

10-7-95.  
Educational (1895) Department.

ORDINARY PRINTED.

G.O., No. 539

DATED 26<sup>th</sup> June 1895

INDEXED.

---

[Despatch Abstract.]

DELEGATION OF POWERS.

Passing orders on the recommendations of the  
Director of Public Instruction regarding the -- to himself  
and to the Inspecting officers.

# BACK PAPERS.

| G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|-----|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
|      |     |             |       |      |     |             |       |

# FURTHER PAPERS.

| G.O. | No.     | Department. | Date. | G.O. | No. | Department. | Date. |
|------|---------|-------------|-------|------|-----|-------------|-------|
| C    | 1028    |             | 95    |      |     |             |       |
| C    | 1661000 |             |       |      |     |             |       |

## Gobt. of {1895} Madras. EDUCATIONAL.

Issued

Recd.

Encl.

G.O., 26th June 1895, No. 539.

JUNE.

### Delegation of Powers.

Passing orders on the recommendations of the Director of Public Instruction regarding the — to himself and to the Inspecting officers.

GOVERNMENT OF MADRAS.  
EDUCATIONAL DEPARTMENT.

READ—the following paper :—

From the Hon'ble Mr. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc., Director of Public Instruction,  
to the Chief Secretary to Government, dated Madras, 20th March 1895,  
No. 3391.

I have the honour to submit, for the favourable consideration and orders of Government, the following recommendations regarding the delegation of powers to the Director and to the inspecting officers.

2. The subject engaged my attention even before the issue of G.O., No. 49, Revenue, dated 22nd January 1894. Inspectors and Inspectresses were, under the old Grant-in-aid Code, permitted to sanction transfer, increase, reduction or withdrawal of grants up to the limit of Rs. 15. When the code was revised in 1893, I recommended the raising of the limit to Rs. 30 so as to enable these officers to dispose of a larger number of applications for grants, and the Government has sanctioned my proposal. Also, the old code provided for the sanction of Government being obtained for grants for pandits, etc. The constant reference to Government on these matters was considered unnecessary, and under the revised code the Director has been empowered to sanction all salary grants.

3. The sanction of Government is now required for all building grants exceeding Rs. 1,000 (Chapter VII, Article 3) and for grants exceeding Rs. 200 for furniture and other special objects mentioned in Chapter VIII of the Grant-in-aid Code (Chapter VIII, Article 4). These limits are fair and I think that they need not be extended.

4. Grants for village school-houses (Chapter VII, Article 7) and grants for furniture to village schools (Chapter VIII, Article 7) are now sanctioned by the Director. Inspectors and Inspectresses may, I think, be allowed, subject to a report to this office, to sanction grants for village school-houses up to a limit of Rs. 50 and furniture grants to village schools up to the maximum limit of Rs. 25. Should Government approve of these recommendations, the necessary provision will be made in the Grant-in-aid Code when it is submitted to Government in September next.

5. I propose to revise the Educational rules where necessary for submission to, and approval of, Government. Any change in this direction will be duly made therein and reported to Government.

6. Applications are frequently received for the purchase of clocks costing more than Rs. 5 each. I would request that the Director be empowered to sanction the purchase of clocks up to the value of Rs. 15.

7. The Director has been empowered to sanction rent for school-houses up to the limit of Rs. 5. I think the limit may be raised to Rs. 10, subject to due provision having been made in the sanctioned budget.

8. It seems to me that power to employ sweepers, scavengers or toties and conductresses on Rs. 2 and under may be conferred on the Director, when provision has been made in the sanctioned budget. Formal proposition statements will be submitted to Government for record and communication to the Account Department.

9. I proposed, at one time, to submit my recommendations on this subject after the Educational rules had been revised, and hence the delay in replying to the Government reference.

ORDER—dated 26th June 1895, No. 539, Educational.

With reference to paragraph 4 of the Director's letter, Inspectors and Inspectresses of Schools will be empowered to sanction grants for village school-houses and for furniture to village schools up to limits of Rs. 50 and Rs. 25, respectively, and subject to report to the Director.

2. The proposal contained in paragraph 6 cannot be sanctioned in consequence of the limit of Rs. 10 fixed by Article 98 (k) of the Civil Account Code, but up to that limit the Director will be empowered to sanction the purchase of clocks.

3. He will further be authorized to sanction the rent of school-houses up to a maximum of Rs. 10 *per mensem* in each case.

4. With reference to paragraph 8 of the Director's letter the Government remarks that, in order to save the constant submission of proposition statements, it is preferable to delegate to the Director the power of sanctioning the entertainment of sweepers, scavengers and conductresses whose whole time is not given to the public service, their wages being paid from contingencies. The majority, if not all of them, are part-time servants who have no claims for pension and there is no objection to the arrangement mentioned on Account grounds. As they are now on the permanent establishment, it will, however, be necessary for the Director to submit a consolidated proposition statement showing the deduction from the permanent establishment together with an application for the necessary transfer of budget allotment from Establishment to Contingencies.

(True Extract.)

Chief Secretary.

To the Director of Public Instruction.  
" Financial Department.

1895.

Educational Department.

From

THE DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,  
Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY  
TO GOVERNMENT,  
Fort St. George.



Dated 20<sup>th</sup>

Despatched

Received

March 1895

No.

ENCLOSURES.

Subject.

Submitting his recommendations  
regarding delegation of powers.

CURRENT No. 380.  
Transferred to the Educational  
Department.

30.3.  
for C.S.  
25.3.95.

Referred to the Accountant.  
General for favour of remarks  
on the several proposals of the  
Director of Public Instruction.  
(By order)

Ag Under Secretary.

Not approved by Mr.  
Butlerworth who thinks  
a reference unnecessary.

7/8  
30/4/95

CURR. NO. 380  
OFFICE OF THE DIRECTOR OF  
PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,  
Madras, 20 March 1895

No. 3391

From

THE HONORABLE MR. D. DUNCAN, M.A., D.Sc.,

DIRECTOR OF PUBLIC INSTRUCTION,

Madras,

To

THE HONORABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,

FORT ST. GEORGE.

SIR,

I have the honour to submit for the favourable consideration and orders of Government the following recommendations regarding the delegation of powers to the Director and to the Inspecting officers.

2. The subject engaged my attention even before the issue of G.O. No. 49. Rev. dated, 22<sup>nd</sup> January 1894. Inspectors and Inspectresses were, under the old Grant-in-aid code, permitted to sanction transfer, increase, reduction or withdrawal of grants up to the limit of Rs 100. When the Code was revised in 1893, I recommended the raising of the limit to Rs 30.20 as to enable these

Officers to dispose of a larger number of applications for grants and the Government has sanctioned my proposal. Also, the old code provided for the sanction of Government being obtained for grants for paridits, etc. The constant reference to Government on these matters was considered unnecessary, and under the revised code the Director has been empowered to sanction all salary grants.

3. The sanction of Government is now required for all building grants exceeding Rs 1000 (chapter VII article 3) and for grants exceeding Rs 200 for furniture and other special objects mentioned in chapter VIII of the Grant-in-aid code. (chapter VIII article 4). These limits are fair and I think that they need not be extended.

4. Grants for village schoolhouses (chapter VII article 7) and grants for furniture to village schools (chapter VIII article 7) are now sanctioned by the Director. Inspectors and Inspectresses may, I think, be allowed, subject to a report to this office, to sanction grants for village schoolhouses up to a limit of Rs 50. and furniture grants to village schools up to the maximum limit of Rs 25. Should Government approve of these recommendations the

the necessary provision will be made in the Grant-in-aid code when it is submitted to Government in September next.

5. I propose to revise the Educational Rules where necessary for submission to and approval of Government. Any change in this direction will be duly made therein and reported to Government.

6. Applications are frequently received for the purchase of clocks costing more than Rs 5 each. I would request that the Director be empowered to sanction the purchase of clocks up to the value of Rs 15.

7. The Director has been empowered to sanction rent for schoolhouses up to the limit of Rs 5. I think the limit may be raised to Rs 10, subject to due provision having been made in the sanctioned budget.

8. It seems to me that power to employ sweepers, scavengers or toties and conductresses on Rs 2. and under may be conferred on the Director, when provision has been made in the sanctioned budget. Formal proposition statements will be submitted to Government for review and Communication to the Account Department.

9. I proposed, at one time, to submit my recommendations on this subject after the Educational Rules had been revised and hence the delay in replying to the Government reference.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant.

J. D. D.

Director of Public Instruction:

[G.]  
[45]

NOTE FOR THE UNDER SECRETARY.

I proposed in the first instance to refer this over to the A.G. but Mr. Butlerworth thought that a reference was unnecessary and ordered that I should consult the Financial Dept. I consulted the Budget Clerk & he wanted me to examine the delegation of powers that were made in other depts. A collection of these was accordingly made. & but I can find no similar proposals in them. I have now put up a note.

Mr. Butlerworth also noted that the D.P.I. should be empowered to sanction admission of candidates, who are not properly qualified, into the College of Agriculture.

& into the ~~College~~ Teachers' college.  
The Director has <sup>already</sup> power to sanction these.  
Please see G.Os 274 & 115 Ed.  
6-4-95 11-2-95  
The latter go is not available.

Casual Students are admitted  
into the Medical College & in the  
revised Law College rules provision  
is made to admit students who  
are not properly qualified.

7/2  
30/4/95

NOTE FOR THE <sup>added into the</sup> CHIEF SECRETARY.

The G.O. <sup>has already been</sup> passed into the Special  
Colleges. the G.O. will be collected  
& put up. But before doing so  
I beg to point out that the proposals  
in paras 6 & 8 are in appearance to be  
against rules. Articles of European  
manufacture exceeding Rs 10 in  
value cannot be purchased without  
the sanction of Govt. vide Art 98 (k)  
of the C.A.C. R. 35. Rents are  
recurring charges & they cannot be in-  
curred without the sanction of Govt. vide  
98 (m). Permanent charges such as  
the employment of Searcages cannot  
be sanctioned <sup>eventually</sup> the several depts of Govt  
except the Fin Dept. vide art 284.  
In view of these difficulties, I submit  
the curt. Should be referred to the G.O.

12/5/95

K. Pillai

I don't think the  
request is necessary. Any  
put up the rules of  
the different properties  
colleges, several  
of them provide that  
S.A. shall decide after  
consultation with the  
officer in charge of the  
college. I think the  
S.A. should be empowered  
to decide after consulting  
the officer in charge of the  
college. 25/3

[G.  
25.]

10-8-3-95.

Ed. DEPARTMENT.

C. No. 380 of 95

FOR ORDERS.

The S.P.I. requests that the  
following powers may be delegated  
to him

a, Power to sanction the  
purchase of clocks up to the  
value of Rs 15.

This is not permissible under  
Art 98 k, of the Civil Account  
Code. ~~The Director is wrong~~  
~~in saying that the purchase~~  
~~of clocks costing more than~~  
~~Rs 5 should be sanctioned by Govt.~~  
~~The limit fixed in the Code is Rs 10.~~

b, Power to sanction rent to school  
houses up to Rs 10 per mensem.

- This may perhaps be sanc<sup>d</sup>

The Dir. has now power to sanction  
house rent up to Rs 5. per mensem  
(S.O. Ed. 514 of 17.3.93).

c, Power to employ sweepers,  
scavengers & to the arrangement

The limit may be  
fixed at Rs 10  
25

He doesn't  
say so

Yes  
25

on not more than Rs 2 per mensem.

There are permanent charges  
of the power to sanction them  
cannot be delegated. Art 284  
of the C.A. Code.

The D.P.D. also requests that  
the Inspectors & Inspectresses  
may be permitted to sanction  
building & furniture grant  
to village school houses up  
to Rs 50 & 25 resp. This  
may be allowed.

25.5.55

\* Please see para 1 of G.O. 719-12-54  
of 3.3.55 in which the D.P. was asked if the  
of police sweepers could not be  
of contingencies in his reply (put up  
at a post. carried) the D.P. says "I have  
no objection to the wage of sweepers whose  
whole time is not directed to the public  
service being paid from contingent allowance"  
I am to say that the introduction of the  
will involve the submission for  
report slabs showing the reduction from  
labl. previously shown as permanently  
retained, & a slab showing the transfer  
of allotment from labl. to  
contingencies. - The D.P. might do

Through the Financial Dept.

Cms  
13.6.95

In 501-8109

26/6/95

12-539

25  
28

[ G. ]  
24  
500-26-6-94.

Educational DEPARTMENT.

Papers to be read in Proceedings:—

Again G. O., dated

189 , No.

Again G. O., dated

189 , No.

Current No.

of 189 , dated

from

of Current No. 350 of 1895, dated 20 March from the D.P.D.

ORDER. 26<sup>th</sup> June 1895, No. 539.

P.S.

with reference to para 4 of the Director's  
letter, Inspectors and Inspectresses of  
Schools will be empowered to sanction  
grants for village school houses &  
for furniture to village schools up to  
limits of Rs 50 & Rs 25, respectively,  
& subject to report to the Director

2. The proposal contained in para 6  
cannot be sanctioned in consequence of the  
limit of Rs 10 fixed by Art. 98 of the  
Civil Account Code, but up to that  
limit the Director will be empowered  
to sanction the purchase of clocks

3. He will further be empowered to authorize  
to sanction the grant of rent of school  
houses up to a maximum of Rs 100  
per mensem in each case.

4. With reference to para 8 of the letter the  
Post. remarks that in order to secure the  
constant submission of prop. & slabs, it  
is preferable to delegate to the Director the  
power of sanctioning the entertainment of  
sweepers, whose whole time is not given  
to the public service their wages being  
paid from contingencies. - The majority of  
them are ~~not~~ part-time servants  
& there is no objection to the arrangement

sweepers & conductresses

who have no claims for  
pension

Mentioned on account grounds. -  
As they are now on the permanent  
establishment, it will however be  
necessary for the Director to submit a  
consolidated prop<sup>o</sup>al shewing the  
<sup>transfer</sup> from the permanent establishment  
together with an application for <sup>the necessary</sup> transfer  
of budget allotment from establishment  
to contingencies.

Geo. A. 21-01-55  
H.D. 5/6/55

The D.P. 7  
The Financial Dept. 1 } Dept 10/7.

Delegation of Power.

C.P.

from orders

First copy sent to Press.

Returned for signature.

Despatched in print.

READ AGAIN G.O. No.  
189

DATED

CURRENT No.

ENCLOSURE TO C. No.

ORDER OF GOVERNMENT

LETTER OF GOVERNMENT

STATEMENT WITH DITTO.

PAPERS NOT FILED UP, BEING MEANT FOR DETATCH  
IN ORIGINAL.

N.B. -

A = Print in full.  
B = Not to be printed.  
P = Print abstract only.  
Q = Print heading only.

NUMBER OF COPIES  
REQUIRED.

Order complete.

Letter only.

Order complete with Letter.

10/10/23 ✓  
3-12-03 ✓  
3-2-03 ✓  
22-10-15 BTM ✓

8

0

2

1

2

S