

Educational (1895) Department.

ORDINARY MS.

G.O., No. 577

Dated 11th July 1895

INDEXED.

620
12000

[Despatch Abstract.]

REVENUE TEST, LOWER GRADE.

Declining to grant the request of S. Venk. Sankaraya-
ya, Revenue Inspector, Nipalle taluk, Kistna district, to
be exempted from passing the --, or permitted to appear for
the Test in Telugu.

FURTHER PAPERS

[illegible]

3. The fact that he did not pass the Revenue lower grade exam from Cassio beyond his control; in 1876, the Petition passed the Seluge Council but on his own exertions and having passed in our station as an attendant he could not study & go up for English portion though very anxious to do so. By the time he came to the station where he could benefit himself by English education the Council had examined in which there was to be a revision allowing the Seluge Council to candidates to pass English portion only without optional subjects, we objected to the misfortune of the Petitioner & as they objected to appeal for the Middle School Examination in all the subjects, and to pass it, was a matter of great difficulty for a man of Petitioner's position in the Cantonment discharge of duties. There were no means to appeal for English portion only as we sought for the Secretary, the Commissioner of the H. C. Examination to be refused.

In the U.S. the petitioners of the Indian
 into the Government for permission
 to appeal to the Federal Court
 in Chicago but the Government
 refusing to the Federal Court
 by the English knowing men only
 reject the application
 & again in 1874 the petitioners
 put in a petition to the Government
 for permission to appeal for
 Rev. Mr. D. W. G. & Co. to
 write to the General Disposition
 to make himself a trustee
 & General Disposition and eligible
 as a trustee of the General Disposition
 which he was doing for the
 the introduction of the General Disposition
 the Court was pleased to endorse
 the petition that it would be
 another petition's case for a trustee
 in the Court for on the Court
 by the Court (D. W. G. & Co.)
 the General Disposition of the
 official before the Court
 the petition according to the
 was a petition to the Court
 the register in a list of the
 who recommended the petition
 a case very favorably by the
 the Court (Mr. Buckley) being

now to the St. Louis I to consider
the matter later on. When the
petitioner again brought to his
consideration on the eve of his
leaving the St. he refused to
recommen^d as the applicant has
shown exceptional abilities.
The petitioner had in long service
(22 years) showed good conduct,
with his I and his success in
deputizing knowledge. That
more exceptional abilities are
wanted from the petitioner of
the old style. That the petitioner
seems to submit is that he does
not aspire to become a Justice
or a Magistrate by being exempted
from all the examinations but
only to make himself eligible
for the post (St. Louis Revenue
Inspector) he was holding before
the time of the review on his passing
the Revenue Law exam.
The petitioner had been working
as a clerk as any body else,
he also covered well speaking
the French and English. Sufficient to move
him to recommend to view the
petitioner's case favorably.

St. Louis, 2nd
day of March



St. Louis, 2nd day of March, 1850
of the petitioner who is now
himself entitled to the office of the
St. Louis Revenue Inspector. He refused
that when I was asked for a competent Revenue
Inspector I got a list of names
which Mr. Russell the then
St. Louis Revenue Inspector
of the petitioner had work done
I look him here in spite of the
fact that the petitioner owns lands
in the St. Louis. He directed me to
keep the petitioner in charge of the
St. Louis Revenue Inspector's
his own. Ever since he has
been spending his time in
the St. Louis of the Superior.
When the petitioner first came
to the St. Louis the involuntary
charges only to the amount of about
\$1000.00 was brought to the book.
Afterwards the involuntary
exceptions of the petitioner detected
more extent of involuntary flow
and increased the lost Revenue
by more than ten thousand dollars.

St. Louis, 2nd day of March

[Faint, illegible handwritten text]

[Faint handwritten text, mostly illegible due to fading and bleed-through.]

To The Secretary to Government
Revenue Department
Chief Commr of Revenue
Madras

And Sir I am ref to
your letter of 10/10/97
and at the same time
I am enclosing herewith
the copy of the order
of the Board of Revenue
dated 10/10/97.

I am, Sir,
Very respectfully,
Yours,
J. H. P. S.



Proceedings of the Board of Revenue

Recd. Endorsement of J. A. Atkinson Cogswell,
Collector of Kirkira dated 20th June 1895
A. D. A. $\frac{2372}{100}$ of 1895.

Resolutions.

Submitted to Government.
(Same Petition)

The Chief Secretary to Government,
Educational Dept., with
petition and enclosure.

Current No. 745

1895.

Board of Revenue

(Land Revenue)

MADRAS.

When given for copying
When signed
When examined
When signed

Date sent G.O. No.
1895

Despatch No.

Reference to G. O.

Class of Government

Letter of Government

Document with notes

Formal and other notes, given about the substance
of the petition.

23-

1. as copy is full.
2. as copy is to be signed.
3. as copy is to be signed only.
4. as copy is to be signed only.

The Chief Secretary
to Government
Educational Depto

Order of 11th July 1895
No. 577

The Govt does not seem
to grant any of the petition
requests.

Ref. 47

Proceedings dated 27th June 1895
No. 11

Subject

Submitting to Govt,
petition from B.
Venkata Subrahmanyam,
2nd Grade Revenue Inspector
Rajathi Taluk, Krishna Dist.
praying exemption from
paying the 10% tax
on the 10% tax
on the 10% tax
on the 10% tax

1st. Repraise is submitted to
the Govt under the name of G.O.
No. 577. The petition is
18. 7. 95
The Govt does not seem to
grant any of the
petition requests.