20.4.1894	Section 406 IPC	Town 2nd Class magistrate Trichy	6 Six weeks
Do	Section 3 same	Do	Do.

Note

(Signed) D Rajaram
Town 2nd Class magistrate

(True copy)

B Venkata Ramanayya
Town 2nd Class magistrate

179/96

Trichinopoly District Magistrate's Office. 179

7th February 1896.

Lr No. 99 — 96. C. 2

From

J. Andrew Esquire.

DISTRICT MAGISTRATE OF

TRICHINOPOLY.

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Judicial DEPARTMENT.

MADRAS.

SIR,

With reference to the memorandum No. 244 dated 31st January 1896, I have the honour to forward herewith copies of Judgments in C. C. Nos. 208 and 209 of 1894 on the file of the Town 2nd Class Magistrate of Trichinopoly.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedt. Servant,

V. Ramaswamy R.

Fe District Magte.

jvv

6

83 Prot

1

6774/179

179
~~557~~
Jud Dept
Ext

Immd 244-317-1-96
on C N° 59/96.
Papers marked on it

1896

Department.

FROM J. Anderson Esq.
~~The~~ District Magistrate,
Trichinopoly.

To
The Chief Secretary to Government,
Judicial Department,
MADRAS.

Dated 7th
Despd. 11th } Febry. 1896
Recd. 12th

No. 99 of 96.

Enclosures, (2)

CHIEF SECRETARIAT GOVERNMENT
12 FEB 1896
DEPARTMENT OF MADRAS

Forwarding Copies of Judgments in C. C. Nos. 208 and 209 of 1894 on the file of the Town 2nd Class Magte of Trichinopoly.

Please see suggested order & note on back of current 59.

The copies of the Calendars were sent for under C.S.'s orders & are attached ~~enclosed~~.

W 25-2-96

WM

The lad appears to have been 4 times committed. In 1894 he was 12 years old. "Wandering about the town." Just the lad for the Reformatory. The draft order endorsed on C. No. 59 may issue.

S 28/2/96

when in the Colys ordinarily.
Jr.
14-2-96
As all was sent in the Office
note the Head Assistant's mind.

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____
When signed ____
} 189

READ AGAIN G.O., No. DATED
189 ,

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.