Educational (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 775

Dated 19th Sept. 1895

INDEXED.

7-8

[Despatch Abstract.]

FIRST ASSISTANT PROFESSOR IN THE LAW COLLEGE

Sanctioning the payment of remuneration to the -- at the rate sanctioned for Professors during the last and the current terms

BACK PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.

FURTHER PAPERS.

G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.	G.O.	No.	Department.	Date.
G.	75		96				

Ed. Cor. 17. 2,000—29-3-94.

1895.

Educational Department.

From

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

Fort St. George.

DESPATCHED 24 APL 1895 No. 4834

Dated 23rd
Despatched 24th } April 1895
Received 25-4-

No.

ENCLOSURES.—

Subject.

Requesting sanction for payment of remuneration to the First Assistant Professor last college at the rate sanctioned for Professors under the circumstances stated and for the reasons pointed out within.

Ref. on 2 ends. No 1031 dt 14-5-95

The D.P.I. is requested to explain with greater clearness the grounds on which his recommendation is based. It is not clear what work the first translator Professor ordinarily performs, whether he has been called upon to perform more or less duties or such would justify an increased rate of pay on the ground that they do not legitimately fall within the scope of an Asst Prof's duties.

for D.O

Order 9555 11/5/95.

T.-D.P.I.(2)

Sanctioned.

To
The D.P.I. 980
— A.G. 450

Note for order.

The rates of pay are not very clear but apparently the regular pay for the individual is Rs 800/- as Assistant Professor lecturing twice a week (?) for the B.A. Classes, + Rs 480/- (being Rs 20 for lecture & twice a week for 12 weeks) = Rs 1280/- in all. (Asst. Coll. Prof.)

Mr. Nelson's proposal is that he should receive Rs 35/- for each lecture — i.e. Rs 1680 in all. —, being treated as a Junior Professor, because he has some the duties of one. It is not very clear why he should get higher pay, & there is nothing which definitely shows that he did more work than could legitimately be required of an Assistant Professor

D 25.5.95 7/5/95

C 9/5 Further explanation should be called for before order can be passed

JG 9/5

JHA 29/4/95

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras, 23rd April 1895.

No. 4836.

From

THE HONORABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc.,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

The Principal, Law college, reports that the very large size of the second B.L. class, consisting of nearly 290 students, demanded the full time of two Professors during the current term, and that, consequently, he has been obliged to employ the services of the First Assistant Professor in performing the duties of Professor in connection with the Third B.L. class, to which is also attached at present the Pleadership class. He requests that sanction be accorded for payment of remuneration to the First Assistant Professor at the rate sanctioned for Professors in G.O. No. 26 Educational, dated, 17th January 1893.

Viz

Ed. Cor.
15 (b) 1,500—17-11-94.

viz at Rs 35 per lecture. Under ordinary circumstances, he will be entitled to a remuneration of Rs 1280 only for this term (Rs 800 as Assistant Professor and Rs 480 for work done in the Pleadership class); but Mr Nelson recommends that he be given Rs 1680 being remuneration at Rs 35 per lecture for twelve weeks at four lectures per week; and I have, therefore, the honour to strongly recommend that the necessary sanction may be accorded. The additional expenditure amounting to Rs 400 can be met from the term fees of the Pleadership class which the Principal estimates at Rs 1,300.

I have the honour to be,
sir,
your most obedient servant

D Duncan

Director of Public Instruction.

Ed. Cor. 17. 2,000—29-3-94.

Correct No 1027

1895.

Refer 1031: 14. 5. 95
26: 17. 1. 93
146: 8. 2. 93 Jud. F.C
453: 30. 5. 95

Educational Department.

From

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY
TO GOVERNMENT,
Fort St. George.

No. 1270

DESPATCHED

Dated 28
Despatched 28
Received 28

No.

ENCLOSURES. 2

Subject.

Explaining the grounds on which the first assistant Professor of the Law College was recommended Professor's rate of fees and requesting sanction for the same.

From

Perhaps copy of the rules of the College 7/9

For orders

The First Asst Professor of the Law College did the work of a Professor viz. delivering lectures, in lieu of his legitimate work viz. holding tutorial classes. As the former work involves more expenditure of time, preparation and research, the First Asst Professor has been recommended for the remuneration of a Professor.

Sanction is also solicited for making a similar arrangement during the current term & the Director submits the request

D
7 9 95

13/9/95

Sanctioned

JS
15/9

C.S.

Order, dt 19th September 1895, No 775.

The Director's recommendations are accepted & the Acct General will be authorized to pass the payment of remuneration to the First Asst Professor in the Law College at the rate sanctioned for Professors in G.O. dt 17-1-93 No. 26 Ed. during the current term & that which preceded it.

G.O. dt 17-1-93 No. 26 Ed. Rs 35 per lecture

The Dft (G.O.) 31/9

The A.G. (P.O.) 19/9/95

Law college, Madras.

No. 38. 2nd August, 1895.

From

The Principal,

To

The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

Sir.

In reply to your Communication, dated, 24th June 1895, No. 6728, in connection with the Memorandum of Government, dated, 14th May 1895, No. 1031. I have the honour to point out that under the arrangement in question the First Assistant Professor was called upon to deliver a course of lectures during the Term instead of holding Tutorial classes ie in place of Tutorial work, the work he would ordinarily have performed, in connection with subjects in which his students had already attended Lectures delivered by a Professor.

The preparation and delivery of a course of Lectures, in a subject, the usual work of the

Colleg

College Professors, involves a much greater expenditure of time, preparation and research, than that involved by testing students in their knowledge of the Text-book and in clearing up their difficulties therein, such being the nature of the work devolving upon Assistant Professors. This distinction between the work of Professors and Assistant Professors is of course the basis of the difference between their respective scales of remuneration.

The delivery of lectures to B. L. Candidates does not fall legitimately within the scope of an Assistant Professor's duties (vide the College Rules) and certainly, as indicated above, involves more arduous duties.

I have the honour to be
Sir
Your most obedient servant
(sd) R. A. Nelson
Principal

(True copy)
S. Srinivasa Iyengar
Director of Public Instruction.

Encl 2 to C. No. 102

Law College. Madras.

No. 43. 9th August 1895.

From
The Principal.

To
The Director of Public Instruction
Madras.

Sir.

I have the honour to inform you that I find it necessary this time to request the First Assistant Professor to deliver a set of Lectures (2 in each week) to the Senior B. L. class (to which the Pleadership class is also, at present, attached) in addition to his ordinary Tutorial class work. The delivery of such lectures would ordinarily be performed by a Professor but the large size of the First B. L. class demands the services of two Professors (including myself) and the Junior Professor is engaged in delivering lectures in another subject to the Senior class. Since I am likewise engaged in lecturing to the Second class, there is no Professor available

available for the work in question.

I have, therefore, the honour to request your sanction to this arrangement and to ask that the First assistant Professor may draw a Professor's remuneration for his additional work. This would amount to Rs 1260 being at the rate of Rs 35 per lecture for two lectures a week for 18 weeks. His ordinary remuneration as an Assistant Professor for delivering lectures to the Pleadership class for the same period would be Rs 720 (Rs 20 × 2 × 18). The increase of Rs 540 can be met from the Pleadership class fees for the Term which will amount to Rs 1400.

I have the honour to be
(Sd) R. A. Nelson
Principal.

(True copy) D Duncan
Director of Public Instruction.

Encl 1 to C/No. 1027

Current No 1027

OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras, 26 August 1895

No. 9270

From

THE HONORABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc.,
DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,
Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

In reply to Government memorandum, dated 14th May last, No. 1031, requesting me to explain with greater clearness the grounds on which my recommendation to allow remuneration to the First Assistant Professor of the Law College at the rate sanctioned for Professors was based, I have the honour to enclose copy of a letter from the Principal, Law College, of the 2nd instant, in which he gives the information required. Under the existing rules of the Law College the duties of an Assistant Professor do not include the delivering of lectures to students of the B. L. classes. During the

Ed. Cor. 15 (b) 1,500—17-11-94.

last term, however, it was found necessary to require the First assistant Professor to do this kind of work, which necessarily involves greater time, preparation and research than his legitimate duties would, and which I think justly entitles him to claim the same rate of remuneration as that allowed to a Professor performing similar work.

2. I beg also to enclose copy of another letter, from the Principal, dated, 9th August 1895, in which he reports that, during the current term also, a similar arrangement to that referred to above has to be made in regard to the Senior B L class, to which is also attached the Pleadership class at present, and requests that the First Assistant Professor may be remunerated for his additional work at the rate sanctioned for Professors. I beg to recommend this request also for favourable consideration.

I have the honour to be,
Sir
your most obedient servant.

D Duncan

Director of Public Instruction.

When given for copying ____
When copied ____
When examined ____ } 189.
When signed ____

READ AGAIN G.O., No. 189, DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURES TO C. No

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT	A	A	A	A	A	A	A	
LETTER OF GOVERNMENT.								
STATEMENT WITH DITTO.								
PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DESPATCH IN ORIGINAL.								

N.B.—
A = Copy in full.
B = Not to be copied.
P = Copy abstract only.
Q = Copy heading only.